

Monthly Report

December 2015

Bruce Rauner Governor

Candice Jones *Director* The Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice has two goals:

- Make Illinois communities safer for all residents.
- Foster better life outcomes for youth in the Department's custody by providing appropriate and effective rehabilitative care.

In March 2015, the Department unveiled its comprehensive strategic plan focusing on five core principles:

- Right-Size: Reduce the use of secure custody for low-risk youth
- Rehabilitate: Improve programs to meet the needs of high-risk youth
- Reintegrate: Improve programs to ensure successful reentry
- Respect: Create a safe and respectful environment for youth and staff
- Report: Increase transparency and accountability

This monthly report and others like it are a part of the Department's commitment to enhance reporting and transparency. This allows the Department to more effectively inform stakeholders and the public about operations and outcomes.

 Table 1: CY 2015 Population by Facility

	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015
IYC Chicago	69	59	62	85	86	90	88	81	76	69	64	47
IYC Harrisburg	141	128	131	137	142	140	134	130	130	140	136	133
IYC Kewanee	195	190	198	186	173	156	151	174	178	157	140	131
IYC Pere Marquette	29	32	24	30	24	26	39	37	37	39	40	37
IYC St. Charles	236	254	238	233	241	245	261	235	236	219	197	161
IYC Warrenville	35	38	32	29	34	41	40	37	36	32	24	15
IDJJ Total	705	701	685	700	700	698	713	694	693	656	601	524

The population is measured as the number of youth in a facility on the last day of the month. During the 2015 calendar year, IDJJ experienced a 29.1% decrease in population. This decrease continues the general downward trend in populations that has been occurring since 2004. This trend is expected to continue into 2016.

Table 2: CY	2015 Security Staff I	Headcount by Facility

	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015
IYC Chicago	60	60	59	58	58	62	61	61	61	59	59	61
IYC Harrisburg	142	142	146	143	139	135	134	133	133	132	137	135
IYC Kewanee	136	137	138	136	129	123	124	122	119	117	118	118
IYC Pere Marquette	24	23	29	31	30	30	30	30	30	31	38	37
IYC St. Charles	167	168	159	173	172	162	162	159	158	159	170	164
IYC Warrenville	64	64	61	67	67	65	64	63	63	62	71	70
IDJJ Total	593	594	592	608	595	577	575	568	564	560	593	585

The headcount of security staff is obtained by examining the number of security staff on active payroll for each facility. As facilities must be staffed 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, it takes 3 or 4 staff to fill one post, full time. The number of security staff includes security supervisors and interns.

* CY refers to the calendar year.

Table 3: Percent of Youth o	n Psychotro	pic Medicat	ion
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
July	31.29%	23.48%	27.34%
August	32.21%	27.58%	31.02%
September	30.66%	29.70%	31.83%
October	32.50%	28.91%	32.12%
November	32.05%	29.81%	35.86%
December	32.57%	31.29%	37.57%
January	34.20%	28.93%	
February	32.81%	23.92%	
March	35.48%	31.23%	
April	30.30%	31.28%	
May	33.29%	30.96%	
June	28.41%	29.58%	
IDJJ Average	32.15%	28.89%	32.62%

Nationally, the rates of incarcerated youth on psychotropic medication tend to range between 20 and 40%. Additionally, greater numbers of incarcerated females utilize psychotropic medications than males and have higher rates of diagnosis for mental disorders.

Table 4: CY 2015	Rate of Sel	f-Harm At	tempts by S	everity per	100 Youth	
		NH0 St	Anderson Anderson	Severe Self	1.811 HEINE	of Threads
	January	4.26	1.70	0.00	5.96	
	February	4.99	1.43	0.00	6.42	
	March	5.11	1.90	0.00	7.01	
	April	4.43	2.29	0.00	6.72	
	May	4.29	2.43	0.00	6.72	
	June	5.44	0.57	0.14	6.15	
	July	3.23	1.26	0.00	4.49	
	August	7.20	1.30	0.00	8.50	
S	eptember	7.07	2.74	0.00	9.81	
	October	6.10	1.22	0.00	7.32	
Ν	lovember	5.30	2.09	0.00	7.39	
I	December	4.27	2.41	0.00	6.68	
IDJJ Average		5.14	1.78	0.01	6.93	

