



**Monthly Report:
December**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22	23	24	30	23	14
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28	34	27	31	29	31
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8	8	10	12	15	9
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30	36	35	27	28	24
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17	13	18	22	24	23
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105	114	114	122	119	101


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8	19.3
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5	33.3
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3	11.9
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2	24.4
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3	22.6
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1	111.5

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	82	79	78	72	72	79	78	78	78	74		
Harrisburg	131	130	130	129	126	121	119	126	124	122		
Pere Marquette	46	44	44	42	37	43	43	45	42	42		
St. Charles	135	133	127	129	126	133	124	126	126	123		
Warrenville	65	61	60	59	56	68	61	60	59	56		
IDJJ Total	459	447	439	431	417	444	425	435	429	417		

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%	74.1%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%	66.0%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%	57.9%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%	35.7%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%	68.0%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%	59.0%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	28.2%	68.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.9%	40.0%	51.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
December	0.00%	2.78%	13.39%	17.86%	66.07%
IDJJ Average	1.62%	2.93%	14.74%	22.33%	58.40%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13	11	12	9	11	9
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8	7	8	8	8	9
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14	13	12	13	19	19
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	7	6	5	7	5
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6	4	7	7	9	7
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49	42	45	42	54	49

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38	102	92	126	113	129
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-	55	104	86	95	84
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62	172	103	65	-	105
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70	-	56	-	28	-
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23	-	116	105	-	-
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68	102	97	106	87	103

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%	36.4%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%	0%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%	54.5%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.1%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%	77.6%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.1%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%	16.3%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported opioids, 4 reported amphetamines, 1 reported benzodiazepine, and 2 reported ecstasy.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9	16	16	24	18	11
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27	27	31	24	31	21
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5	6	8	6	9	9
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16	15	12	16	13	11
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10	7	10	12	17	17
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67	71	77	82	88	69

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	13	13	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	6	5	5
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39	39	37	36	35	35

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	0.8
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6	0.9
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3	2.0
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	4.8
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	8.0
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.4

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	0	0	3	1	0	19
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	3	1	14
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	1	13
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	8
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	1	3	7	8	2	59

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%	54.5%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%	90.4%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.6%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%	45.5%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%	47.1%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%	62.3%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	4
IYC Pere Marquette	9	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	9	6

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	3	30%
IDJJ Total	3	6%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0	6	4	8	7	1
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6	7	7	6	11	9
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1	1	4	0	0	7
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12	5	16	19	17	6
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7	9	4	11	17	4
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26	28	35	44	52	27

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	5.2	19.6
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.0	27.2
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	58.8	38.9
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	24.6	35.9
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	17.7	41.4
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	24.2	32.0

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3	1	3	1	3	3
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	2	5	3	5	2
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1	4	1	5	4	2
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5	8	10	12	13	8

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	5.2	4.0
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.0	9.7
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	8.2	6.0
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	8.8	11.5
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.2	7.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0	3	1	4	5	0
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3	6	2	4	6	4
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	4
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4	1	9	10	7	2
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2	2	1	4	2
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11	12	18	19	22	12

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	0	9.2
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	12.0	13.9
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	33.6	17.0
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	8.2	17.9
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	8.8	10.9
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	10.8	13.9

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	2	1	1	0
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	2	1	2	2
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	3
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7	2	2	6	5	2
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3	3	1	5	9	0
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10	8	7	13	17	7

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	0	6.5
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	6.0	3.6
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	10.5	0	0	0	25.2	15.1
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	8.2	12.0
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	0	19
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	6.3	10.2

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	5	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0	1	1	2	1	1
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	1	5	2	4
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5	2	2	3	5	2
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1	0	0	4	6	2
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9	3	4	14	14	9

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	3	2
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20	18	15	11	23	30
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1	1	2	0	0	2
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17	5	17	36	39	10
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18	35	10	29	46	29
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56	61	44	76	111	73

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0	9	0	0	12	10
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60	56	58	38	75	90
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12	11	22	0	0	17
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44	15	44	124	143	41
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116	233	68	133	197	128
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49	54	40	64	92	65

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-	53	-	-	115	63
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134	100	92	122	105	110
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80	208	13	-	-	53
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156	635	132	80	73	51
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54	65	33	68	94	97
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114	124	90	82	89	94

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3	-	-	-	1	-
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	2	-	0	-
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	2	0	1	4

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	8	9	23
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	5
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15	1	0	9	9	28


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	-
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	5	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	430	435	419	404	402	398
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	210	213	205	184	187	194
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84	86	84	94	91	83
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123	123	122	113	114	111
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13	13	8	13	12	10

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.4
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – December 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	36	4.5	32	4
Chicago Heights	3	12	4	11	3.7
Aurora	2	14	7	15	7.5
Rockford	4	23	5.8	20	5
Peoria	4	21	5.3	17	4.3
Belleville	7	37	5.3	30	4.3
Champaign	4	34	8.5	28	7
Springfield	3	17	5.7	12	4
Placement	6	63	10.5	15	2.5
Intensive	3	20	6.7	16	5.3
IDJJ Total	44	277	6.3	194	4.4

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – December 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	127	3.5	124	3.9
Chicago Heights	73	6.1	71	6.5
Aurora	69	4.9	67	4.5
Rockford	88	3.8	85	4.3
Peoria	84	4	78	4.6
Belleville	84	2.3	81	2.7
Champaign	72	2.1	65	2.3
Springfield	78	4.6	73	6.1
Placement	139	2.2	118	7.9
IDJJ Total	814	2.9	762	3.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
IDJJ Average	4.8	3.6	1.2	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
IDJJ Average	73.5%	25.1%	1.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23	32	36	26	18	22
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%	9.1%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35	26	36	18	22	43
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%	79%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34	25	33	16	23	35
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21	30	29	35	21	14

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	December 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	4.5%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	2	9.1%
Initial Commitment	11	50%
New Commitment	6	27.3%
Technical Parole Violator	2	9.1%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total		

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, December 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for December 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	90	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	39	15
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	40	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	115	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	51	11
Total	335	45

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	5	0	2	39	17	63
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	2	6	1	2	13
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	15	7	6	5	3	36
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	2	15	4	14	6	41
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	9	5	9	4	4	31
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	57	10	13	52	19	151
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	10	15	0	9	11	45

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	3	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	10	6	3	3	4	7	0	3	14	14	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	18	10	4	10	7	7	4	0	8	2	15
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	4	17	15	2	7	6	2	0	3	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	5	6	8	3	7	6	8	3	5	8	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	4	5	0	5	5	0	2	2	2	11
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14	8	32	26	45

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	8	6	6	7	4	5	-	4	5	8	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	8	10	6	9	5	4	-	12	10	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	18	8	16	10	8	7	11	-	5	0	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	9	10	11	8	4	10	10	4	7	6	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	45	8	12	-	10	4	-	8	5	5	8
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8	5	7	7	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: December 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	82	60	4	75
<i>Moderate</i>	14	32	36	20
<i>Low</i>	1	5	57	2
Total	97	97	97	97


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	27	-	-
<i>High</i>	22	92	72
<i>Moderate-High</i>	18	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	20	0	19
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	2	-	-
<i>Low</i>	4	1	2
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	93	93	93

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	4	15	8
<i>Moderate-High</i>	20	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	33	18	37
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	18	-	-
<i>Low</i>	15	7	47
<i>None</i>	1	53	1
Total	93	93	93

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17
December	0	3	0	2	4	4	16	25

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for December 31st, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	90	90.9%	309	96.6%
Female	9	9.1%	11	3.4%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.63		18.4	
16 & Under	33	33.3%	44	13.8%
17 to 20	64	64.6%	253	79.1%
20.5 & Over	2	2.0%	21	6.6%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	20	20.2%	52	16.3%
Black	65	65.7%	223	69.7%
Hispanic	7	7.1%	25	7.8%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	7	7.1%	17	5.3%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	21	21.2%	71	22.2%
Collar Counties	8	8.1%	28	8.8%
Metro-East	5	5.1%	24	7.5%
Central	36	36.4%	111	34.7%
Southern	13	13.1%	21	6.6%
Northern	16	16.2%	54	16.9%
Out of State	0	0%	11	3.4%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	7	7.1%	2	0.6%
Class X Felony	35	35.4%	69	21.6%
Class 1 Felony	22	22.2%	98	30.6%
Class 2 Felony	24	24.2%	111	34.7%
Class 3 Felony	7	7.1%	15	4.7%
Class 4 Felony	4	4.0%	14	4.4%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	4	1	3	0
Class X Felony	84	12	10	2
Class 1 Felony	90	19	7	9
Class 2 Felony	88	30	10	10
Class 3 Felony	6	9	3	4
Class 4 Felony	14	2	1	0
Total	286	73	34	25

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	3.0%	1	0.3%
Delinquent	56	56.0%	275	85.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	6	6.1%	7	2.2%
Felon	28	28.3%	2	0.6%
First Degree Murderer	3	3.0%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	1	1.0%	13	4.1%
Violent Juvenile	2	2.0%	10	3.1%
From Other State	0	0%	11	3.4%

Aftercare Completion – December 2021

C3 Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	24	96%
Female	1	4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.4	
16 & Under	12	48%
17 to 20	12	48%
20.5 & Over	1	4%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	3	12%
Collar Counties	3	12%
Metro-East	1	4%
Central	9	36%
Southern	5	20%
Northern	3	12%
Out of State	1	4%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	7	28%
Black	15	60%
Hispanic	1	4%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	2	8%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	2	8%
Class 1 Felony	9	36%
Class 2 Felony	8	32%
Class 3 Felony	4	16%
Class 4 Felony	1	4%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	22	88%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	2	8%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	1	4%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	12	48%
Aftercare Discharge	7	28%
Adult Sentence Discharge	2	8%
Transfer to Adult Parole	1	4%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	4%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	4%
Statutory Discharge	1	4%
Death	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
November**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22	23	24	30	23
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28	34	27	31	29
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8	8	10	12	15
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30	36	35	27	28
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17	13	18	22	24
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105	114	114	122	119


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	82	79	78	72	72	79	78	78	78	74	
Harrisburg	131	130	130	129	126	121	119	126	124	122	
Pere Marquette	46	44	44	42	37	43	43	45	42	42	
St. Charles	135	133	127	129	126	133	124	126	126	123	
Warrenville	65	61	60	59	56	68	61	60	59	56	
IDJJ Total	459	447	439	431	417	444	425	435	429	417	

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	1.0%	40.9%	50.1%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
IDJJ Average	1.76%	2.94%	14.86%	22.74%	57.70%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13	11	12	9	11
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8	7	8	8	8
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14	13	12	13	19
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	7	6	5	7
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6	4	7	7	9
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49	42	45	42	54

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38	102	92	126	113
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-	55	104	86	95
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62	172	103	65	-
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70	-	56	-	28
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23	-	116	105	-
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68	102	97	106	87

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported cocaine, 2 reported opioids, 4 reported amphetamines, 1 reported benzodiazepine, and 4 reported ecstasy.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9	16	16	24	18
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27	27	31	24	31
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5	6	8	6	9
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16	15	12	16	13
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10	7	10	12	17
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67	71	77	82	88

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	13	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	6	5
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39	39	37	36	35

