

Monthly Report April 2016

Bruce Rauner Governor

Candice Jones *Director* The Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice has two goals:

- Make Illinois communities safer for all residents.
- Foster better life outcomes for youth in the Department's custody by providing appropriate and effective rehabilitation.

In March 2015, the Department unveiled its comprehensive strategic plan focusing on five core principles:

- Right-Size: Reduce the use of secure custody for low-risk youth
- Rehabilitate: Improve programs to meet the needs of high-risk youth
- Reintegrate: Improve programs to ensure successful reentry
- Respect: Create a safe and respectful environment for youth and staff
- Report: Increase transparency and accountability

This monthly report is part of the Department's commitment to enhance reporting and transparency. This allows the Department to more effectively inform stakeholders and the public about operations and outcomes.

	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016
IYC Chicago	88	81	76	69	64	47	53	55	48	63
IYC Harrisburg	134	130	130	140	136	133	109	112	114	111
IYC Kewanee	151	174	178	157	140	131	104	89	69	61
IYC Pere Marquette	39	37	37	39	40	37	40	40	38	39
IYC St. Charles	261	235	236	219	197	161	127	118	120	126
IYC Warrenville	40	37	36	32	24	15	14	15	21	31
IDJJ Total	713	694	693	656	601	524	447	429	410	431

Table 1: FY 2016 Population by Facility

The population is measured as the number of youth in a facility on the last day of the month. During the current fiscal year to date, the Department has experienced a 38.2% decrease in population. This decrease continues the downward trend in populations that has been occurring since 2004.

Table 2: FY 2016 Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016
IYC Chicago	61	61	61	59	59	61	61	66	67	67
IYC Harrisburg	134	133	133	132	137	135	133	135	137	134
IYC Kewanee	124	122	119	117	118	118	117	124	120	118
IYC Pere Marquette	30	30	30	31	38	37	37	40	40	40
IYC St. Charles	162	159	158	159	170	164	162	172	169	168
IYC Warrenville	64	63	63	62	71	70	69	73	73	72
IDJJ Total	575	568	564	560	593	585	579	610	604	599

The headcount of security staff is obtained by examining the number of security staff on active payroll for each facility. As facilities must be staffed 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, it takes 3 or 4 staff to fill one post, full time. The number of security staff includes security supervisors and interns.

* FY refers to the fiscal year.

Table 3: Percent of	f Vouth on	Psychotron	vic Medication
Table 5. Tercent of	i i outii on	1 Sychou of	ne wieureation

	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
July	31.29%	23.48%	27.34%
August	32.21%	27.58%	31.02%
September	30.66%	29.70%	31.83%
October	32.50%	28.91%	32.12%
November	32.05%	29.81%	35.86%
December	32.57%	31.29%	37.57%
January	34.20%	28.93%	39.25%
February	32.81%	23.92%	40.86%
March	35.48%	31.23%	36.25%
April	30.30%	31.28%	38.43%
May	33.29%	30.96%	
June	28.41%	29.58%	
IDJJ Average	32.15%	28.89%	35.05%

Nationally, the rates of incarcerated youth on psychotropic medication tend to range between 20 and 40%. Additionally, greater numbers of incarcerated females utilize psychotropic medications than males and have higher rates of diagnosis for mental disorders.

Table 4: FY 2016 Rate of Self-Harm Att	tempts	by Severity	per 100 Youth	
	/	annts cel	ĥ'	150

		Mild S	alt Harn Avenue	evere Self	ann Is Total Attempt	on Theat
	July	3.23	1.26	0.00	4.49	
	August	7.20	1.30	0.00	8.50	
	September	7.07	2.74	0.00	9.81	
	October	6.10	1.22	0.00	7.32	
	November	5.30	2.09	0.00	7.39	
	December	4.27	2.41	0.00	6.68	
	January	7.38	1.08	0.00	8.46	
	February	3.02	0.50	0.00	3.52	
	March	2.86	0.95	0.00	3.81	
	April	1.68	0.72	0.00	2.40	
IDJJ Average		4.81	1.43	0.00	6.24	

Self-harm rates are measured by the total number of incidents and do not reflect the number of youth who have attempted self-harm. A mild attempt is when a youth verbalizes, threatens, or gestures self-injurious behavior. A moderately severe attempt is when a youth attempts self-harm and appears to be motivated by suicidal intent and as such inflicts superficial harm to self that may require minor medical attention. A serious attempt is when a youth self-harms and as such inflicts harm to self that requires medical attention or whose attempt is believed to be potentially lethal and is scheduled for medical observation.