Self-harm rates are measured by the total number of incidents and do not reflect the number of youth who have attempted selfharm. A mild attempt is when a youth verbalizes, threatens, or gestures self-injurious behavior. A moderately severe attempt is when a youth attempts self-harm and appears to be motivated by suicidal intent and as such inflicts superficial harm to self that may require minor medical attention. A serious attempt is when a youth self-harms and as such inflicts harm to self that requires medical attention or whose attempt is believed to be potentially lethal and is scheduled for medical observation.

Table 5: CY 2015 Distributi	Table 5: CY 2015 Distribution of DSM-5 Diagnoses of Youth in Facilities													
	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses									
January	0.63%	24.06%	36.72%	22.50%	16.09%									
February	1.32%	22.86%	37.99%	23.03%	14.80%									
March	1.82%	28.60%	34.86%	20.03%	14.69%									
April	2.76%	23.04%	37.48%	22.58%	14.13%									
May	2.19%	24.38%	33.59%	23.28%	16.56%									
June	2.91%	23.26%	33.76%	22.94%	17.12%									
July	1.71%	22.98%	34.01%	25.16%	16.15%									
August	2.12%	22.06%	34.97%	24.67%	16.18%									
September	1.70%	23.46%	35.03%	24.54%	15.28%									
October	2.43%	20.87%	35.83%	23.65%	17.22%									
November	2.23%	21.79%	35.57%	24.21%	16.20%									
December	3.44%	24.95%	39.78%	18.92%	16.34%									
IDJJ Average	2.11%	23.53%	35.80%	22.96%	15.90%									

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition, is a commonly used tool in the psychology field to provide standard criteria and descriptions of mental disorders. It contains a variety of mental disorders including depression and bipolar disorder and also includes diagnoses of conduct disorder and substance abuse disorders. Nearly all youth in IDJJ facilities have a minimum of one diagnosis according to DSM-5 definitions. Nationally, research estimates that between 40 and 60% of incarcerated juveniles have a diagnosable mental disorder. These estimates tend to exclude substance abuse and conduct disorders.

IYC Warrenville IDJJ Total	8 185	8 178	10 176	9 178	12 181	16 156	16 192	20 196	12 188	12 188	9 166	5 162
IYC St. Charles	37	32	36	36	40	35	37	36	39	38	35	36
IYC Pere Marquette	40	42	32	36	37	39	50	47	51	52	47	50
IYC Kewanee	27	24	26	26	17	3	27	27	26	28	28	23
IYC Harrisburg	50	49	51	49	48	42	40	44	41	34	24	20
IYC Chicago	23	23	21	22	27	21	22	22	19	24	23	28
	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015

Table 6: CY 2015 Number of Substance Abuse Treatment Participants

Table 7: CY 2015 Primary Substance Abused by Youth in Treatment

	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	Average
Marijuana	77.8%	78.1%	80.1%	81.5%	80.7%	74.4%	75.5%	72.4%	75.4%	74.0%	73.0%	77.5%	76.7%
Alcohol	13.0%	12.9%	11.9%	11.2%	12.2%	15.4%	16.7%	16.8%	15.0%	17.1%	16.0%	15.6%	14.5%
Other Drugs	8.1%	7.9%	6.8%	6.2%	7.2%	9.0%	6.8%	10.2%	8.6%	7.5%	8.0%	5.6%	7.7%
No Diagnosis	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%	0.5%	1.1%	1.4%	3.1%	1.3%	1.2%

Each of the six IDJJ facilities have an in-house substance abuse treatment program. Treatment at the facilities utilizes education and group therapy to help decrease future substance use. The number of treatment participants is the total number of youth enrolled in a substance abuse program during the month of interest. Due to treatment failure, drop out, and rolling acceptance into the programs, the number of youth reported in a program tends to be greater than the actual number of youth enrolled at one time. Primary substance abused is the primary drug of choice for a youth in treatment. Many youth enrolled in treatment are polysubstance users and are treated accordingly.

