



**Monthly Report:
January 2025**

FY2025

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	43	50	51	52	55	44	46
Harrisburg	61	49	48	53	51	54	56
Pere Marquette	16	18	20	17	12	12	14
St. Charles	30	30	31	27	32	27	30
Warrenville*	29	31	37	37	38	37	32
IDJJ Total	179	178	187	186	188	174	178

*Due to fire, the Warrenville Population is being housed at St. Charles.

Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility


	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	42.7	45.2	51.3	51.3	52.3	51.1	43.5
Harrisburg	55.5	51.1	47.3	50.0	52.2	53.3	57.4
Pere Marquette	16.2	17.7	18.1	16.8	13.6	12.3	10.9
St. Charles	43.9	30.2	30.6	30.1	30.4	30.1	31.4
Warrenville*	41.3	31.6	36.8	37.0	35.1	37.6	32.2
IDJJ Total	179.7	175.8	184.0	185.3	183.7	184.1	175.5

*Due to fire, the Warrenville Population is being housed at St. Charles.

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	69	70	69	67	72	69	
Harrisburg	118	112	111	107	114	112	
Pere Marquette	39	40	39	39	39	39	
St. Charles	60	57	57	56	57	56	
Warrenville	56	59	55	54	56	57	
IDJJ Total	342	338	331	323	338	333	

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Nine Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication


	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	63.6%	63.4%	65.7%	72.7%	89.4%	89.1%	87.3%
Harrisburg	68.8%	69.7%	67.1%	60.2%	62.9%	67.6%	72.0%
Pere Marquette	84.2%	82.6%	76%	72.7%	77.8%	83.3%	88.2%
St. Charles	63.0%	58.8%	66.7%	53.1%	57.8%	57.1%	58.1%
Warrenville	95.2%	87.8%	91.2%	83.3%	84.8%	90.9%	90.5%
IDJJ Average	71.5%	69.8%	70.9%	67.2%	74.3%	76.8%	77.4%

Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	30.1%	67.4%	2.5%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.0%	30.1%	67.4%	2.5%	0.0%

In January 2025, Mental Health Levels were re-defined to better reflect youth needs.

Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication by Fiscal Year



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	9	10	14	14	15	15	21
Harrisburg	12	16	14	15	18	12	20
Pere Marquette	6	6	8	7	7	6	7
St. Charles	1	1	1	2	5	8	1
Warrenville	10	12	13	11	15	8	12
IDJJ Total	38	45	50	49	60	49	61

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	115	115	85	-	92	-	76
Harrisburg	-	76	86	72	74	71	91
Pere Marquette	-	137	94	-	-	-	128
St. Charles	-	-	-	90	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	98	94	-	88	-	106
IDJJ Average	115	106	88	78	86	71	102

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Successful Completion	20%	70%	46.2%	33.3%	76.9%	14.3%	66.7%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	28.6%	0%
Youth Released	80%	10%	23.1%	50%	15.4%	57.1%	13.3%
Other Discharge	0%	20%	30.8%	16.7%	7.7%	0%	20%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Marijuana	70.7%	62.2%	67.3%	58.1%	56.7%	61.1%	59.0%
Alcohol	2.4%	2.2%	5.8%	9.3%	6.7%	7.4%	9.8%
Opioids	4.9%	6.7%	9.6%	14.0%	18.3%	13.0%	13.1%
Other Drugs	22.0%	28.9%	17.3%	18.6%	18.3%	18.5%	18.0%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	20	30	34	30	38	33	31
Harrisburg	58	57	48	48	51	44	65
Pere Marquette	10	13	11	9	5	5	6
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	29	33	36	38	35	37	40
IDJJ Total	117	133	129	125	129	119	142

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	11	12	12	12	11	11	12
Harrisburg	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	1	1	1	1	1	1	-
Warrenville	7	12	12	13	13	13	13
IDJJ Total	36	42	42	43	42	42	42

Table 15: Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	1.8	2.5	2.8	2.5	3.5	3	2.6
Harrisburg	5.3	5.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4	5.9
Pere Marquette	1.7	2.2	1.8	1.5	0.8	0.8	1
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	4.1	2.8	3	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0
IDJJ Average	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.1	2.8	3.4

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25	Total
Chicago	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5
Harrisburg	2	2	1	2	1	0	1	9
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	5	2	0	4	20