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	4
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	0	0	3	1	19
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	3	13
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	12
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	8
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	1	3	7	8	57

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	3	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	5
IYC Pere Marquette	12	0
IYC St. Charles	0	4
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	15	9

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	7	50%
IDJJ Total	7	10%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0	6	4	8	7
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6	7	7	6	11
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1	1	4	0	0
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12	5	16	19	17
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7	9	4	11	17
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26	28	35	44	52

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	21
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.2
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	37.1
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	37
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	43.5
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	32.7

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	1
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3	1	3	1	3
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	2	5	3	5
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1	4	1	5	4
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5	8	10	12	13

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	3.9
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.7
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.5
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	5.8
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	11.7
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0	3	1	4	5
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3	6	2	4	6
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	0
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4	1	9	10	7
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2	2	1	4
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11	12	18	19	22

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	10.0
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	14.1
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	15.4
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	18.8
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	11.1
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	14.2

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	2	1	1
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	2	1	2
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7	2	2	6	5
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3	3	1	5	9
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10	8	7	13	17

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	7.1
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	3.4
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	10.5	0	0	0	14.2
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	12.4
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	20.7
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	10.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	5

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0	1	1	2	1
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	1	5	2
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5	2	2	3	6
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1	0	0	4	7
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9	3	4	14	16

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	3
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20	18	15	11	23
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1	1	2	0	0
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17	5	17	36	39
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18	35	10	29	46
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56	61	44	76	111

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0	9	0	0	12
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60	56	58	38	75
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12	11	22	0	0
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44	15	44	124	143
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116	233	68	133	197
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49	54	40	64	92

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-	53	-	-	115
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134	100	92	122	105
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80	208	13	-	-
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156	635	132	80	73
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54	65	33	68	94
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114	124	90	82	89

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3	-	-	-	1
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	2	-	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	2	0	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	8	9
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15	1	0	9	9


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	1	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	5

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	430	435	419	404	402
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	210	213	205	184	187
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84	86	84	94	91
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123	123	122	113	114
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13	13	8	13	12

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – November 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	36	4.5	30	3.8
Chicago Heights	3	12	4	10	3.3
Aurora	2	14	7	14	7
Rockford	4	23	5.8	19	4.8
Peoria	4	21	5.3	16	4
Belleville	7	37	5.3	28	4
Champaign	4	34	8.5	27	6.8
Springfield	3	17	5.7	11	3.7
Placement	6	63	10.5	14	2.3
Intensive	3	21	7	15	5
IDJJ Total	44	278	6.3	184	4.2

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – November 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	97	2.7	91	3
Chicago Heights	48	4	40	4
Aurora	46	3.3	46	3.3
Rockford	86	3.7	76	4
Peoria	84	4	80	5
Belleville	133	3.6	128	4.6
Champaign	110	3.2	106	3.9
Springfield	54	3.2	52	4.7
Placement	148	2.3	64	4.6
IDJJ Total	806	3.1	683	4.0

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
IDJJ Average	4.9	3.6	1.2	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	73.3%	25.1%	1.5%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23	32	36	26	18
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35	26	36	18	22
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34	25	33	16	23
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21	30	29	35	21

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	November 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	11.1%
Court Evaluation Return	1	5.6%
Discharged and Recommitted	0	0%
Initial Commitment	11	61.1%
New Commitment	0	0%
Technical Parole Violator	4	22.2%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	18	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, November 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for November 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	90	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	39	15
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	40	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	115	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	51	11
Total	335	45

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	5	0	2	39	17	63
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	2	6	1	2	13
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	15	7	6	5	3	36
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	2	15	4	14	6	41
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	9	5	9	4	4	31
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	57	10	13	52	19	151
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	10	15	0	9	11	45

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	3	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	10	6	3	3	4	7	0	3	14	14	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	18	10	4	10	7	7	4	0	8	2	15
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	4	17	15	2	7	6	2	0	3	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	5	6	8	3	7	6	8	3	5	8	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	4	5	0	5	5	0	2	2	2	11
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14	8	32	26	45

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	8	6	6	7	4	5	-	4	5	8	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	8	10	6	9	5	4	-	12	10	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	18	8	16	10	8	7	11	-	5	0	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	9	10	11	8	4	10	10	4	7	6	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	45	8	12	-	10	4	-	8	5	5	8
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8	5	7	7	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: November 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	74	49	7	63
<i>Moderate</i>	19	37	40	28
<i>Low</i>	1	8	47	3
Total	94	94	94	94


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	17	-	-
<i>High</i>	15	89	61
<i>Moderate-High</i>	23	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	23	1	27
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	6	-	-
<i>Low</i>	6	0	2
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	90	90	90

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	5	-	-
<i>High</i>	7	20	17
<i>Moderate-High</i>	27	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	25	21	40
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	18	-	-
<i>Low</i>	7	5	32
<i>None</i>	1	44	1
Total	90	90	90

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for November 30th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	109	93.2%	298	96.4%
Female	8	6.8%	11	3.6%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.6		18.5	
16 & Under	34	29.1%	44	14.2%
17 to 20	81	69.2%	241	78%
20.5 & Over	2	1.7%	22	7.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	23	19.7%	48	15.5%
Black	78	66.7%	215	69.6%
Hispanic	11	9.4%	24	7.8%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	5	4.3%	20	6.4%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	23	19.7%	70	22.7%
Collar Counties	8	6.8%	29	9.4%
Metro-East	7	6%	24	7.8%
Central	41	35%	105	34%
Southern	12	10.3%	22	7.1%
Northern	26	22.2%	48	15.5%
Out of State	0	0%	11	3.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	6.8%	1	0.3%
Class X Felony	34	29.1%	70	22.7%
Class 1 Felony	35	29.9%	90	29.1%
Class 2 Felony	28	23.9%	110	35.6%
Class 3 Felony	10	8.5%	12	3.9%
Class 4 Felony	2	1.7%	15	4.9%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	4	1	3	0
Class X Felony	84	12	10	2
Class 1 Felony	90	19	7	9
Class 2 Felony	88	30	10	10
Class 3 Felony	6	9	3	4
Class 4 Felony	14	2	1	0
Total	286	73	34	25

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	9	7.7%	1	0.3%
Delinquent	62	53%	270	87.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	9	7.7%	4	1.3%
Felon	30	25.6%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	3	2.6%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.9%	13	4.2%
Violent Juvenile	3	2.6%	9	2.9%
From Other State	0	0%	11	3.6%

Aftercare Completion – November 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	1	4%
Female	24	96%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.8	
16 & Under	3	12%
17 to 20	16	64%
20.5 & Over	5	20%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	4	16%
Black	16	64%
Hispanic	3	12%
Multi/Biracial	2	8%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	7	28%
Collar Counties	4	16%
Metro-East	1	4%
Central	6	24%
Southern	1	4%
Northern	4	16%
Out of State	2	8%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	4	16%
Class 1 Felony	5	20%
Class 2 Felony	8	32%
Class 3 Felony	3	12%
Class 4 Felony	3	12%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	21	84%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	4%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	4%
From Other State	2	8%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	4	16%
Aftercare Discharge	10	40%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	12%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	3	12%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	2	8%
Statutory Discharge	3	12%
Death	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





Quarterly Report

October 2021

JB Pritzker
Governor

Heidi Mueller
Director

Table of Contents

Topic	Page
Narrative	2
Population Data	3
Demographic Data	4
Capacity by Facility	5
Educational and Vocational Enrollment	6
Security Staffing Ratios	7

The Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice has two goals:

- Make Illinois communities safer for all residents.
- Foster better life outcomes for youth in the Department's custody by providing appropriate and effective rehabilitation.

In March 2015, the Department unveiled its comprehensive strategic plan focusing on five core principles:

- Right-Size: Reduce the use of secure custody for low-risk youth
- Rehabilitate: Improve programs to meet the needs of high-risk youth
- Reintegrate: Improve programs to ensure successful reentry
- Respect: Create a safe and respectful environment for youth and staff
- Report: Increase transparency and accountability

This Quarterly Report is part of the Department's commitment to enhance reporting and transparency. This allows the Department to more effectively inform stakeholders and the public about operations and outcomes.

Population Data

Facility	Population	
	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	23	20.2%
IYC Harrisburg	34	29.8%
IYC Pere Marquette	8	7.0%
IYC St. Charles	36	31.6%
IYC Warrenville	13	11.4%
Total	114	

Aftercare Site	Population	
	Number	Percent
District 1	98	28.0%
District 2	93	26.6%
District 3	60	17.1%
District 4	41	11.7%
Interstate Compact	24	6.9%
Placement Unit	24	6.9%
Apprehension	10	2.9%
Total	350	

Populations for Aftercare are organized based on the district the monitoring Aftercare Specialist reports to. "Interstate Compact" indicates youth from Illinois monitored in other states and youth from other states monitored in Illinois under Interstate Compact agreements. "Placement Unit" indicates youth that are actively in residential placement in the community. "Apprehension" indicates youth that are absent without leave and currently assigned to one of the Department of Corrections' apprehension units.

Data on populations were obtained from Youth 360 for August 31st, 2021.

Demographic Data

Sex	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	109	97.3%	337	96.3%
Female	3	2.7%	13	3.7%

Age	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.8		18.4	
16 & Under	23	20.5%	59	17.0%
17 to 20	85	75.9%	270	77.8%
20.5 to 21	4	3.6%	18	5.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	17	15.2%	62	17.7%
Black	80	71.4%	235	67.1%
Hispanic	10	8.9%	32	9.1%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	5	4.5%	19	5.4%

Committing Counties[i]	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	24	21.4%	86	24.6%
Collar Counties	4	3.6%	32	9.1%
Metro-East	11	9.8%	21	6.0%
Central	44	39.3%	113	32.3%
Southern	9	8.0%	28	8.0%
Northern	20	17.9%	58	16.6%
Out of State	0	0.0%	12	3.5%

Offense Class	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	9	8.0%	0	0.0%
Class X Felony	30	26.8%	83	23.7%
Class 1 Felony	32	28.6%	102	29.1%
Class 2 Felony	32	28.6%	116	33.1%
Class 3 Felony	5	4.5%	21	6.0%
Class 4 Felony	4	3.6%	16	4.6%

Jurisdiction	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Juvenile Court Commitments	80	71.4%	349	99.7%
Criminal Court Sentences	32	28.6%	1	0.3%

[i] Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion, Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago

Data on demographics was obtained from Youth 360 for August 31st, 2021.

Capacity by Facility

Facility	Agency Capacity		
	Population	Capacity	Percent Used
IYC Chicago	23	130	17.69%
IYC Harrisburg	34	319	10.66%
IYC Pere Marquette	8	40	20.00%
IYC St. Charles	36	348	10.34%
IYC Warrenville	13	78	16.67%
Total	114	915	12.46%

Capacity is measured as the total number of beds in a facility not located within a secure confinement location. Currently, the Department utilizes approximately an eighth of all beds available.

Facility	Single Bunking		
	Population	Capacity	Percent Used
IYC Chicago	23	73	31.51%
IYC Harrisburg	34	276	12.32%
IYC Pere Marquette	8	24	33.33%
IYC St. Charles	36	240	15.00%
IYC Warrenville	13	70	18.57%
Total	114	683	16.69%

To align with national best practices, the Department focuses on single bunking youth. Single bunk capacity is the number of single beds in a facility not located within a secure confinement location. Currently, the Department uses approximately 16% of these beds.