	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	
IYC Chicago	65	53	56	84	51	86	85	81	72	75	60	43	
IYC Harrisburg	103	87	90	85	83	79	83	86	83	93	98	95	
IYC Kewanee	134	126	131	125	123	98	100	126	124	105	82	81	
IYC Pere Marquette	23	22	18	24	14	21	25	25	27	35	28	33	
IYC St. Charles	176	193	214	167	214	186	195	180	174	170	175	138	
IYC Warrenville	24	30	30	27	34	37	32	33	31	25	20	9	
IDJJ Total	525	511	539	512	519	507	520	531	511	503	463	399	
Table 9: CY 2015 Teach	ers Emplo	yed											
	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	
IYC Chicago	7	7	7	7	6	7	6	7	7	7	7	8	
IYC Harrisburg	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	15	16	16	
IYC Kewanee	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	11	11	15	17	
IYC Pere Marquette	2	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	
IYC St. Charles	14	14	14	14	13	13	13	12	13	14	14	18	
IYC Warrenville	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	
IDJJ Total	57	56	56	56	54	57	54	55	56	57	63	70	
Table 10: CY 2015 Perc	1		tion Stud										
		02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015	
IYC Chicago	15.4%	22.6%	21.4%	20.2%	41.2%	26.7%	29.4%	27.2%	36.1%	41.3%	30.0%	34.9%	
IYC Harrisburg	46.6%	51.7%	40.0%	42.4%	22.9%	51.9%	45.8%	44.2%	43.4%	48.4%	39.8%	42.1%	
IYC Kewanee	57.5%	57.9%	54.2%	56.8%	53.7%			56.4%	58.1%	59.0%	58.5%	60.5%	
						56.1%	54.0%						
IYC Pere Marquette	47.8%	9.1%	0.0%	56.8% 0.0%	0.0%	56.1% 0.0%	54.0% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	48.5%	
IYC St. Charles	34.1%	9.1% 29.0%	0.0% 16.8%	0.0% 32.9%	0.0% 23.8%	0.0% 23.7%	0.0% 33.3%	0.0% 25.6%	0.0% 28.7%	0.0% 32.9%	57.1% 34.3%	48.5% 30.4%	
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville	34.1% 29.2%	9.1% 29.0% 26.7%	0.0% 16.8% 33.3%	0.0% 32.9% 44.4%	0.0% 23.8% 50.0%	0.0% 23.7% 46.0%	0.0% 33.3% 46.9%	0.0% 25.6% 39.4%	0.0% 28.7% 35.5%	0.0% 32.9% 52.0%	57.1% 34.3% 40.0%	48.5% 30.4% 11.1%	
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville	34.1%	9.1% 29.0%	0.0% 16.8%	0.0% 32.9%	0.0% 23.8%	0.0% 23.7%	0.0% 33.3%	0.0% 25.6%	0.0% 28.7%	0.0% 32.9%	57.1% 34.3%	48.5% 30.4%	
IYC St. Charles	34.1% 29.2% 40.6%	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4%	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6%	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8%	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5%	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5%	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2%	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8%	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3%	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2%	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8%	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9%	
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% rall Diplon 01/2015	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% has Award 02/2015	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015	0.0% 32.9% 44.4%	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5%	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015	0.0% 28.7% 35.5%	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015	57.1% 34.3% 40.0%	48.5% 30.4% 11.1%	
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago	34.1% 29.2% 40.6%	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4%	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6%	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015 1	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5%	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2%	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8%	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3%	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2%	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8%	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9%	
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% rall Diplon 01/2015	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% 02/2015 0 6	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015 3 7	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2 10	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5% 06/2015 1 7	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015 2 3	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015 2 7	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% 09/2015 1 8	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5	18 74
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% rall Diplon 01/2015 2 3 1	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% 1as Award 02/2015 0 6 9	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015 3 7 2	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015 1 15 1	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2 10 7	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5% 06/2015 1 7 8	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015 2 3 9	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015 2 7 2	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% 09/2015 1 8 9	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2	18 74 69
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% call Diplon 01/2015 2 3	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% 02/2015 0 6	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015 3 7 2 2 2	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015 1 15 1 2	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2 10	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5% 06/2015 1 7	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015 2 3	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015 2 7 2 5	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% 09/2015 1 8	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5	18 74 69 18
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette IYC St. Charles	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% rall Diplon 01/2015 2 3 1	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% 1as Award 02/2015 0 6 9 0 0 0	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015 3 7 2	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015 1 15 1 2 5	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2 10 7 0 3	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5% 06/2015 1 7 8	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015 2 3 9	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015 2 7 2 5 3	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% 09/2015 1 8 9	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10 0 3	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2	18 74 69 18 37
IYC St. Charles IYC Warrenville IDJJ Average Table 11: CY 2015 Over IYC Chicago IYC Harrisburg IYC Kewanee IYC Pere Marquette	34.1% 29.2% 40.6% rall Diplon 01/2015 2 3 1 3	9.1% 29.0% 26.7% 38.4% 1as Award 02/2015 0 6 9 0	0.0% 16.8% 33.3% 27.6% led 03/2015 3 7 2 2 2	0.0% 32.9% 44.4% 37.8% 04/2015 1 15 1 2	0.0% 23.8% 50.0% 33.5% 05/2015 2 10 7 0	0.0% 23.7% 46.0% 35.5% 06/2015 1 7 8 0	0.0% 33.3% 46.9% 37.2% 07/2015 2 3 9 0	0.0% 25.6% 39.4% 35.8% 08/2015 2 7 2 5	0.0% 28.7% 35.5% 40.3% 09/2015 1 8 9 0	0.0% 32.9% 52.0% 41.2% 10/2015 2 1 10 0	57.1% 34.3% 40.0% 40.8% 11/2015 1 2 9 3	48.5% 30.4% 11.1% 40.9% 12/2015 1 5 2 3	Tota 18 74 69 18 37 11 227