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	50%	33.3%	35.3%	50%	28.9%	27.3%	35.5%
Harrisburg	44.8%	36.8%	35.4%	52.1%	49.0%	59.1%	49.2%
Pere Marquette	60%	53.8%	45.5%	55.5%	0%	0%	16.7%
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	58.6%	54.5%	55.6%	55.3%	65.7%	62.2%	27.5%
IDJJ Total	50.4%	42.1%	41.9%	52.8%	45.7%	48.7%	38.7%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	7

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0%
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0%
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0%
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0%
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	11	20	10	24	22	7	11
Harrisburg	31	35	15	31	25	41	31
Pere Marquette	12	8	20	7	2	2	1
St. Charles	12	7	7	2	5	6	2
Warrenville	15	13	17	31	8	8	8
IDJJ Total	81	83	69	95	62	66	53

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25	Avg.
Chicago	25.8	44.2	19.5	46.8	42.1	13.7	25.3	31.1
Harrisburg	55.9	68.5	31.7	62.0	47.9	76.9	54.0	56.7
Pere Marquette	74.1	45.2	110.5	41.7	14.7	16.3	9.2	44.5
St. Charles	24.5	22.0	22.9	6.6	16.4	19.9	6.4	17.0
Warrenville	41.3	43.3	46.2	83.8	22.8	21.3	24.8	40.5
IDJJ Average	45.1	47.2	37.5	51.3	33.8	35.9	30.2	40.1

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	1	5	2	5	7	1	0
Harrisburg	9	15	3	15	5	13	12
Pere Marquette	4	3	6	4	0	1	0
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	1	1	0
Warrenville	1	2	1	2	2	1	2
IDJJ Total	18	26	12	26	15	17	14

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25	Avg.
Chicago	2.3	11.1	3.9	9.7	13.4	2.0	0	6.1
Harrisburg	16.2	29.4	6.3	30.0	9.6	24.4	20.9	19.5
Pere Marquette	24.7	16.9	33.1	23.8	0	8.1	0	15.2
St. Charles	6.1	3.1	0	0	3.3	3.3	0	2.3
Warrenville	2.8	6.7	2.7	5.4	5.7	2.7	6.2	4.6
IDJJ Average	10.0	14.8	6.5	14.0	8.2	9.2	8.0	10.1

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	8	10	5	10	9	6	6
Harrisburg	18	15	10	11	16	23	12
Pere Marquette	3	2	7	1	1	1	1
St. Charles	7	4	6	1	3	4	0
Warrenville	10	7	13	22	4	5	5
IDJJ Total	44	38	41	45	33	39	24

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25	Avg.
--	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	------	------

Chicago	18.7	22.1	9.7	19.5	17.2	11.7	13.8	16.1
Harrisburg	32.4	29.4	21.1	22	30.7	43.2	20.9	28.5
Pere Marquette	18.5	11.3	38.7	6.0	7.4	8.1	9.2	14.2
St. Charles	14.3	12.6	19.6	3.3	9.9	13.3	0	10.4
Warrenville	27.5	23.3	35.3	59.5	11.4	13.3	15.5	26.5
IDJJ Average	24.4	21.6	22.3	24.3	18.0	21.2	13.7	20.8

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	2	5	3	9	6	0	5
Harrisburg	4	5	2	5	4	5	7
Pere Marquette	5	3	7	2	1	0	0
St. Charles	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
Warrenville	4	4	3	7	2	2	1
IDJJ Total	17	19	16	24	14	8	15

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	11.1	5.8	17.5	11.5	0	11.5	8.9
Harrisburg	7.2	9.8	4.2	10	7.7	9.4	12.2	8.6
Pere Marquette	30.9	16.9	38.7	11.9	7.4	0	0	15.1
St. Charles	4.1	6.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	6.4	4.3
Warrenville	11.0	13.3	8.2	18.9	5.7	5.3	3.1	9.4
IDJJ Average	9.5	10.8	8.7	13.0	7.6	4.3	8.5	8.9

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	2	5	2	4	0	0	5
Harrisburg	0	1	0	1	2	1	8
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	3	2	2	9
IDJJ Total	2	7	2	8	4	3	22

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	2	2	3	8	6	1	0
Harrisburg	8	24	10	7	8	17	1
Pere Marquette	5	2	9	4	1	0	0
St. Charles	2	2	1	0	1	0	0
Warrenville	4	9	4	9	2	3	1
IDJJ Total	21	39	27	28	18	21	2

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	11	32	13	8	24	12	9
Harrisburg	59	53	20	51	57	87	76
Pere Marquette	11	12	21	8	0	0	2
St. Charles	40	6	9	5	24	14	4
Warrenville	34	41	46	77	24	82	33
IDJJ Total	155	144	109	149	129	195	124