Table 5: FY 2016 Distribution of DSM-5 Diagnoses of Youth in Facilities

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	, 1.71%	22.98%	34.01%	25.16%	16.15%
Augus	t 2.12%	22.06%	34.97%	24.67%	16.18%
September	· 1.70%	23.46%	35.03%	24.54%	15.28%
October	2.43%	20.87%	35.83%	23.65%	17.22%
November	2.23%	21.79%	35.57%	24.21%	16.20%
December	3.44%	24.95%	39.78%	18.92%	16.34%
January	1.54%	17.74%	39.07%	22.88%	18.77%
February	, 5.00%	16.58%	36.05%	24.74%	17.63%
March	4.50%	21.43%	29.10%	23.28%	21.69%
Apri	4.13%	21.36%	28.88%	21.60%	24.03%
IDJJ Average	2.88%	21.32%	34.83%	23.37%	17.95%

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition, is a commonly used tool in the psychology field to provide standard criteria and descriptions of mental disorders. It contains a variety of mental disorders including depression and bipolar disorder and also includes diagnoses of conduct disorder and substance abuse disorders. Nearly all youth in IDJJ facilities have a minimum of one diagnosis according to DSM-5 definitions. Nationally, research estimates that between 40 and 60% of incarcerated juveniles have a diagnosable mental disorder. These estimates tend to exclude substance abuse and conduct disorders.

Table 6: FY 2016 Number of Substance Abuse Treatment Participants

IYC Chicago2222IYC Harrisburg404IYC Kewanee2722IYC Pere Marquette504IYC St. Charles3736	96 188	otal	188	166	162	172	172	167	171
IYC Chicago2222IYC Harrisburg404IYC Kewanee2722IYC Pere Marquette504	0 12	IYC Warrenville	12	9	5	4	8	6	18
IYC Chicago2222IYC Harrisburg404IYC Kewanee272	6 39	IYC St. Charles	38	35	36	34	28	29	23
IYC Chicago 22 22 IYC Harrisburg 40 4	7 51	C Pere Marquette	52	47	50	50	53	52	47
IYC Chicago 22 2	.7 26	IYC Kewanee	28	28	23	23	25	27	25
0,,,_0,,_0,,_	4 41	IYC Harrisburg	34	24	20	37	36	31	33
07/2013 00/2	19	IYC Chicago	24	23	28	24	22	22	25
07/2015 08/2	2015 09/2015		10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016

Table 7: FY 2016 Primary Substance Abused by Youth in Treatment

	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2106	Average
Marijuana	75.5%	72.4%	75.4%	74.0%	73.0%	77.5%	72.3%	69.7%	74.0%	74.8%	73.9%
Alcohol	16.7%	16.8%	15.0%	17.1%	16.0%	15.6%	16.8%	16.6%	14.7%	14.3%	16.0%
Other Drugs	6.8%	10.2%	8.6%	7.5%	8.0%	5.6%	8.0%	10.3%	11.3%	7.5%	8.4%
No Diagnosis	1.0%	0.5%	1.1%	1.4%	3.1%	1.3%	1.4%	3.4%	0.0%	3.4%	1.7%

Each of the six IDJJ facilities have an in-house substance abuse treatment program. Treatment at the facilities utilizes education and group therapy to help decrease future substance use. The number of treatment participants is the total number of youth enrolled in a substance abuse program during the month of interest. Due to treatment failure, drop out, and rolling acceptance into the programs, the number of youth reported in a program tends to be greater than the actual number of youth enrolled at one time. Primary substance abused is the primary drug of choice for a youth in treatment. Many youth enrolled in treatment are polysubstance users and are treated accordingly.

