



**Monthly Report:
December 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22	27	22	31	29	26
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52	55	53	57	50	47
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10	12	13	12	12	13
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40	43	41	37	46	.5
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23	24	23	23	24	21
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147	161	152	160	161	142


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8	24.2	24.4	24.4	28.3	25.5
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2	55.6	54.9	58.4	53.9	50.8
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6	10.2	12.4	12.3	9.9	12.0
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0	39.4	41.7	39.3	44.2	41.7
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7	25.4	22.0	22.5	23.5	21.8
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4	154.8	155.5	156.8	159.8	151.7

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61	60	60			
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114	111	109			
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34	34	35			
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94	93	93			
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57	58	57			
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360	356	354			

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%	62.9%	62.5%	58.3%	60%	67.5%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%	65.8%	69.9%	82.3%	58.9%	61.5%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%	61.5%	73.3%	60%	73.3%	58.8%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%	54.7%	38.7%	45.5%	55.1%	42.4%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%	58.6%	56.7%	48.3%	50%	35.7%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%	60.7%	57.4%	61.8%	57.6%	53.2%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.6%	33.0%	63.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.6%	33.3%	63.8%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	0.0%	32.4%	65.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.0%	31.8%	64.8%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	34.2%	63.4%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.2%	33.3%	63.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
August	1.73%	1.16%	9.25%	23.69%	64.16%
September	0.56%	2.25%	8.43%	24.16%	64.61%
October	0.00%	4.40%	6.04%	26.37%	63.19%
November	0.00%	1.13%	6.78%	25.99%	66.10%
December	0.00%	2.04%	15.65%	27.89%	54.42%
IDJJ Average	0.19%	2.81%	11.12%	22.33%	63.55%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7	7	11	8	8	9
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15	13	17	17	18	12
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8	9	10	10	11	10
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	2	4
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5	6	5	7	5	3
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41	41	49	48	44	38

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150	-	101	120	-	106
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78	53	-	90	63	94
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-	-	-	60	40	-
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15	-	-	90	-	-
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84	53	101	90	56	100

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%	30%	16.7%	40%	58.3%	25%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%	60%	50%	46.7%	33.3%	75%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%	0%	33.3%	13.3%	8.3%	0%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%	75.6%	77.6%	77.1%	77.3%	81.6%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%	2.4%	4.1%	2.1%	0%	2.6%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%	22.0%	18.4%	20.8%	22.7%	15.8%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Of the youth that were reported as using "Other Drugs" for their primary substance abused, 2 reported use of amphetamines, 1 reported hallucinogenics, 2 reported benzodiazepines, and 1 was reported other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18	20	16	16	18	19
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41	47	47	50	51	41
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4	4	8	8	7	7
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22	23	18	19	22	20
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14	14	12	13	18	16
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99	108	101	106	116	103

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	11	11	10	10
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32	31	31	31	30	30

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.7	2.2
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.8	1.9
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	2.3
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7	3.5	3.3	3.7	4.7	3.7
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.3	3.5
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.4

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.0
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	10.3	10.3	11.3	14.5	13.0
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.0
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	11.0	9.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3	7.1	8.0	7.5	8.3	7.4

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	11
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2	2	0	4	6	0	31
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	11
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	3	3	1	1	1	17
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	8
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9	9	7	5	7	1	77

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%	40%	50%	50%	44.4%	31.6%
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%	66%	66%	68%	56.9%	63.4%
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%	75%	37.5%	37.5%	28.6%	28.6%
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%	39.1%	44.4%	42.1%	36.4%	45%
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%	42.9%	50%	53.8%	61.1%	56.3%
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%	52.8%	55.4%	56.6%	50%	50.5%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	7
IYC Pere Marquette	7	0
IYC St. Charles	0	7
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	7	14

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4	8	1	9	1	0
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27	21	35	25	55	24
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13	2	2	5	2	0
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7	9	17	7	8	19
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8	13	5	13	13	18
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59	53	60	59	79	61

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	33.1	4.1	36.9	3.5	0	24.1
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	37.8	63.8	42.8	102.0	47.2	62.5
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	19.6	16.1	40.7	20.2	0	37.7
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	22.8	40.8	17.8	18.1	45.6	28.8
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	51.2	22.7	57.8	55.3	82.6	51.6
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	34.2	38.6	37.6	49.4	40.2	43.9

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6	11	16	6	19	10
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	2	2	0
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	3	3	2	3	2
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3	7	2	3	4	3
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17	23	21	14	29	15