Population data was obtained from Youth 360 for August 31st, 2021.

Educational and Vocational Enrollment

School Enrollment	General Education	Special Education	Vocational Education
IYC Chicago	16	6	4
IYC Harrisburg	27	19	9
IYC Pere Marquette	6	4	6
IYC St. Charles	15	5	6
IYC Warrenville	7	3	0
Total	71	37	25

Special education and vocational education students are duplicated in the general education counts.

Vocational Enrollment	Collegiate Culinary Arts	Collegiate Custodial Maintenance	Drafting & Design Technology	Horticulture	Construction Occupations	Barber College/Salon
IYC Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	4
IYC Harrisburg	5	3	0	1	0	0
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	6	0	0	0
IYC St. Charles	0	1	0	1	4	0
IYC Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	5	4	6	2	4	4

As a result of the *RJ vs. Mueller* consent decree, the Department must achieve 10 to 1 ratios of students to teachers for general education and 6 to 1 ratios for special education. As of August 31st, the Department averaged 1.2 general education students for each general education teacher and 3.4 special education students for each special education teacher.

Data was obtained from the School District for August 31st, 2021.

Youth to Security Staff Ratios

Security Staffing Ratios	First Shift (6am to 2pm)	Second Shift (2pm to 10pm)	Third Shift (10pm to 6am)
IYC Chicago	2	2	3.2
IYC Harrisburg	1.7	1.9	3
IYC Pere Marquette	1.1	1.9	3.2
IYC St. Charles	1.5	1.6	3.4
IYC Warrenville	2	1.6	3.4
Total	1.7	1.8	3.2

Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards dictate direct care staffing ratios of 8 to 1 staff to youth during waking hours and 16 to 1 staff to youth during non-waking hours. In October 2017, the Federal government provided clarification on the staffing standards, rendering calculation of a staffing ratio no longer meaningful. Instead, the standard is set as the ratio of constant interactions between youth and staff that must be met. Nevertheless, calculated ratios are still provided to give an idea of facility staffing.

Staffing ratios are calculated by taking the average number of security staff reporting for each shift for the month and dividing by the average daily population of youth for the month.

Data for staffing ratios is sourced from the facilities and Youth 360. Data is current for August 2021.

Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice
2715 West Monroe
Springfield, IL 62704
Tel: (217) 557-4080
<http://www.illinois.gov/idjj>



DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
September**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22	23	24
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28	34	27
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8	8	10
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30	36	35
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17	13	18
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105	114	114


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9	22.6	22.6
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3	32.4	25.7
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2	9.5	9.3
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9	34.5	38.7
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5	15.0	14.8
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7	114.0	111.2

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	82	79	78						
Harrisburg	131	130	130						
Pere Marquette	46	44	44						
St. Charles	135	133	127						
Warrenville	65	61	60						
IDJJ Total	459	447	439						

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.9%	43.1%	47.8%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
IDJJ Average	2.08%	2.72%	13.70%	23.41%	58.09%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13	11	12
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8	7	8
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14	13	12
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	7	6
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6	4	7
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49	42	45

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38	102	92
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-	55	104
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62	172	103
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70	-	56
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23	-	116
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68	102	97

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%	35.7%	53.8%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%	42.9%	30.8%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%	21.4%	15.4%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	2.4%	2.2%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported cocaine, 2 reported opioids, 2 reported amphetamines, 1 reported benzodiazepine, and 4 reported ecstasy.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9	16	16
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27	27	31
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5	6	8
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16	15	12
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10	7	10
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67	71	77

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4	4	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39	39	37

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8	2.0	1.8
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.8
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.6
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	1.8
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.3	2.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.6

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4	3.8	2.8
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	5.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.5	5.0
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.0
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6	3.4	3.2

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	4
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	0	0	15
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	8
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	8
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	7
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	1	3	42

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%	66.7%	25.0%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%	42.9%	40.0%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg		
IYC Pere Marquette	6	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	10	2

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0	6	4
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6	7	7
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1	1	4
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12	5	16
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7	9	4
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26	28	35

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	26.5	17.7	19.3
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	21.6	27.2	26.9
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	10.5	43.0	45.3
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	1.4	41.3	31.0
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	60	27.0	39.5
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	24.6	31.4	31.0

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3	1	3
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	2	5
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1	4	1
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5	8	10

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	4.4	4.4	3.1
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	3.1	11.7	10.4
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	0	0	9.1
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	0.6	12.9	3.9
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	26.7	6.8	9.8
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.0	9.0	7.4

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0	3	1
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3	6	2
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1	0	4
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4	1	9
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2	2
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11	12	18

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	13.3	4.4	8.5
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.5
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	0	43.0	18.9
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	0.3	23.3	16.3
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	13.3	13.5	11.1
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	10.5	16.2	13.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	2
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	2
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0	1	0
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7	2	2
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3	3	1
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10	8	7

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	8.8	8.8	7.8
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	0	7.8	3.0
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	10.5	0	17.3
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	0.6	5.2	10.8
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20	6.8	18.5
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	7.0	6.3	10.1

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0	1	1
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	1
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5	2	2
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1	0	0
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9	3	4

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	0
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20	18	15
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1	1	2
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17	5	17
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18	35	10
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56	61	44

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0	9	0
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60	56	58
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12	11	22
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44	15	44
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116	233	68
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49	54	40

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-	53	-
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134	100	92
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80	208	13
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156	635	132
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54	65	33
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114	124	90

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	2
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	2

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	1	-
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15	1	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	430	435	419
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	210	213	205
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84	86	84
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123	123	122
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13	13	8

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.6
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – September 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	35	4.4	31	3.9
Chicago Heights	3	17	5.7	14	4.7
Aurora	2	17	8.5	17	8.5
Rockford	4	22	5.5	19	4.8
Peoria	4	21	5.3	15	3.8
Belleville	7	39	5.6	33	4.7
Champaign	4	33	8.3	28	7
Springfield	3	23	7.7	19	6.3
Placement	6	62	10.3	15	2.5
Intensive	3	20	6.7	14	4.7
IDJJ Total	44	289	6.6	205	4.7

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – September 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	108	3.1	105	3.4
Chicago Heights	50	2.9	41	2.9
Aurora	55	3.2	55	3.2
Rockford	86	3.9	78	4.1
Peoria	94	4.5	91	6.1
Belleville	150	3.8	143	4.3
Champaign	118	3.6	111	4.0
Springfield	82	3.6	80	4.2
Placement	131	2.1	58	3.9
IDJJ Total	874	3.2	762	3.7

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
IDJJ Average	4.4	3.3	1	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
IDJJ Average	72.4%	25.8%	1.9%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23	32	36
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35	26	36
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34	25	33
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21	30	29

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	September 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	8.3%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	8.3%
Initial Commitment	17	47.2%
New Commitment	8	22.2%
Technical Parole Violator	5	13.9%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	36	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, September 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for September 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	113	14
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	31	8
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	48	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	109	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	33	2
Total	334	32

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	4	0	1	30	9	44
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	5	3	0	4	1	13
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	17	9	2	6	2	36
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	7	5	16	8	37
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	14	4	6	4	4	32
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	72	8	34	49	9	172
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	14	8	3	5	2	32

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	3	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	10	6	3	3	4	7	0	3	14
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	18	10	4	10	7	7	4	0	8
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	4	17	15	2	7	6	2	0	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	5	6	8	3	7	6	8	3	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	4	5	0	5	5	0	2	2
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14	8	32

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	9/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	8	6	6	7	4	5	-	4	5
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	8	10	6	9	5	4	-	12
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	18	8	16	10	8	7	11	-	5
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	9	10	11	8	4	10	10	4	7
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	45	8	12	-	10	4	-	8	5
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8	5	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: September 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	97	68	4	85
<i>Moderate</i>	18	40	41	28
<i>Low</i>	1	8	71	3
Total	116	116	116	116


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	26	-	-
<i>High</i>	16	100	75
<i>Moderate-High</i>	23	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	28	1	25
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	5	-	-
<i>Low</i>	5	2	3
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	103	103	103

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	5	-	-
<i>High</i>	2	18	9
<i>Moderate-High</i>	29	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	36	23	53
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	18	-	-
<i>Low</i>	13	12	41
<i>None</i>	0	50	0
Total	103	103	130

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for September 30th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	108	96.4%	329	96.8%
Female	4	3.6%	11	3.2%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.5	
16 & Under	31	27.7%	50	14.7%
17 to 20	79	70.5%	265	77.9%
20.5 & Over	2	1.8%	22	6.5%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	20	17.9%	58	17.1%
Black	75	67.0%	230	67.6%
Hispanic	12	10.7%	29	8.5%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	5	4.5%	22	6.5%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	19	17.0%	79	23.2%
Collar Counties	7	6.3%	34	10.0%
Metro-East	9	8.0%	22	6.5%
Central	46	41.1%	114	33.5%
Southern	7	6.3%	27	7.9%
Northern	24	21.4%	54	15.9%
Out of State	0	0%	10	3.0%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	9	8.0%	0	0%
Class X Felony	30	26.8%	81	23.8%
Class 1 Felony	31	27.7%	105	30.9%
Class 2 Felony	29	25.9%	115	33.8%
Class 3 Felony	9	8.0%	14	4.1%
Class 4 Felony	4	3.6%	15	4.4%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	9	8.0%	0	0%
Delinquent	60	53.6%	300	88.2%
Extended Jurisdiction	6	5.4%	5	1.5%
Felon	31	27.7%	1	0.3%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.6%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%	13	3.8%
Violent Juvenile	2	1.8%	11	3.2%
From Other State	0	0%	10	2.9%

Aftercare Completion – September 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	35	97.2%
Female	1	2.8%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.9	
16 & Under	9	25.0%
17 to 20	26	72.2%
20.5 & Over	1	2.8%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	6	16.7%
Black	24	66.7%
Hispanic	5	13.9%
Multi/Biracial	1	2.8%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	13	36.1%
Collar Counties	2	5.6%
Metro-East	0	0%
Central	12	33.3%
Southern	2	5.6%
Northern	5	13.9%
Out of State	2	5.6%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	4	11.1%
Class 1 Felony	9	25.0%
Class 2 Felony	11	30.6%
Class 3 Felony	7	19.4%
Class 4 Felony	3	8.3%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	8.3%
Delinquent	28	77.8%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	3	8.3%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	2	5.6%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	16	44.4%
Aftercare Discharge	10	27.8%
Adult Sentence Discharge	5	13.9%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	2.8%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	2	5.6%
Statutory Discharge	1	2.8
Death	1	2.8%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
August**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22	23
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28	34
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8	8
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30	36
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17	13
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105	114


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9	22.6
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3	32.4
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2	9.5
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9	34.5
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5	15.0
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7	114.0

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	82	79	78					
Harrisburg	131	130	130					
Pere Marquette	46	44	44					
St. Charles	135	133	127					
Warrenville	65	61	60					
IDJJ Total	459	447	439					

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%	95.7%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%	69.2%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%	69.2%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%	47.3%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%	57.9%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%	64.8%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	1.0%	43.9%	46.6%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
IDJJ Average	2.34%	2.80%	14.20%	23.74%	56.93%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13	11
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8	7
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14	13
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	7
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6	4
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49	42