	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016	
IYC Chicago	85	81	72	75	60	43	50	48	45	53	
IYC Harrisburg	83	86	83	93	98	95	80	75	86	74	
IYC Kewanee	100	126	124	105	82	81	61	46	31	29	
IYC Pere Marquette	25	25	27	35	28	33	25	26	29	28	
IYC St. Charles	195	180	174	170	175	138	102	100	102	94	
IYC Warrenville	32	33	31	25	20	9	10	13	21	33	
IDJJ Total	520	531	511	503	463	399	328	308	314	311	
Table 9: FY 2016 Teacher	s Employ	ed									
	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016	
IYC Chicago	6	7	7	7	7	8	8	9	9	9	
IYC Harrisburg	15	15	15	15	16	16	17	18	17	16	
IYC Kewanee	9	10	11	11	15	17	17	17	16	16	
IYC Pere Marquette	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	6	
IYC St. Charles	13	12	13	14	14	18	18	20	20	19	
IYC Warrenville	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	8	8	
IDJJ Total	54	55	56	57	63	70	71	75	75	74	
	-				03	/0	/1	/5	15	/4	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen	it of Speci 07/2015	al Educati 08/2015	on Studen 09/2015	ts 10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	02/2016	03/2016	04/2016	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen IYC Chicago	at of Specia 07/2015 29.4%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1%	ts 10/2015 41.3%	11/2015 30.0%	12/2015 34.9%	01/2016 30.0%	02/2016 37.5%	03/2016 33.3%	04/2016 30.2%	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen <i>IYC Chicago</i> <i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2%	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8%	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0%	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. Charles	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9%	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC Warrenville	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3%	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC Warrenville	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3%	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6%	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9%	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. Charles	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2%	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7%	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7%	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC Pere MarquetteIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC WarrenvilleIDJJ AverageTable 11: FY 2016 Overal	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee 08/2015	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3%	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0%	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1%	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0%	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5%	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7%	To
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: FY 2016 Overal IYC Chicago	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awarder 08/2015 2	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015 1	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7% 04/2016 2	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC WarrenvilleIDJJ AverageTable 11: FY 2016 OveralIYC ChicagoIYC Harrisburg	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2 3	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awarded 08/2015 2 7	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1 6	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1 5	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0 11	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7%	
Table 10: FY 2016 Percen IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: FY 2016 Overal IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Chicago IYC Kewanee	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2 3 9	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee 08/2015 2 7 2	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015 1	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1 6 5	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1 5 11	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7% 04/2016 2	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC WarrenvilleIDJJ AverageTable 11: FY 2016 OveralIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere Marquette	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2 3	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee 08/2015 2 7 2 5	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015 1 8	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10 0	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9 3	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1 6 5 3	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1 5	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0 11	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7% 04/2016 2 12	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC Pere MarquetteIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC WarrenvilleIDJJ AverageTable 11: FY 2016 OveralIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC ReveneeIYC Pere MarquetteIYC Pere MarquetteIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. Charles	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2 3 9	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee 08/2015 2 7 2	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015 1 8 9	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1 6 5	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1 5 11	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0 11 11	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7% 36.7% 04/2016 2 12 4	
Table 10: FY 2016 PercenIYC ChicagoIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC Pere MarquetteIYC St. CharlesIYC WarrenvilleIDJJ AverageTable 11: FY 2016 OveralIYC HarrisburgIYC HarrisburgIYC KewaneeIYC Pere Marquette	t of Specia 07/2015 29.4% 45.8% 54.0% 0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% Il Diploma 07/2015 2 3 9 0	al Educati 08/2015 27.2% 44.2% 56.4% 0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% s Awardee 08/2015 2 7 2 5	on Studen 09/2015 36.1% 43.4% 58.1% 0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% d 09/2015 1 8 9 0	ts 10/2015 41.3% 48.4% 59.0% 0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10 0	11/2015 30.0% 39.8% 58.5% 57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9 3	12/2015 34.9% 42.1% 60.5% 48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2 3	01/2016 30.0% 43.8% 62.3% 52.0% 19.6% 30.0% 37.8% 01/2016 1 6 5 3	02/2016 37.5% 48.0% 67.3% 42.3% 39.0% 38.5% 45.5% 45.5% 02/2016 1 5 11 0	03/2016 33.3% 45.3% 48.4% 51.7% 39.2% 33.3% 41.7% 03/2016 0 11 11 11 4	04/2016 30.2% 43.2% 44.8% 50.0% 31.9% 27.3% 36.7% 36.7% 04/2016 2 12 4 1	

School District 428 offers three types of diplomas for students: 8th grade, high school, and general equivalency. The District offers blended learning, utilizing both online and in-person instruction. An estimated 10% of youth admitted to the Department receive some sort of diploma during their stay. Nationally, it is estimated that about 40% of youth held in juvenile facilities have a need for special education services.

	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	2/2016	03/2016	04/2016
Youth on Youth Assaults	5.2	6.5	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.1	3.7	5.3	7.1	9.6
Youth Fights	9.7	9.9	10.0	11.3	12.2	13.9	11.3	14.2	12.4	13.0
Youth on Staff Assaults	2.2	4.3	4.0	3.8	1.6	3.3	4.3	3.5	5.7	6.0
Overall Reportable Incidents	17.1	20.7	18.8	20.0	18.3	21.3	19.3	23.0	25.2	28.6

Reportable incidents measure incidents of disruption in a correctional facility. The numbers provided are rates per 100 youth in IDJJ custody. Youth on youth assaults are when one or more youth strikes another youth or youths. Youth fights are when two or more youth mutually engage in a fight. Youth on staff assaults are when one or more youth commit physical violence against one or more staff members.