School District 428 offers three types of diplomas for students: 8th grade, high school, and general equivalency. The District offers blended learning, utilizing both online and in-person instruction. An estimated 10% of youth admitted to the Department receive some sort of diploma during their stay. Nationally, it is estimated that about 40% of youth held in juvenile facilities have a need for special education services.

Table 12: CY 2015 Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015
Youth on Youth Assaults	5.1	2.3	3.2	3.6	3.1	3.9	5.2	6.5	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.1
Youth Fights	6.0	4.9	7.4	8.1	6.6	11.0	9.7	9.9	10.0	11.3	12.2	13.9
Youth on Staff Assaults	2.1	1.9	3.5	1.6	2.6	3.0	2.2	4.3	4.0	3.8	1.6	3.3
Overall Reportable Incidents	13.2	9.1	14.1	13.3	12.3	17.9	17.1	20.7	18.8	20.0	18.3	21.3

Reportable incidents measure incidents of disruption in a correctional facility. The numbers provided are rates per 100 youth in IDJJ custody. Youth on youth assaults are when one or more youth strikes another youth or youths. Youth fights are when two or more youth mutually engage in a fight. Youth on staff assaults are when one or more youth commit physical violence against one or more staff members.

Table 13: CY 2015 Uses of Chemical Restraints

	2010 0000 01 0100												
		01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015
	IYC Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC Harrisburg	1	0	1	0	1	5	2	2	5	4	2	1
	IYC Kewanee	3	5	1	6	9	1	2	2	4	1	0	2
	IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC St. Charles	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	1	1	1	0
	IYC Warrenville	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total		5	5	3	9	11	8	5	7	10	6	3	3

Uses of chemical restraints are instances of the use of pepper spray as a use of force. The table provides a total number of uses at each facility per month. After any use, youth are checked by a medical professional and have an opportunity to shower.