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38	102
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-	55
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62	172
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70	-
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23	-
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68	102

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%	35.7%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%	42.9%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%	21.4%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%	85.7%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	2.4%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%	11.9%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported using opiates and 1 reported using other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9	16
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27	27
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5	6
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16	15
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10	7
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67	71

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39	39

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8	2.0
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0	0.7
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.4
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.5
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.3
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4	3.8
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.5
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.5
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6	3.4

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	0	15
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	7
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	7
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	7
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	1	39

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%	37.5%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%	70.4%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%	66.7%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%	33.3%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%	42.9%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%	52.1%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	9
IYC Pere Marquette	6	0
IYC St. Charles	0	6
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	10	15

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	1	5%
IDJJ Total	1	1%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0	6
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6	7
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1	1
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12	5
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7	9
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26	28

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	26.5	19.5
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	21.6	26.9
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	10.5	45.6
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	1.4	29.7
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	60	41.0
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	24.6	31.0

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3	1
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	2
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1	4
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5	8

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	4.4	2.9
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	3.1	10.2
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	0	10.3
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	0.6	2.8
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	26.7	10.2
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.0	7.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0	3
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3	6
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1	0
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4	1
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11	12

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	13.3	9.0
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	18.5	14.2
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	0	15.9
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	0.3	15.4
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	13.3	10.8
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	10.5	13.2

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0	2
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0	1
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7	2
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3	3
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10	8

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	8.8	7.7
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	0	2.4
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	10.5	19.5
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	0.6	11.5
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20	20
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	7.0	10.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0	1
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3	0
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5	2
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1	0
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9	3

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	2
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20	18
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1	1
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17	5
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18	35
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56	61

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0	9
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60	56
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12	11
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44	15
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116	233
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49	54

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-	53
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134	100
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80	208
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156	635
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54	65
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114	124

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3	-
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	1
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15	1


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	430	435
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	210	213
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84	86
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123	123
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13	13

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7	6.8
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8	4.8
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – August 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	45	5.6	37	4.6
Chicago Heights	3	24	8	20	6.7
Aurora	2	19	9.5	18	9
Rockford	4	22	5.5	20	5
Peoria	4	22	5.5	18	4.5
East St. Louis	7	41	5.9	34	4.9
Champaign	4	32	8	24	6
Springfield	3	27	9	19	6.3
Placement Intensive	6	47	7.8	12	2.0
IDJJ Total	44	299	6.8	213	4.8

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – August 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	103	2.3	101	2.7
Chicago Heights	34	1.4	34	1.7
Aurora	47	2.5	45	2.5
Rockford	77	3.5	75	3.8
Peoria	80	3.6	71	3.9
East St. Louis	128	3.1	123	3.6
Champaign	88	2.8	84	3.5
Springfield	69	2.6	64	3.4
Placement Intensive	134	2.9	65	5.4
IDJJ Total	760	2.5	662	3.1

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
IDJJ Average	4.4	3.3	1.0	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
IDJJ Average	71%	27%	2%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23	32
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%	12.5%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35	26
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%	96.2%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34	25
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21	30

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	August 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	3.1%
Court Evaluation Return	3	9.4%
Discharged and Recommitted	4	12.5%
Initial Commitment	14	43.8%
New Commitment	6	18.8%
Technical Parole Violator	4	12.5%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	32	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, August 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for August 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	54	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	31	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	37	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	145	3
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	115	2
Total	382	8

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	3	0	0	0	3
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	1	0	1	35	12	49
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	0	0	5	12	19
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	9	6	4	13	25	57
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	2	3	2	12	6	25
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	9	5	8	5	10	37
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	31	14	22	75	50	192
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	3	0	0	3	2	8

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	3	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	10	6	3	3	4	7	0	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	18	10	4	10	7	7	4	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	4	17	15	2	7	6	2	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	5	6	8	3	7	6	8	3
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	4	5	0	5	5	0	2
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14	8

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	8/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	8	6	6	7	4	5	-	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	8	10	6	9	5	4	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	18	8	16	10	8	7	11	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	9	10	11	8	4	10	10	4
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	45	8	12	-	10	4	-	8
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8	5

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: August 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	93	60	7	81
<i>Moderate</i>	16	45	38	28
<i>Low</i>	1	5	65	1
Total	110	110	110	110


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	24	-	-
<i>High</i>	22	106	78
<i>Moderate-High</i>	24	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	22	0	27
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	7	-	-
<i>Low</i>	7	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	106	106	106

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	6	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	18	18
<i>Moderate-High</i>	26	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	33	28	40
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	18	-	-
<i>Low</i>	16	5	47
<i>None</i>	1	55	1
Total	106	106	106

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for August 31st, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	109	97.3%	337	96.3%
Female	3	2.7%	13	3.7%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.8		18.4	
16 & Under	23	20.5%	59	17.0%
17 to 20	85	75.9%	270	77.8%
20.5 & Over	4	3.6%	18	5.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	17	15.2%	62	17.7%
Black	80	71.4%	235	67.1%
Hispanic	10	8.9%	32	9.1%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	5	4.5%	19	5.4%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	24	21.4%	86	24.6%
Collar Counties	4	3.6%	32	9.1%
Metro-East	11	9.8%	21	6.0%
Central	44	39.3%	113	32.3%
Southern	9	8.0%	28	8.0%
Northern	20	17.9%	58	16.6%
Other	0	0%	12	3.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	9	8.0%	0	0%
Class X Felony	30	26.8%	83	23.7%
Class 1 Felony	32	28.6%	102	29.1
Class 2 Felony	32	28.6%	116	33.1%
Class 3 Felony	5	4.5%	21	6.0%
Class 4 Felony	4	3.6%	16	4.6%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	8	7.1%	0	0%
Delinquent	56	50%	312	89.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	3.6%	7	2.0%
Felon	32	28.6%	1	0.3%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.6%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	3	2.7%	10	2.9%
Violent Juvenile	5	4.5%	8	2.3%
From Other State	0	0%	12	3.4%

Aftercare Completion – August 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	37	92.5%
Female	3	7.5%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.7	
16 & Under	5	12.5%
17 to 20	27	67.5%
20.5 & Over	8	20%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	4	10%
Black	33	82.5%
Hispanic	3	7.5%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	13	32.5%
Collar Counties	3	7.5%
Metro-East	1	2.5%
Central	13	32.5%
Southern	1	2.5%
Northern	8	20%
Out of State	1	2.5%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	1	2.5%
Class X Felony	4	10%
Class 1 Felony	7	17.5%
Class 2 Felony	14	35%
Class 3 Felony	7	17.5%
Class 4 Felony	5	12.5%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	34	85%
Extended Jurisdiction	2	5%
Felon	1	2.5%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	1	2.5%
Violent Juvenile	2	5%
From Other State	1	2.5%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	12	30%
Aftercare Discharge	13	32.5%
Adult Sentence Discharge	4	10%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	3	7.5%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	2.5%
Statutory Discharge	6	15.0%
Death	1	2.5%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





**Monthly Report:
July**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	82	79	78				
Harrisburg	131	130	130				
Pere Marquette	46	44	44				
St. Charles	135	133	127				
Warrenville	65	61	60				
IDJJ Total	459	447	439				

Chart 1 : Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	1.14%	44.46%	45.87%	8.53%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
IDJJ Average	2.67%	2.99%	14.39%	23.88%	56.08%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported using opiates and 1 reported using other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	15
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	7
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	7
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	6
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	38

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	11
IYC Pere Marquette	5	0
IYC St. Charles	0	7
IYC Warrenville	1	0
IDJJ Total	10	18

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	18.5
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	27.6
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	50.6
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	33.8
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	38.3
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	31.9

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	2.7
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	11.3
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	11.8
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	3.1
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	7.9
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	8.4
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	13.6
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	18.1
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	17.6
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	10.5
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	13.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	7.5
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	2.8
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	20.7
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	13.1
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20.0
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	11.0

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – July 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	43	5.4	36	4.5
Chicago Heights	3	16	5.3	14	4.7
Aurora	2	18	9	16	8
Rockford	4	24	6	22	5.5
Peoria	4	21	5.3	16	4
East St. Louis	7	39	5.6	33	4.7
Champaign	4	30	7.5	23	5.8
Springfield	3	27	9	19	6.3
Placement	6	47	7.8	14	2.3
Intensive	3	29	9.7	17	5.7
IDJJ Total	44	294	6.7	210	4.8

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – July 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	108	2.5	107	3.0
Chicago Heights	45	2.8	44	3.1
Aurora	48	2.7	46	2.9
Rockford	102	4.3	97	4.4
Peoria	77	3.7	73	4.6
East St. Louis	107	2.7	101	3.1
Champaign	96	3.2	93	4.0
Springfield	77	2.9	77	4.1
Placement	131	2.8	65	4.6
IDJJ Total	791	3.0	703	3.6

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
IDJJ Average	4	3	0.9	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
IDJJ Average	71%	26.2%	2.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	July 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	17.4%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	13%
Initial Commitment	11	47.8%
New Commitment	3	13%
Technical Parole Violator	2	8.7%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	23	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, July 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for July 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	42	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	20	4
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	63	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	148	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	40	0
Total	312	14

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	4	1	1	50	11	67
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	0	2	3	2	9
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	5	7	5	6	4	27
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	1	0	5	5	12
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	1	3	9	5	3	21
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	28	8	46	79	15	176
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	0	4	2	8	0	14

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	3	-	-	7	-	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	10	6	3	3	4	7	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	18	10	4	10	7	7	4
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	4	17	15	2	7	6	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	5	6	8	3	7	6	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	4	5	0	5	5	0
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	8	6	6	7	4	5	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	8	10	6	9	5	4
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	18	8	16	10	8	7	11
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	9	10	11	8	4	10	10
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	45	8	12	-	10	4	-
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: July 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	79	63	5	74
<i>Moderate</i>	19	33	39	23
<i>Low</i>	0	2	54	1
Total	98	98	98	98


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	22	-	-
<i>High</i>	23	88	70
<i>Moderate-High</i>	18	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	19	1	19
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	4	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	89	89	89

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	7	10
<i>Moderate-High</i>	13	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	38	14	42
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	13	-	-
<i>Low</i>	16	14	36
<i>None</i>	1	54	1
Total	89	89	89

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for July 31th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	99	95.2%	349	95.9%
Female	5	4.8%	15	4.1%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.9		18.4	
16 & Under	21	20.2%	62	17.2%
17 to 20	81	77.9%	280	77.6%
20.5 & Over	2	1.9%	19	5.3%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	16	15.4%	62	17.0%
Black	71	68.3%	250	68.7%
Hispanic	10	9.6%	32	8.8%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	7	6.7%	19	5.3%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	20	19.2%	95	26.1%
Collar Counties	8	7.7%	31	8.5%
Metro-East	9	8.7%	22	6.0%
Central	36	34.6%	119	32.7%
Southern	9	8.7%	24	6.6%
Northern	22	21.2%	60	16.5%
Other	0	0%	13	3.6%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	10	9.6%	0	0%
Class X Felony	35	33.7%	76	20.9%
Class 1 Felony	28	26.9%	105	28.8%
Class 2 Felony	26	25%	125	34.3%
Class 3 Felony	4	3.8%	26	7.1%
Class 4 Felony	1	1%	19	5.2%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	7	6.7	0	0%
Delinquent	47	45.2%	331	90.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	4.8%	7	1.9%
Felon	29	27.9%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.8%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	8	7.7%	6	1.6%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.8%	7	1.9%
From Other State	0	0%	13	3.6%