Table 13: FY 2016 Uses of Chemical Restraints

		07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	2/2016	03/2016	04/2016
	IYC Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC Harrisburg	2	2	5	4	2	1	1	0	2	0
	IYC Kewanee	2	2	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC St. Charles	1	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	11
	IYC Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total		5	7	10	6	3	3	2	0	6	11

Uses of chemical restraints are instances of the use of pepper spray as a use of force. The table provides a total number of uses at each facility per month. After any use, youth are checked by a medical professional and have an opportunity to shower.

Table 14: FY 2016 Uses of Mechanical Restraints

		07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	01/2016	2/2016	03/2016	04/2016
	IYC Chicago	6	23	21	8	12	10	4	4	2	3
	IYC Harrisburg	19	23	11	15	18	17	16	9	10	9
	IYC Kewanee	47	57	100	98	95	68	87	42	49	25
	IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC St. Charles	101	34	164	44	47	35	65	51	25	56
	IYC Warrenville	2	12	7	8	2	2	9	2	2	5
IDJJ Total		175	149	303	173	174	132	181	108	88	98

Uses of mechanical restraints are the temporary application of handcuffs as a use of force. The numbers provided are the total number of uses at each facility per month. If there is an incident where two youth are placed in mechanical restraints at the same time, that is counted as two uses. After any use, youth are checked by a medical professional.

Table 15: Uses of Confinement for April 2016

			Average
	Number	Rate of	Length
	of Uses	Usage	of Hold
Extended Behavioral Holds	28	6.7	830
Time Outs	273	65.7	78
Cool Downs	116	27.9	30

In summer 2015, IDJJ began piloting new policies and procedures regarding the use of confinement and removal of youth from regular programming and housing. These policies and procedures redefine "confinement" broadly and created subtypes based on the reason for its use. The Department also developed new ways of measuring the use of confinement. October 2015 was the first month using the new measurement strategy. To aid public understanding of confinement use, data is being presented on the number of uses of types of confinement, the rate of use per 100 youth in facility, and the average length of a confinement hold in minutes. The numbers represent uses of confinement and not youths confined. An extended behavioral hold is a placement of a youth in secure location used when the youth's behavior poses a serious and immediate threat to safety or security. A time out is where a youth is placed in a secure location due to behavior that is out of control, violent, or aggressive. During this time, youth are routinely de-escalated and counseled by staff. A cool down is the temporary removal of youth from programming to an unsecured location while under constant staff supervision. Cool downs are used for youth behaving disruptively and are a non-secure tactic to de-escalate behavior.

	January	February	March	April
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	984	995	1003	988
Number of Youth in Community	463	442	447	467
Number of Youth in Facilities	361	371	371	396
Number of Youth Suspended or with Warrants	160	182	185	125
ble 17: Caseloads in Aftercare (Per Specialist)	January	February	March	April
	January 18.3	February 18.1	March 18.2	April 20.1
ble 17: Caseloads in Aftercare (Per Specialist)	5	2		

Table 16: Youth on Aftercare

There are three groups of youth served by Aftercare. Youth in facilities are currently held in an IDJJ facility, but preparing for their transition to the community. Youth in the community are currently supervised through Aftercare after release from a facility. Youth suspended or with warrants are in violation of the terms of their supervised release and are supervised in the community or being held in a facility pending a legal hearing or have a warrant issued for their arrest. The average number of youth on caseload provides the average number of all types of youth that one Aftercare Specialist will supervise.

Aftercare Regional Office	Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Community Contacts	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	347	1.9	311	2.1
Chicago Heights	149	1.2	141	2.4
Aurora	132	0.9	129	2.4
Rockford	127	2.5	116	4
Peoria	249	2.5	231	4
East St. Louis	158	2	137	3.3
Champaign	140	1.6	127	2.6
Springfield	180	1.9	150	5.4
IDJJ Total	1482	1.7	1342	2.9

Table 18: Aftercare Specialist Contact per Youth in April by Office

Contacts between Aftercare and a youth start to occur prior to their release from an IDJJ facility. Contacts, both overall and in the community, are attempted or actual face-to-face meetings that occur between Aftercare Specialists and youth, their host site, placement staff, and engaged friends and family members. Contacts per youth provides an average number of contacts an Aftercare specialists will have for each youth on their caseload. Contacts per youth in community only examines contacts regarding youth that are actively monitored in the community. Per Departmental policy, Aftercare Specialists are meant to have one to four meetings per month with each youth, depending on their level of supervision.