Table 14: CY 2015 Uses of Mechanical Restraints

IDJJ Total		237	182	190	211	180	204	175	149	303	173	174	132
	IYC Warrenville	5	2	9	1	6	2	2	12	7	8	2	2
	IYC St. Charles	137	65	46	94	83	89	101	34	164	44	47	35
	IYC Pere Marquette	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	IYC Kewanee	74	78	92	65	61	61	47	57	100	98	95	68
	IYC Harrisburg	12	25	28	15	1	35	19	23	11	15	18	17
	IYC Chicago	8	12	14	36	29	16	6	23	21	8	12	10
		01/2015	02/2015	03/2015	04/2015	05/2015	06/2015	07/2015	08/2015	09/2015	10/2015	11/2015	12/2015

Uses of mechanical restraints are the temporary application of handcuffs as a use of force. The numbers provided are the total number of uses at each facility per month. If there is an incident where two youth are placed in mechanical restraints at the same time, that is counted as two uses. After any use, youth are checked by a medical professional.

Table 15: Uses of Confinement for December 2015

			Average
	Number	Rate of	Length
	of Uses	Usage	of Hold
Extended Behavioral Holds	15	2.8	439.4
Time Outs	260	48.3	58.5
Cool Downs	79	14.7	38.9

In summer 2015, IDJJ began piloting new policies and procedures regarding the use of confinement and removal of youth from regular programming and housing. These policies and procedures redefine "confinement" broadly and created subtypes based on the reason for its use. The Department also developed new ways of measuring the use of confinement. October 2015 was the first month using the new measurement strategy. To aid public understanding of confinement use, data is being presented on the number of uses of types of confinement, the rate of use per 100 youth in facility, and the average length of a confinement hold in minutes. The numbers represent uses of confinement and not youths confined. An extended behavioral hold is a placement of a youth in secure location used when the youth's behavior poses a serious and immediate threat to safety or security. A time out is where a youth is placed in a secure location due to behavior that is out of control, violent, or aggressive. During this time, youth are routinely de-escalated and counseled by staff. A cool down is the temporary removal of youth from programming to an unsecured location while under constant staff supervision. Cool downs are used for youth behaving disruptively and are a non-secure tactic to de-escalate behavior.

Table 16: Youth on Aftercare

	July	August	September	October	November	December
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	1510	1495	1359	1356	1285	1263
Number of Youth in Community	938	895	785	783	731	799
Number of Youth in Facilities	442	479	447	464	461	376
Number of Youth on Warrant Status	130	121	127	109	93	88
ble 17: Caseloads in Aftercare (Per Specialist)						
ble 17: Caseloads in Aftercare (Per Specialist)	July	August	September	October	November	December
ble 17: Caseloads in Aftercare (Per Specialist) Average Number of Youth on Caseload	July 28	August 27.7	September 25.2	October 25.1	November 23.8	December 24.8
× • • · · ·	2	0	*			
Average Number of Youth on Caseload	28	27.7	25.2	25.1	23.8	24.8

There are three groups of youth served by Aftercare. Youth in facilities are currently held in an IDJJ facility, but preparing for their transition to the community. Youth in the community are currently supervised through Aftercare after release from a facility. Youth on warrant status are in violation of the terms of their supervised release and are being held in a facility pending a legal hearing or have a warrant issued for their arrest. The average number of youth on caseload provides the average number of all types of youth that one Aftercare Specialist will supervise.

Table 18: Aftercare Specialist Contact per Youth in December by Office

Aftercare Regional Office	Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Community Contacts	Contacts per Youth in Community		
Chicago	658	1.9	563	2.2		
Chicago Heights	349	1.6	292	1.9		
Aurora	216	2	163	3.2		
Rockford	230	1.9	178	2.7		
Peoria	394	3.1	351	4		
East St. Louis	260	2.2	204	3.3		
Champaign	286	2.8	256	4.1		
Springfield	221	2.2	170	2.9		
IDJJ Total	2614	2.1	2177	2.7		

Contacts between Aftercare and a youth start to occur prior to their release from an IDJJ facility. Contacts, both overall and in the community, are attempted or actual face-to-face meetings that occur between Aftercare Specialists and youth, their host site, placement staff, and engaged friends and family members. Contacts per youth provides an average number of contacts an Aftercare specialists will have for each youth on their caseload. Contacts per youth in community only examines contacts regarding youth that are actively monitored in the community. Per Departmental policy, Aftercare Specialists are meant to have one to four meetings per month with each youth, depending on their level of supervision.