Aftercare Completion – July 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	23	92%
Female	2	8%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	19.0	
16 & Under	4	16%
17 to 20	16	64%
20.5 & Over	5	20%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	7	28%
Black	17	68%
Hispanic	1	4%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	10	40%
Collar Counties	0	0%
Metro-East	2	8%
Central	6	24%
Southern	0	0%
Northern	4	16%
Out of State	3	12%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	20%
Class 1 Felony	5	20%
Class 2 Felony	8	32%
Class 3 Felony	2	8%
Class 4 Felony	2	8%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	20	80%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	2	8%
From Other State	3	12%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	5	20%
Aftercare Discharge	5	20%
Adult Sentence Discharge	6	24%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	4%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	3	12%
Statutory Discharge	4	16%
Death	1	4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

Monthly Report:
June

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25	23	20	23	17	20
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51	39	35	39	30	34
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13	16	16	11	8	10
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37	34	37	34	36	34
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21	24	23	21	20	202
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147	136	131	128	111	118


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	FY21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	19.9
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	39.3
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	11.1
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	35.3
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	22.5
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	128.2

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82	83	82	79	78			
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132	131	131	130	130			
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47	46	46	44	44			
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138	135	135	133	127			
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67	67	65	61	60			
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468	462	459	447	439			

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	2.6%	47.6%	42.0%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
IDJJ Average	3.04%	3.24%	14.64%	28.73%	50.36%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9	12	13	11	10	10
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9	13	11	9	11	8
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19	20	22	20	13	12
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	5	6	6
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9	8	8	8	10	7
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54	60	61	53	50	43

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-	118	143	67	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87	64	-	46	121	80
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97	-	159	-	116	-
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95	44	-	-	42	-
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-	82	5	-	93	-
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95	69	86	56	100	80

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported using opiates and 1 reported using other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14	13	13	11	9	12
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33	31	34	30	26	24
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13	10	15	11	8	7
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12	13	11	13	11	11
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12	14	15	14	13	14
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84	81	88	79	67	68

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	6
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41	40	40	39	39	38

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	0	1	3	3	2	22
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	3	10
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	2	0	1	1	1	25
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	0	9
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	2	3	8	6	7	70

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	10	7
IYC Pere Marquette	5	0
IYC St. Charles	0	0
IYC Warrenville	6	6
IDJJ Total	25	13

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	8	50%
IDJJ Total	8	2.5%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8	4	2	7	3	3
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16	10	8	9	8	16
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5	20	6	9	1	3
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11	11	17	16	9	7
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8	9	11	3	10	9
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48	54	44	44	31	38

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	18.5
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	29.9
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	40.9
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	32.1
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	26.3
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	29.2

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6	4	4	5	4	4
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	3	2	1	0
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0	1	1	4	0	1
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	1	2
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9	13	12	13	6	7

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	3.3
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	11.2
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	9.3
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	4.8
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	4.7
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	6.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	6	1	2
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9	5	3	4	3	9
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	9	2	2	0	0
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5	6	12	9	4	3
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2	2	2	1	2	3
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21	22	19	22	10	17

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	6.7
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	15.3
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	16.5
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	14.8
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	7.7
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	12.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4	3	1	0	2	1
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	3
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	1	5	0	3
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6	4	4	3	5	3
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	5	6	1	7	4
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18	19	13	9	15	14

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	8.5
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	3.4
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	15.2
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	12.4
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	13.8
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	9.7

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2	2	1	3	3	1
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4	1	1	2	0	2
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	0	0
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5	5	8	6	3	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	4	2	3	0
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16	11	15	13	9	4

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0	0	0	5	3	0
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35	26	30	16	21	24
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1	8	0	3	2	6
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16	16	22	8	4	7
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22	46	37	21	29	20
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74	96	89	53	95	57

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0	0	0	24	16	0
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78	57	76	42	60	76
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9	62	0	22	21	57
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51	46	66	21	11	21
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101	208	144	95	135	95
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57	70	66	40	78	49

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-	-	-	48	90	-
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147	136	104	86	155	110
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37	56	-	55	96	84
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64	73	63	71	165	74
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122	90	131	69	96	64
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120	97	105	72	121	87

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0	1	1	2	1	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1	0	0	5	0	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509	498	482	475	460	446
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224	225	224	221	229	205
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121	110	106	101	83	90
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140	139	134	133	133	135
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24	24	18	20	15	16

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – June 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	43	5.4	38	4.8
Chicago Heights	3	18	6	13	4.3
Aurora	2	18	9	16	8
Rockford	4	28	7	21	5.3
Peoria	4	23	5.8	19	4.8
East St. Louis	7	32	4.6	26	3.7
Champaign	4	33	8.3	23	5.8
Springfield	3	29	9.7	26	8.7
Placement	6	53	8.8	13	2.2
Intensive	3	18	6	10	3.3
IDJJ Total	44	295	6.7	205	4.7

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – June 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	119	2.8	114	3
Chicago Heights	36	2	36	2.8
Aurora	47	2.6	46	2.9
Rockford	87	3.1	80	3.8
Peoria	87	3.8	85	4.5
East St. Louis	121	3.8	113	4.3
Champaign	82	2.5	80	3.5
Springfield	86	3.0	85	3.3
Placement	112	2.1	64	4.9
IDJJ Total	777	2.6	703	3.4

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
IDJJ Average	6.8	4.5	2.1	0.2

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
IDJJ Average	68.1%	30.5%	1.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46	19	28	26	16	23
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18	29	33	30	36	17
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15	28	30	28	33	16
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25	34	41	28	28	35

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	June 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	8.7%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	4	17.4%
Initial Commitment	13	56.5%
New Commitment	2	8.7%
Technical Parole Violator	2	8.7%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	23	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, June 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for June 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	7	48
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	7	18
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	44
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	6	76
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	30
Total	31	216

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	1	0	3	8	5	17
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	1	2	0	1	4	8
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	7	6	5	4	4	26
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	0	0	4	1	5
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	7	6	7	1	4	25
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	32	4	29	58	12	135
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	7	7	6	6	5	31

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	7	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10	6	3	3	4	7
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18	10	4	10	7	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4	17	15	2	7	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5	6	8	3	7	6
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	5	0	5	5
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38	43	35	18	30	31

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8	6	6	7	4	5
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7	8	10	6	9	5
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18	8	16	10	8	7
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9	10	11	8	4	10
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45	8	12	-	10	4
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10	8	13	7	7	6

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: June 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	88	57	6	72
<i>Moderate</i>	18	44	42	33
<i>Low</i>	2	7	60	3
Total	108	108	108	108


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	22	-	-
<i>High</i>	18	100	70
<i>Moderate-High</i>	28	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	25	1	30
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	5	-	-
<i>Low</i>	5	2	3
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	103	103	103

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	4	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	15	13
<i>Moderate-High</i>	23	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	42	21	47
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	17	-	-
<i>Low</i>	10	9	42
<i>None</i>	1	58	1
Total	103	103	103

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for June 30th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	110	94%	341	96.1%
Female	7	6%	14	3.9%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.9		18.4	
16 & Under	24	20.5%	60	17.1%
17 to 20	89	76.1%	270	76.9%
20.5 & Over	4	3.4%	21	6.0%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	21	17.9%	59	16.6%
Black	73	62.4%	249	70.1%
Hispanic	13	11.1%	29	8.2%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.9%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	9	7.7%	17	4.8%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	22	18.8%	99	27.9%
Collar Counties	10	8.5%	27	7.6%
Metro-East	10	8.5%	22	6.2%
Central	38	32.5%	112	31.5%
Southern	11	9.4%	22	6.2%
Northern	26	22.2%	58	16.3%
Other	0	0%	15	4.2%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	11	9.4%	0	0%
Class X Felony	40	34.2%	75	21.1%
Class 1 Felony	33	28.2%	101	28.5%
Class 2 Felony	28	23.9%	122	34.4%
Class 3 Felony	3	2.6%	24	6.8%
Class 4 Felony	2	1.7%	18	5.1%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	5	4.3%	0	0%
Delinquent	61	52.1%	318	89.6%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	4.3%	7	2.0%
Felon	30	25.6%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.4%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	8	6.8%	6	1.7%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.4%	9	2.5%
From Other State	0	0%	15	4.2%

Aftercare Completion – June 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	35	94.6%
Female	2	5.4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.8	
16 & Under	4	11.1%
17 to 20	26	72.2%
20.5 & Over	6	16.7%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	12	32.4%
Black	19	51.4%
Hispanic	4	10.8%
Multi/Biracial	2	5.4%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	5	13.5%
Collar Counties	3	8.1%
Metro-East	0	0.0%
Central	17	45.9%
Southern	5	13.5%
Northern	5	13.5%
Out of State	2	5.4%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	6	16.2%
Class 1 Felony	5	13.5%
Class 2 Felony	16	43.2%
Class 3 Felony	5	13.5%
Class 4 Felony	3	8.1%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	34	91.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	2.7%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	2	5.4%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	4	10.8%
Aftercare Discharge	17	45.9%
Adult Sentence Discharge	2	5.4%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	4	10.8%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	2	5.4%
Statutory Discharge	6	16.2%
Death	2	5.4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

Monthly Report:
May

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25	23	20	23	17
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51	39	35	39	30
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13	16	16	11	8
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37	34	37	34	36
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21	24	23	21	20
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147	136	131	128	111


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82	83	82	79			
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132	131	131	130			
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47	46	46	44			
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138	135	135	133			
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67	67	65	61			
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468	462	459	447			

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	2.7%	48.4%	41.5%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
IDJJ Average	3.10%	3.32%	14.92%	29.38%	49.27%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9	12	13	11	10
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9	13	11	9	11
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19	20	22	20	13
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	5	6
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9	8	8	8	10
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54	60	61	53	50

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-	118	143	67	-
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87	64	-	46	121
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97	-	159	-	116
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95	44	-	-	42
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-	82	5	-	93
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95	69	86	56	100

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 2 reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, 1 cocaine, and one reported benzodiazepines.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14	13	13	11	9
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33	31	34	30	26
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13	10	15	11	8
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12	13	11	13	11
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12	14	15	14	13
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84	81	88	79	67

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41	40	40	39	39

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	0	1	3	3	20
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	7
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	2	0	1	1	24
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	9
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	2	3	8	6	63

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	7	0
IYC Harrisburg	8	7
IYC Pere Marquette	4	0
IYC St. Charles	4	4
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	23	11

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	8	50%
IYC Warrenville	16	100%
IDJJ Total	24	30%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8	4	2	7	3
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16	10	8	9	8
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5	20	6	9	1
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11	11	17	16	9
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8	9	11	3	10
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48	54	44	44	31

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	18.8
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	28.0
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	42.1
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	33.1
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	24.8
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	28.8

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6	4	4	5	4
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	3	2	1
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0	1	1	4	0
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	1
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9	13	12	13	6