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	26	71	25	16	46	23	21
Harrisburg	106	104	42	102	109	163	132
Pere Marquette	68	68	116	48	0	0	18
St. Charles	82	20	29	17	79	47	13
Warrenville	94	130	125	208	68	218	102
IDJJ Average	86	82	59	80	70	106	71

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	96	146	161	144	128	155	175
Harrisburg	152	107	110	122	124	151	155
Pere Marquette	80	47	55	70	-	-	16
St. Charles	118	34	75	125	144	112	120
Warrenville	143	259	156	174	115	145	95
IDJJ Average	132	151	122	146	128	153	137

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	3	4	1	4	-	-	-
St. Charles	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	2	1	-	-	-	-	2
IDJJ Total	6	10	1	5	0	0	2

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	1	1	0	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	-	12	5	-	-	18	29
Harrisburg	2	2	-	-	-	3	11
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	7	-	9	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	261	16	140	126	71	220	165
IDJJ Total	270	30	154	126	71	241	205

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	2	5	-	1	4	-	1
IDJJ Total	5	5	0	1	4	0	2

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	416	410	401	408	400	391	401
Youth in Community	211	201	188	197	188	197	197
Youth in Facilities	116	120	125	123	125	114	123
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	77	78	79	75	73	64	71
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	12	11	9	13	14	16	10

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.2	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.8	8.0
Youth in Community	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9
Youth in Facilities	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.1

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – January 2025

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	7	54	7.7	31	4.4
Chicago Heights	3	21	7	13	4.3
Aurora	2	23	11.5	18	9
Rockford	4	35	8.8	15	3.8
Peoria	4	38	9.5	27	6.8
Belleville	7	57	8.1	32	4.6
Champaign	4	36	9	21	5.3
Springfield	2	18	9	13	6.5
Placement	6	38	6.3	27	4.5
IDJJ Total	40	320	8.0	197	4.9

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – January 2025

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	118	2.2	113	3.6
Chicago Heights	55	2.6	45	3.5
Aurora	69	3	58	3.2
Rockford	140	4	98	6.5
Peoria	161	4.2	133	4.9
Belleville	161	2.8	130	4.1
Champaign	157	4.4	119	5.7
Springfield	58	3.2	53	4.1
Placement	178	4.7	149	5.5
IDJJ Total	1097	3.4	898	4.6

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>August</i>	7	3	4	0
<i>September</i>	7	6	1	0
<i>October</i>	10	7	3	0
<i>November</i>	4	3	1	0
<i>December</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>January</i>	5	3	2	0
IDJJ Average	6.7	4.6	2.1	0

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>August</i>	42.9%	57.1%	0%
<i>September</i>	85.7%	14.3%	0%
<i>October</i>	70%	30%	0%
<i>November</i>	75%	25%	0%
<i>December</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>January</i>	60%	40%	0%
IDJJ Average	71.0%	29.0%	0%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Number of Admissions per Month	39	23	34	33	31	22	27
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	15.4%	8.7%	20.6%	9.1%	12.9%	0%	18.5%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	38	24	27	33	26	35	24
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	92.1%	91.7%	92.6%	97.0%	100%	88.6%	91.7%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
Number of New Supervised Youth	36	22	25	33	26	31	22
Number of Exits from Aftercare	17	22	29	17	25	20	23

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	January 2025	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	3.7%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	2	7.4%
Initial Commitment	15	55.6%
New Commitment	4	14.8%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	5	18.5%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Admit from Other Custody	0	0%
Total	27	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, January 2025



Section 10: TRD Data for January 2025

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
IYC Chicago	237	33
IYC Harrisburg	99	56
IYC Pere Marquette	44	0
IYC St. Charles	135	3
IYC Warrenville	94	20
Total	609	112

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	2	0	0	0	2
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	1	0	0	0	2	3
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	36	18	17	83	0	154
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	5	0	0	0	11	16
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	18	5	0	3	19	45
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	10	3	5	0	18
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	12	10	6	1	14	43
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	165	54	18	43	48	328
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	33	56	0	3	20	112

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	26	25	35	47	28	26	33
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	25	43	29	27	14	67	56
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	5	15	25	18	2	1	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	3	15	4	7	2	10	3
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	9	4	16	16	11	50	20
Total	64	102	109	115	57	155	112