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	3.6
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	11.0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	10.1
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	5.0
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	4.3
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	6	1
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9	5	3	4	3
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	9	2	2	0
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5	6	12	9	4
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2	2	2	1	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21	22	19	22	10

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	6.4
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	14.1
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	18.0
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	15.3
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	7.1
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	12.4

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4	3	1	0	2
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	1	5	0
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6	4	4	3	5
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	5	6	1	7
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18	19	13	9	15

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	8.8
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	2.9
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	14.0
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	12.8
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	13.4
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	9.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2	2	1	3	3
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4	1	1	2	0
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	0
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5	5	8	6	3
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	4	2	3
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16	11	15	13	9

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0	0	0	5	3
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35	26	30	16	21
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1	8	0	3	2
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16	16	22	8	4
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22	46	37	21	29
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74	96	89	53	95

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0	0	0	24	16
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78	57	76	42	60
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9	62	0	22	21
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51	46	66	21	11
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101	208	144	95	135
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57	70	66	40	78

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-	-	-	48	90
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147	136	104	86	155
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37	56	-	55	96
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64	73	63	71	165
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122	90	131	69	96
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120	97	105	72	121

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	1
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0	1	1	2	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1	0	0	5	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509	498	482	475	460
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224	225	224	221	229
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121	110	106	101	83
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140	139	134	133	133
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24	24	18	20	15

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – May 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	43	5.4	39	4.9
Chicago Heights	3	16	5.3	15	5
Aurora	2	19	9.5	18	9
Rockford	4	21	5.3	18	4.5
Peoria	4	23	5.8	18	4.5
East St. Louis	7	36	5.1	31	4.4
Champaign	4	37	9.3	25	6.3
Springfield	3	34	11.3	32	10.7
Placement	6	58	9.7	18	3
Intensive	3	25	8.3	15	5
IDJJ Total	44	312	7.1	229	5.2

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – May 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	108	2.5	106	2.7
Chicago Heights	38	2.4	38	2.5
Aurora	53	2.8	53	2.9
Rockford	80	3.8	77	4.3
Peoria	97	4.2	95	5.3
East St. Louis	119	3.3	114	3.7
Champaign	82	2.2	81	3.2
Springfield	78	2.3	77	2.4
Placement	162	2.8	84	4.7
IDJJ Total	817	2.6	724	3.2

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
IDJJ Average	7	4.7	2.1	0.2

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	69.7%	28.7%	1.6%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46	19	28	26	16
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18	29	33	30	36
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15	28	30	28	33
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25	34	41	28	28

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	May 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	18.8%
Court Evaluation Return	1	6.3%
Discharged and Recommitted	2	12.5%
Initial Commitment	8	50%
New Commitment	1	6.3%
Technical Parole Violator	1	6.3%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	16	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, May 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for May 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	42	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	40	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	61	7
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	82	7
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	40	5
Total	265	30

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	2	4	1	27	11	45
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	3	1	2	5	13
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	5	9	4	2	5	25
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	5	0	8	2	16
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	6	2	14	3	5	30
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	26	17	41	40	12	136
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	4	7	7	7	5	30

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	7	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10	6	3	3	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18	10	4	10	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4	17	15	2	7
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5	6	8	3	7
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	5	0	5
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38	43	35	18	30

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8	6	6	7	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7	8	10	6	9
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18	8	16	10	8
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9	10	11	8	4
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45	8	12	-	10
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10	8	13	7	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: May 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	107	74	5	99
<i>Moderate</i>	17	48	42	25
<i>Low</i>	1	3	78	1
Total	125	125	125	125


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	21	-	-
<i>High</i>	26	116	93
<i>Moderate-High</i>	30	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	33	0	23
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	5	-	-
<i>Low</i>	2	1	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	117	117	117

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	1	-	-
<i>High</i>	11	20	18
<i>Moderate-High</i>	32	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	33	27	49
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	30	-	-
<i>Low</i>	10	9	50
<i>None</i>	0	61	0
Total	117	117	117

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for May 31th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	105	95.5%	363	96%
Female	5	4.5%	15	4%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.8		18.4	
16 & Under	24	21.8%	61	16.3%
17 to 20	83	75.5%	288	77%
20.5 & Over	3	2.7%	25	6.7%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	17	15.5%	72	19%
Black	74	67.3%	257	68%
Hispanic	11	10%	32	8.5%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.9%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	7	6.4%	16	4.3%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	22	20%	100	26.5%
Collar Counties	8	7.3%	29	7.7%
Metro-East	10	9.1%	20	5.3%
Central	40	36.4%	125	33.1%
Southern	9	8.2%	26	6.9%
Northern	21	19.1%	61	16.1%
Other	0	0%	17	4.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	11	10%	0	0%
Class X Felony	40	36.4%	76	20.1%
Class 1 Felony	29	26.4%	105	27.8%
Class 2 Felony	25	22.7%	137	36.2%
Class 3 Felony	2	1.8%	24	6.3%
Class 4 Felony	3	2.7%	19	5%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	5	4.5%	0	0%
Delinquent	51	46.4%	338	89.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	3.6%	9	2.4%
Felon	33	30%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.6%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	9	8.2%	5	1.3%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.6%	9	2.4%
From Other State	0	0%	17	4.5%

Aftercare Completion – May 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	20	95.2%
Female	1	4.8%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.6	
16 & Under	2	9.5%
17 to 20	17	81%
20.5 & Over	2	9.5%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	8	38.1%
Black	10	47.6%
Hispanic	3	14.3%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	2	9.5%
Collar Counties	7	33.3%
Metro-East	0	0%
Central	4	19%
Southern	6	28.6%
Northern	2	9.5%
Out of State	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	4	19%
Class 1 Felony	6	28.6%
Class 2 Felony	5	23.8%
Class 3 Felony	4	19%
Class 4 Felony	2	9.5%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	20	95.2%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	4.8%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	0	0%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	2	9.5%
Aftercare Discharge	13	61.9%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	14.3%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	2	9.5%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%
Statutory Discharge	1	4.8%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
April**

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25	23	20	23
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51	39	35	39
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13	16	16	11
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37	34	37	34
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21	24	23	21
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147	136	131	128


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82	83	82	79		
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132	131	131	130		
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47	46	46	44		
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138	135	135	133		
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67	67	65	61		
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468	462	459	447		

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%	72%	74.2%	56%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	2.9%	48.9%	40.7%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
IDJJ Average	3.16%	3.49%	14.90%	30.14%	48.31%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9	12	13	11
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9	13	11	9
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19	20	22	20
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	5
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9	8	8	8
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54	60	61	53

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-	118	143	67
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87	64	-	46
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97	-	159	-
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95	44	-	-
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-	82	5	-
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95	69	86	56

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 2 reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, and 1 reported other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14	13	13	11
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33	31	34	30
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13	10	15	11
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12	13	11	13
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12	14	15	14
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84	81	88	79

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41	40	40	39

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	0	1	3	17
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	6
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	2	0	1	23
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	8
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	2	3	8	57

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	2	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	7
IYC Pere Marquette	11	0
IYC St. Charles	0	7
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	13	14

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	6	35%
IDJJ Total	6	7%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8	4	2	7
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16	10	8	9
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5	20	6	9
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11	11	17	16
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8	9	11	3
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48	54	44	44

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	19.1
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	28.5
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	45.2
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	33.9
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	22.6
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	29.2

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6	4	4	5
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	3	2
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0	1	1	4
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	1
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9	13	12	13

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	3.9
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.1
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	5.5
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.2
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	7.1

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	6
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9	5	3	4
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	9	2	2
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5	6	12	9
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2	2	2	1
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21	22	19	22

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	0	0	28.8	6.5
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	14.6
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	19.7
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	15.8
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	6.9
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	12.8

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4	3	1	0
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	1	5
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6	4	4	3
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	5	6	1
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18	19	13	9

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	8.9
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	15.4
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	12.6
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	11.5
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	9.2

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2	2	1	3
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4	1	1	2
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5	5	8	6
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	4	2
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16	11	15	13

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0	0	0	5
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35	26	30	16
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1	8	0	3
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16	16	22	8
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22	46	37	21
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74	96	89	53

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0	0	0	24
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78	57	76	42
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9	62	0	22
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51	46	66	21
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101	208	144	95
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57	70	66	40

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-	-	-	48
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147	136	104	86
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37	56	-	55
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64	73	63	71
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122	90	131	69
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120	97	105	72

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0	1	1	2

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1	0	0	5


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509	498	482	475
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224	225	224	221
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121	110	106	101
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140	139	134	133
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24	24	18	20

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – April 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	39	4.9	36	4.5
Chicago Heights	3	21	7	15	5
Aurora	2	26	13	21	10.5
Rockford	4	21	5.3	17	4.3
Peoria	4	23	5.8	19	4.8
East St. Louis	7	39	5.6	28	4
Champaign	4	30	7.5	26	6.5
Springfield	3	27	9	24	8
Placement Intensive	6	73	12.2	24	4
IDJJ Total	44	322	7.3	221	5.0

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – April 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	102	2.6	98	2.7
Chicago Heights	41	2.0	40	2.7
Aurora	58	2.2	58	2.8
Rockford	77	3.7	66	3.9
Peoria	79	3.4	78	4.1
East St. Louis	104	2.7	95	3.4
Champaign	86	2.9	85	3.3
Springfield	59	2.2	59	2.5
Placement Intensive	163	2.2	119	5.0
IDJJ Total	769	2.4	698	3.2

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
IDJJ Average	7.5	5	2.3	0.2

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
IDJJ Average	66.7%	31.6%	1.7%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46	19	28	26
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18	29	33	30
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15	28	30	28
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25	34	41	28

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	April 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Court Evaluation Return	1	3.8%
Discharged and Recommitted	5	19.2%
Initial Commitment	16	61.5%
New Commitment	1	3.8%
Technical Parole Violator	3	11.5%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	26	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, April 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for April 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	68	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	55	10
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	106	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	45	3
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	86	0
Total	360	18

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	8	1	5	7	5	26
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	4	5	3	0	4	16
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	7	14	9	3	11	44
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	3	0	5	15	23
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	7	6	7	2	5	27
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	42	25	82	27	46	222
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	3	10	2	3	0	18

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10	6	3	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18	10	4	10
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4	17	15	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5	6	8	3
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	5	0
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38	43	35	18

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8	6	6	7
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7	8	10	6
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18	8	16	10
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9	10	11	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45	8	12	-
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10	8	13	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: April 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	90	64	9	83
<i>Moderate</i>	19	44	40	26
<i>Low</i>	1	2	61	1
Total	110	110	110	110


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	21	-	-
<i>High</i>	23	103	78
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	25	0	24
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	9	-	-
<i>Low</i>	3	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	103	103	103

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	7	20	11
<i>Moderate-High</i>	26	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	35	14	49
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	23	-	-
<i>Low</i>	9	12	42
<i>None</i>	1	57	1
Total	103	103	103

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for April 30th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	119	93.7%	362	96.8%
Female	8	6.3%	12	3.2%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.7		18.4	
16 & Under	31	24.4%	62	16.8%
17 to 20	94	74.0%	284	76.8%
20.5 & Over	2	1.6%	24	6.5%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	23	18.1%	75	20.1%
Black	82	64.6%	251	67.1%
Hispanic	11	8.7%	34	9.1%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.8%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	10	7.9%	13	3.5%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	23	18.1%	98	26.2%
Collar Counties	10	7.9%	33	8.8%
Metro-East	15	11.8%	16	4.3%
Central	40	31.5%	124	33.2%
Southern	13	10.2%	30	8.0%
Northern	26	20.5%	58	15.5%
Other	0	0%	15	4.0%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	11	8.7%	0	0%
Class X Felony	44	34.6%	76	20.3%
Class 1 Felony	34	26.8%	104	27.8%
Class 2 Felony	28	22.0%	135	36.1%
Class 3 Felony	6	4.7%	26	7.0%
Class 4 Felony	4	3.1%	18	4.8%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	7	5.5%	0	0%
Delinquent	67	52.8%	336	89.8%
Extended Jurisdiction	6	4.7%	9	2.4%
Felon	30	23.6%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.1%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	9	7.1%	5	1.3%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.1%	9	2.4%
From Other State	0	0%	15	4.0%

Aftercare Completion – April 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	27	87.1%
Female	4	12.9%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.6	
16 & Under	5	16.1%
17 to 20	21	67.7%
20.5 & Over	5	16.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	5	16.1%
Black	23	74.2%
Hispanic	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	3	9.7%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	7	22.6%
Collar Counties	0	0%
Metro-East	1	3.2%
Central	13	41.9%
Southern	5	16.1%
Northern	5	16.1%
Out of State	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	1	3.2%
Class X Felony	3	9.7%
Class 1 Felony	6	19.4%
Class 2 Felony	11	35.5%
Class 3 Felony	5	16.1%
Class 4 Felony	5	16.1%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	3.2%
Delinquent	26	83.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	3.2%
Felon	1	3.2%
First Degree Murderer	1	3.2%
Habitual Juvenile	1	3.2%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	0	0%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	4	12.9%
Aftercare Discharge	20	64.5%
Adult Sentence Discharge	1	3.2%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	2	6.5%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%
Statutory Discharge	4	12.9%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
March**

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25	23	20
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51	39	35
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13	16	16
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37	34	37
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21	24	23
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147	136	131


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4	22.8	20.6
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6	45.5	39.4
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0	13.0	16.1
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4	34.5	33.5
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8	22.1	25.7
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2	137.9	135.3

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82	83	82	79	
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132	131	131	130	
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47	46	46	44	
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138	135	135	133	
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67	67	65	61	
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468	462	459	447	

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%	95.8%	95.5%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%	55%	59.1%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%	72%	74.2%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	3.5%	50.2%	38.9%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
IDJJ Average	3.14%	3.58%	15.01%	30.32%	47.94%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9	12	13
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9	13	11
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19	20	22
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8	7	7
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9	8	8
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54	60	61

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-	118	143
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87	64	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97	-	159
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95	44	-
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-	82	5
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95	69	86

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%	46.7%	30%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%	10%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%	33.3%	50%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%	20%	10%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%	81.7%	82%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%	5%	3.3%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 4 reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, 1 other drugs, and one reported benzodiazepines.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14	13	13
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33	31	34
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13	10	15
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12	13	11
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12	14	15
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84	81	88

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41	40	40

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.8
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.3
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.6
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2	1.0	1.0
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.4

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	7.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.2

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	0	1	14
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	5
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	2	0	22
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	5
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	2	3	49

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%	61.5%	61.5%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%	40%	46.7%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%	61.5%	54.5%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	2	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	8
IYC Pere Marquette	10	0
IYC St. Charles	0	9
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	12	17

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8	4	2
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16	10	8
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5	20	6
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11	11	17
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8	9	11
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48	54	44

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	17.5	9.7	17.5
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	22.0	20.3	29.1
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	153.8	37.3	42.8
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	31.9	50.7	33.0
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	40.7	42.8	23.6
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	39.2	32.5	28.7

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6	4	4
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	3
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0	1	1
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	3
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9	13	12

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.9	3.8
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	8.8	10.2	10.8
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	38.5	18.6	9.6
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	2.9	3.0	4.9
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.2
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	9.4	8.9	6.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0	0
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9	5	3
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	9	2
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5	6	12
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2	2	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21	22	19

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	0	0	4.0
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	11.0	7.6	15.1
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	69.2	12.4	20.3
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	17.4	35.8	14.9
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	9.0	7.8	7.1
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	16.0	14.0	12.3

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4	3	1
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	1
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6	4	4
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	5	6
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18	19	13

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	13.2	4.9	9.6
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	2.2	2.5	3.2
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	46.2	6.2	13.0
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	11.6	11.9	13.2
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	22.6	23.3	12.2
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	13.8	9.6	9.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2	2	1
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4	1	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5	5	8
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	4
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16	11	15

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0	0	0
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35	26	30
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1	8	0
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16	16	22
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22	46	37
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74	96	89

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0	0	0
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78	57	76
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9	62	0
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51	46	66
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101	208	144
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57	70	66

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-	-	-
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147	136	104
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37	56	-
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64	73	63
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122	90	131
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120	97	105

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0	1	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1	0	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1	0	5

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509	498	482
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224	225	224
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121	110	106
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140	139	134
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24	24	18

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	8.2	7.5
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5	5.5	5.1
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – March 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	39	4.9	35	4.4
Chicago Heights	3	17	5.7	16	5.3
Aurora	2	19	9.5	17	8.5
Rockford	4	23	5.8	15	3.8
Peoria	4	23	5.8	20	5
East St. Louis	7	42	6	28	4
Champaign	4	30	7.5	23	5.8
Springfield	3	33	11	28	9.3
Placement	6	68	11.3	22	3.7
Intensive	3	36	12	20	6.7
IDJJ Total	44	330	7.5	224	5.1

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – March 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	124	3.2	121	3.5
Chicago Heights	29	1.7	28	1.8
Aurora	52	2.7	52	3.1
Rockford	77	3.3	66	4.4
Peoria	153	6.7	152	7.6
East St. Louis	141	3.4	134	4.8
Champaign	100	3.3	93	4.0
Springfield	84	2.5	83	3.0
Placement	123	1.8	89	4.0
IDJJ Total	883	2.7	818	3.7

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
IDJJ Average	8.8	5.9	2.6	0.3

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	70.9%	27.0%	2.1%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46	19	28
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%	0%	7.1%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18	29	33
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15	28	30
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25	34	41

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	March 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	14.3%
Court Evaluation Return	1	3.6%
Discharged and Recommitted	2	7.1%
Initial Commitment	18	64.3%
New Commitment	1	3.6%
Technical Parole Violator	2	7.1%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	28	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, March 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for March 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	36	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	37	4
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	108	15
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	57	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	57	5
Total	295	35

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	0	0	0	13	8	21
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	1	1	0	1	5
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	9	11	5	2	3	30
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	0	0	5	17	22
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	2	4	14	3	2	25
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	23	20	88	34	26	191
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	3	4	15	8	5	35

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10	6	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18	10	4
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4	17	15
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5	6	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	5
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38	43	35

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	3/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8	6	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7	8	10
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18	8	16
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9	10	11
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45	8	12
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10	8	13

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: March 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	98	67	3	84
<i>Moderate</i>	26	52	48	39
<i>Low</i>	1	6	74	2
Total	125	125	125	125


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	27	-	-
<i>High</i>	27	18	75
<i>Moderate-High</i>	21	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	27	22	36
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	7	-	-
<i>Low</i>	4	14	2
<i>None</i>	0	59	0
Total	113	113	113

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	1	-	-
<i>High</i>	8	18	10
<i>Moderate-High</i>	23	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	40	22	51
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	16	-	-
<i>Low</i>	24	14	51
<i>None</i>	1	59	1
Total	113	113	113

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for March 31st, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	121	93.1%	363	96.3%
Female	9	6.9%	14	3.7%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.7		18.5	
16 & Under	41	31.5%	59	15.8%
17 to 20	87	66.9%	288	77.2%
20.5 & Over	2	1.5%	26	7.0%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	21	16.2%	77	20.4%
Black	83	63.8%	257	68.2%
Hispanic	13	10.0%	30	8.0%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.8%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	12	9.3%	12	3.2%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	24	18.5%	101	26.8%
Collar Counties	9	6.9%	31	8.2%
Metro-East	12	9.2%	17	4.5%
Central	44	33.8%	125	33.2%
Southern	15	11.5%	31	8.2%
Northern	26	20%	58	15.4%
Other	0	0%	14	3.7%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	11	8.5%	1	0.3%
Class X Felony	42	32.3%	80	21.2%
Class 1 Felony	37	28.5%	101	26.8%
Class 2 Felony	30	23.1%	136	36.1%
Class 3 Felony	6	4.6%	28	7.4%
Class 4 Felony	4	3.1%	17	4.5%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	8	6.2%	1	0.3%
Delinquent	68	52.3%	337	89.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	7	5.4%	9	2.4%
Felon	31	23.8%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.1%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	8	6.2%	6	1.6%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.1%	9	2.4%
From Other State	0	0%	14	3.7%

Aftercare Completion – March 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	39	95.1%
Female	2	4.9%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.7	
16 & Under	6	14.6%
17 to 20	28	68.3%
20.5 & Over	7	17.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	10	24.4%
Black	26	63.4%
Hispanic	3	7.3%
Multi/Biracial	2	4.8%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	9	22.0%
Collar Counties	5	12.2%
Metro-East	1	2.4%
Central	17	41.5%
Southern	5	12.2%
Northern	4	9.8%
Out of State	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	12.2%
Class 1 Felony	12	29.3%
Class 2 Felony	16	39.0%
Class 3 Felony	6	14.6%
Class 4 Felony	2	4.9%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	4.9%
Delinquent	36	87.8%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	2.4%
Felon	1	2.4%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	2.4%
From Other State	0	0%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	4	9.8%
Aftercare Discharge	26	63.4%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	7.3%
Transfer to Adult Parole	1	2.4%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%
Statutory Discharge	7	17.1%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
February**

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25	23
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51	39
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13	16
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37	34
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21	24
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147	136


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4	22.8
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6	45.5
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0	13.0
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4	34.5
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8	22.1
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2	137.9

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82	83	82	79
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132	131	131	130
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47	46	46	44
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138	135	135	133
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67	67	65	61
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468	462	459	447

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%	95.8%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%	68.3%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%	55%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%	43.1%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%	72%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%	63.2%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	3.5%	50.2%	38.9%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
IDJJ Average	3.13%	3.46%	15.09%	30.84%	47.48%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9	12
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9	13
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19	20
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8	7
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9	8
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54	60

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-	118
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87	64
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97	-
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95	44
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-	82
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95	69

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%	46.7%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%	33.3%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%	20%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%	81.7%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%	5%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%	11.7%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 3 reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, 1 hallucinogens, and one reported benzodiazepines.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14	13
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33	31
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13	10
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12	13
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12	14
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84	81

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	15
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41	40

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.8
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.2
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2	1.0
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.3
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	4.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.0
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.1

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	0	13
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	2	22
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	2	46

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%	61.5%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%	67.7%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%	40%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%	61.5%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%	28.6%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%	55.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	5
IYC Pere Marquette	10	0
IYC St. Charles	0	5
IYC Warrenville	3	0
IDJJ Total	17	10