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/24	8/24	9/24	10/24	11/24	12/24	1/25
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	8	9	10	11	9
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	11	12	8	11	8	9	14
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	5	6	7	7	47	1	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	24	9	14	6	20	8	7
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	18	11	5	18	16	20
Average	10	11	8	8	13	11	13

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: January 2025

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
High	70	52	5	64
Moderate	9	25	41	17
Low	4	3	37	2
Total	83	83	83	83

83

Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
Very High	5	-	-
High	6	79	29
Moderate-High	38	-	-
Moderate	29	0	23
Low-Moderate	2	-	-
Low	2	3	30
None	0	0	0
Total	82	82	82


Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective

	Dynamic
Very High	2
High	15
Moderate-High	29
Moderate	26
Low-Moderate	5
Low	4
None	1
Total	82

Table 47: Strength Factors


	Family	School	Peer/Community	Aggression/Violence	Attitudes	Adaptive Skills	Emp/ Free Time
High	4	8	4	5	1	1	48
Moderate	30	30	49	8	10	7	0
Low	45	22	11	9	38	34	27
None	3	22	18	60	33	40	7
Total	82	82	82	82	82	82	82

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth



Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	4	3	1	0	1	15	24
August	0	3	0	1	0	4	6	14
September	1	4	1	2	0	1	14	23
October	0	3	2	3	0	3	14	25
November	1	1	3	0	0	5	17	27
December	0	10	1	1	0	4	11	27
January	1	3	1	0	0	1	13	19

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for January 31st, 2025

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	166	93.8%	261	96.0%
Female	11	6.2%	11	4.0%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.6		18.0	
16 & Under	56	31.6%	60	22.1%
17 to 20	118	66.7%	201	73.9%
20.5 & Over	3	1.7%	10	3.7%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	29	16.4%	49	18.0%
Black	117	65.6%	191	70.2%
Hispanic	21	11.9%	22	8.1%
Middle Eastern	1	0.6%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	10	5.6%	10	3.6%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	57	32.2%	88	32.4%
Collar Counties	15	8.5%	18	6.6%
Metro-East	13	7.3%	16	5.9%
Central	60	33.9%	78	28.7%
Southern	11	6.2%	15	5.5%
Northern	21	11.9%	48	17.6%
Out of State	0	0%	9	3.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	26	14.7%	3	1.1%
Class X Felony	51	28.8%	76	27.9%
Class 1 Felony	36	20.3%	59	21.7%
Class 2 Felony	39	22.0%	91	33.5%
Class 3 Felony	18	10.2%	19	7.0%
Class 4 Felony	7	4.0%	15	5.5%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	20	3	6	0
Class X Felony	100	18	8	1
Class 1 Felony	75	10	5	5
Class 2 Felony	73	32	18	7
Class 3 Felony	18	9	4	6
Class 4 Felony	15	5	1	1
Total	301	77	42	20

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	2.3%	0	0%
Delinquent	70	39.5%	225	82.7%
Extended Jurisdiction	6	3.4%	12	4.4%
Felon	65	36.7%	5	1.8%
First Degree Murderer	13	7.3%	3	1.1%
Habitual Juvenile	6	3.4%	1	0.4%
Violent Juvenile	13	7.3%	17	6.3%
From Other State	0	0%	9	3.3%

Aftercare Completion – January 2025

Characteristics of Youth Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	29	90.6%
Female	3	9.4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.7	
16 & Under	12	37.5%
17 to 20	19	59.4%
20.5 & Over	1	3.1%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	8	25.0%
Collar Counties	2	6.3%
Metro-East	12	37.5%
Central	12	37.5%
Southern	2	6.3%
Northern	5	15.6%
Out of State	0	0%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	7	21.9%
Black	19	59.4%
Hispanic	3	9.4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	3	9.4%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	15.6%
Class 1 Felony	7	21.9%
Class 2 Felony	8	25.0%
Class 3 Felony	6	18.8%
Class 4 Felony	3	9.4%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	25	78.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	2	6.3%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	1	3.1%
Violent Juvenile	1	3.1%
From Other State	3	9.4%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	9	28.1%
Aftercare Discharge	13	40.6%
Adult Sentence Discharge	4	12.5%
Transfer to Adult Parole	2	6.3%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	3	9.4%
Statutory Discharge	0	0%
Death	1	3.1%
Vacated Commitment Order	0	0%
Expiration of Sentence	0	0%

Youth Admitted to Institutions	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Technical Violators	5	55.6%
New Commitments	4	44.4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – January 2025

These are youth outcomes are for youth discharging Aftercare