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8	4
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16	10
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5	20
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11	11
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8	9
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48	54

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	17.5	18.4
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	22.0	30.1
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	153.8	43.5
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	31.9	30.8
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	40.7	21.2
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	39.2	28.2

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6	4
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	5
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0	1
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9	13

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	3.7
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	8.8	10.8
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	38.5	8.4
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	2.9	5.1
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	9.0	3.3
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	9.4	6.6

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	0
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9	5
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2	9
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5	6
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21	22

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	0	4.5
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	11.0	16.0
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	69.2	21.3
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	17.4	12.3
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	9.0	7.1
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	16.0	12.1

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4	3
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	6
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6	4
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	5
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18	19

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	13.2	10.2
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	2.2	3.3
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	46.2	13.8
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	11.6	13.3
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	22.6	10.8
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	13.8	9.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2	2
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5	5
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16	11

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0	0
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35	26
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1	8
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16	16
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22	46
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74	96

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0	0
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78	57
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9	62
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51	46
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101	208
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57	70

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-	-
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147	136
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37	56
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64	73
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122	90
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120	97

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0	1

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1	0


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509	498
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224	225
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121	110
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140	139
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24	24

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	8.2
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5	5.5
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.7

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – February 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	7	43	6.1	35	5
Chicago Heights	3	15	5	12	4
Aurora	2	20	10	16	8
Rockford	3	20	6.7	12	4
Peoria	4	32	8	27	6.8
East St. Louis	6	38	6.3	31	5.2
Champaign	4	32	8	24	6
Springfield	3	33	11	28	9.3
Placement Intensive	7	74	10.6	25	3.6
IDJJ Total	41	335	8.2	225	5.5

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – February 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	89	2.1	85	2.4
Chicago Heights	35	2.3	35	2.9
Aurora	45	2.3	42	2.6
Rockford	72	3.6	66	5.5
Peoria	103	3.2	102	3.8
East St. Louis	94	2.5	90	2.9
Champaign	71	2.2	64	2.7
Springfield	71	2.2	68	2.4
Placement Intensive	147	2.0	105	4.2
IDJJ Total	727	2.5	657	3.3

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
IDJJ Average	8.8	5.9	2.6	0.3

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
IDJJ Average	70.9%	27.0%	2.1%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46	19
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%	0%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18	29
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%	93.1%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15	28
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25	34

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	February 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	15.8%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	15.8%
Initial Commitment	11	57.9%
New Commitment	1	5.3%
Technical Parole Violator	0	0%
Return Additional Mittimus	1	5.3%
Total	19	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, February 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for February 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	36	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	50	10
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	71	17
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	40	6
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	30	4
Total	227	43

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	4	0	0	5	4	13
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	1	4	1	0	3	9
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	7	13	2	4	4	30
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	0	0	6	3	10
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	7	4	8	4	2	25
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	16	29	60	21	14	140
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	6	10	17	6	4	43

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18	10
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4	17
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5	6
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38	43

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	2/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7	8
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18	8
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9	10
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45	8
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10	8

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: February 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	118	94	7	117
<i>Moderate</i>	34	53	62	33
<i>Low</i>	1	6	84	3
Total	153	0	153	153


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	28	-	-
<i>High</i>	24	141	111
<i>Moderate-High</i>	41	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	37	1	29
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	7	-	-
<i>Low</i>	6	1	3
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	143	143	143

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	10	16	19
<i>Moderate-High</i>	39	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	41	36	62
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	40	-	-
<i>Low</i>	11	14	62
<i>None</i>	0	77	0
Total	143	143	143

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for February 28th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	138	94.5%	372	96.1%
Female	8	5.5%	15	3.9%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.6	
16 & Under	47	32.2%	52	13.6%
17 to 20	96	65.8%	295	77.0%
20.5 & Over	3	2.1%	36	9.4%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	25	17.1%	79	20.4%
Black	99	67.8%	259	66.9%
Hispanic	14	9.6%	34	8.8%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Multi/Biracial	8	5.5%	14	3.6%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	25	17.1%	110	28.4%
Collar Counties	10	6.8%	34	8.8%
Metro-East	10	6.8%	17	4.4%
Central	62	42.5%	124	32.0%
Southern	11	7.5%	35	9.0%
Northern	28	19.2%	53	13.7%
Other	0	0.0%	14	3.7%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	12	8.2%	1	0.3%
Class X Felony	40	27.4%	81	20.9%
Class 1 Felony	41	28.1%	111	28.7%
Class 2 Felony	33	22.6%	142	36.7%
Class 3 Felony	13	8.9%	20	5.2%
Class 4 Felony	7	4.8%	18	4.7%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	2.1%	3	0.8%
Delinquent	89	61.0%	341	88.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	8	5.5%	11	2.8%
Felon	31	21.2%	1	0.3%
First Degree Murderer	4	2.7%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	7	4.8%	7	1.8%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.7%	9	2.3%
From Other State	0	0.0%	14	3.6%

Aftercare Completion – February 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	8	100%
Female	0	0%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.9	
16 & Under	0	0%
17 to 20	7	87.5%
20.5 & Over	1	12.5%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	1	12.5%
Black	6	75%
Hispanic	1	12.5%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	3	37.5%
Collar Counties	0	0%
Metro-East	2	25%
Central	1	12.5%
Southern	2	25%
Northern	0	0%
Out of State	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	1	12.5%
Class 1 Felony	4	50%
Class 2 Felony	2	25%
Class 3 Felony	0	0%
Class 4 Felony	1	12.5%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	7	87.5%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	12.5%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	0	0%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	0	0%
Aftercare Discharge	6	75%
Adult Sentence Discharge	1	12.5%
Transfer to Adult Parole	1	12.5%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
January**

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82		
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132		
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47		
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138		
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67		
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468		

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	3.8%	50.9%	37.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
IDJJ Average	3.10%	3.67%	15.35%	31.53%	46.36%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, four reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, 1 hallucinogens, and one reported benzodiazepines.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	13
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	20
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	44

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	7
IYC Pere Marquette	13	0
IYC St. Charles	0	6
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	17	13

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0.0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0.0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0.0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0.0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0.0%
IDJJ Total	0	0.0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	18.6
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	31.3
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	27.8
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	30.6
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	18.4
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	26.7

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	3.6
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	11.1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	4.2
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	5.5
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	2.4
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	6.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	5.2
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	16.8
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	14.4
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	11.6
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	6.8
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	11.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	9.8
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	3.5
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	9.2
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	13.6
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	9.2
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	8.9

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – January 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	7	46	6.6	38	5.4
Chicago Heights	3	16	5.3	12	4
Aurora	2	18	9	15	7.5
Rockford	3	20	6.7	14	4.7
Peoria	4	29	7.3	24	6
East St. Louis	6	39	6.5	33	5.5
Champaign	4	36	9	23	5.8
Springfield	3	31	10.3	26	8.7
Placement	7	81	11.6	26	3.7
Intensive	2	29	14.5	13	6.5
IDJJ Total	41	345	8.4	224	5.5

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – January 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	111	2.4	107	2.8
Chicago Heights	44	2.8	43	3.6
Aurora	40	2.2	40	2.7
Rockford	55	2.8	55	3.9
Peoria	100	3.4	98	4.1
East St. Louis	85	2.2	84	2.5
Champaign	76	2.1	67	2.9
Springfield	54	1.7	53	2.0
Placement	127	1.6	111	4.3
IDJJ Total	692	2.0	658	2.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
IDJJ Average	9.1	6	2.9	0.3

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	69.1%	28.4%	2.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	January 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	4.3%
Court Evaluation Return	1	2.2%
Discharged and Recommitted	8	17.4%
Initial Commitment	26	56.5%
New Commitment	7	15.2%
Technical Parole Violator	2	4.3%
Total	46	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, January 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for January 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	46	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	31	18
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	114	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	33	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	19	1
Total	243	38

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	2	0	0	4	3	9
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	1	2	2	1	1	7
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	1	9	4	1	5	20
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	2	1	1	5	9
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	10	1	9	4	3	27
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	32	16	98	22	2	170
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	10	18	4	5	1	38

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	4	2	1	7	8	20	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	1	3	16	18	6	14	18
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	0	1	0	3	5	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	4	0	11	10	1	1	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	6	9	3	4	7	9	8
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	8	6	7	5	9	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	-	-	5	-	11	21	18
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	-	11	12	7	14	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	10	10	-	14	-	-	45
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: January 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	89	51	7	75
<i>Moderate</i>	16	49	40	29
<i>Low</i>	0	5	58	1
Total	105	105	105	105


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	14	-	-
<i>High</i>	19	96	68
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	29	0	27
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	6	-	-
<i>Low</i>	6	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	96	96	96

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	5	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	19	14
<i>Moderate-High</i>	24	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	35	20	48
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	19	-	-
<i>Low</i>	7	8	34
<i>None</i>	0	49	0
Total	96	96	96

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for January 31st, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	138	94.5%	380	96.2%
Female	8	5.5%	15	3.8%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.5	
16 & Under	47	32.2%	56	14.3%
17 to 20	98	67.1%	303	77.5%
20.5 & Over	1	0.7%	32	8.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	25	17.1%	80	20.3%
Black	99	67.8%	265	67.1%
Hispanic	14	9.6%	35	8.9%
American Indian	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian	0	0%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	8	5.5%	14	3.5%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	25	17.1%	113	28.6%
Collar Counties	10	6.9%	34	8.6%
Metro-East	10	6.8%	19	4.8%
Central	62	42.5%	125	31.6%
Southern	11	7.5%	37	9.4%
Northern	28	19.2%	53	13.4%
Other	0	0%	14	3.6%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	12	8.2%	1	0.3%
Class X Felony	40	27.4%	82	20.8%
Class 1 Felony	41	28.1%	115	29.1%
Class 2 Felony	33	22.6%	144	36.5%
Class 3 Felony	13	8.9%	20	5.1%
Class 4 Felony	7	4.8%	19	4.8%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	2.1%	3	0.8%
Delinquent	89	61%	348	88.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	8	5.5%	11	2.8%
Felon	31	21.2%	2	0.5%
First Degree Murderer	4	2.7%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	7	4.8%	7	1.8%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.7%	9	2.3%
From Other State	0	0%	14	3.5%

Aftercare Completion – January 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	38	97.4%
Female	1	2.6%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age		
16 & Under	9	23.1%
17 to 20	28	71.8%
20.5 & Over	2	5.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	3	7.7%
Black	30	76.9%
Hispanic	4	10.3%
Multi/Biracial	2	5.1%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	7	17.9%
Collar Counties	3	7.7%
Metro-East	1	2.6%
Central	11	28.2%
Southern	4	10.3%
Northern	12	30.8%
Out of State	1	2.6%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	9	23.1%
Class 1 Felony	12	30.8%
Class 2 Felony	12	30.8%
Class 3 Felony	2	5.1%
Class 4 Felony	3	7.7%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	2.6%
Delinquent	34	87.2%
Extended Jurisdiction	2	5.1%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	2.6%
From Other State	1	2.6%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	16	41%
Aftercare Discharge	14	35.9%
Adult Sentence Discharge	5	12.8%
Court Ordered Discharge	3	7.7%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	2.6%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare

These youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities