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	4.1	0	4.1	3.5	0	2.7
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	19.8	29.1	10.3	35.3	19.7	22.7
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	9.8	0	16.3	20.2	0	11.2
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	7.6	7.2	5.1	6.8	4.8	5.1
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	27.6	9.1	13.3	17.0	13.8	15.5
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	14.9	13.5	8.9	18.1	9.9	12.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1	6	1	6	0	0
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18	6	13	16	30	12
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	1	2	0	0	0
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3	6	13	5	5	13
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4	5	1	5	5	8
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30	24	30	32	40	33

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	24.8	4.1	24.6	0	0	12.6
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	10.8	23.7	27.4	55.7	23.6	33.1
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	9.8	16.1	0	0	0	13.2
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.2	31.2	12.7	113	31.2	25.9
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	19.7	4.5	22.2	21.3	36.7	17.8
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	15.5	19.3	20.4	25.0	21.8	22.1

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3	4	6	3	6	2
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5	0	0	3	0	0
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2	0	1	0	0	4
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1	1	2	5	4	7
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12	6	9	13	10	13

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	4.1	0	8.2	0	0	8.9
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	7.2	10.9	5.1	11.1	3.9	7.0
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	0	0	24.4	0	0	13.3
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	0	2.4	0	0	9.6	6.2
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	9.1	22.2	17.0	32.1	18.2
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	3.9	5.8	8.3	6.3	8.6	9.1

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	2
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	1	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0	3	0	3	1	0
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16	14	24	15	21	6
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5	2	6	2	2	1
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5	10	6	8	11	8
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27	29	36	29	36	15

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4	17	2	18	2	0
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71	55	48	33	104	32
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45	33	37	44	28	45
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33	57	30	52	105	81
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156	162	117	147	240	158

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16	70	8	74	7	0
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120	99	87	57	193	63
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39	0	0	0	10	0
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110	84	89	112	63	108
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134	224	136	231	447	372
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99	105	75	94	150	104

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107	113	124	109	115	-
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152	152	127	121	123	149
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81	-	-	-	22	-
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78	140	150	88	137	85
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109	102	115	70	89	117
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119	128	131	97	109	115

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	4	-	-
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	2	-
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5	6	5	2	1	-
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6	7	6	6	3	0

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21	21	11	10	3	1
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	2	3
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21	24	11	10	5	4


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21	-	-	-	-	1
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21	0	0	0	0	1

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	1	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383	385	392	385	382	376
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156	149	158	157	167	181
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111	116	114	120	118	100
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103	103	105	93	86	82
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13	17	15	15	11	13

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.2	6.1
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.9
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.2

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – December 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	43	5.4	30	3.8
Chicago Heights	4	12	3	7	1.8
Aurora	2	9	4.5	5	2.5
Rockford	4	16	4	12	3
Peoria	4	32	8	24	6
Belleville	7	36	5.1	21	3
Champaign	4	38	9.5	29	7.3
Springfield	3	24	8	15	5
Placement	7	44	6.3	24	3.4
Intensive	3	27	9	14	4.7
IDJJ Total	46	281	6.1	181	3.9

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – December 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	114	2.7	97	3.2
Chicago Heights	59	4.9	41	5.9
Aurora	26	2.9	20	4
Rockford	89	5.6	75	6.3
Peoria	128	4	122	5.1
Belleville	89	2.5	77	3.7
Champaign	133	3.5	123	4.2
Springfield	73	3.0	53	3.5
Placement	137	3.1	105	4.4
IDJJ Total	848	3.0	713	3.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
<i>August</i>	5	2	1	2
<i>September</i>	15	10	5	0
<i>October</i>	8	3	5	0
<i>November</i>	4	4	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
IDJJ Average	7.2	4.3	2.3	0.6

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<i>August</i>	40%	20%	40%
<i>September</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>October</i>	37.5%	62.5%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
IDJJ Average	59.8%	31.8%	8.5%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31	35	30	38	41	27
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%	25.7%	10%	15.8%	12.2%	0%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36	29	37	29	36	46
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%	93.1%	91.9%	86.2%	88.9%	87%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32	27	35	26	32	41
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20	16	36	14	24	23

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	December 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	11.1%
Court Evaluation Return	1	3.7%
Discharged and Recommitted	0	0%
Initial Commitment	21	77.8%
New Commitment	2	7.4%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	0	0%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	27	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, December 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for December 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	110	1
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	90	21
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	59	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	89	16
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	28	24
Total	376	62

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	1	0	10	0	11
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	6	2	7	18	8	41
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	3	10	3	5	3	24
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	6	20	3	7	3	39
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	14	5	5	4	1	29
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	4	6	15	1	3	29
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	77	46	26	44	10	203
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	1	21	0	16	24	62

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2	15	7	4	0	1
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31	26	72	31	63	21
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0	7	4	2	6	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13	27	4	11	5	16
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3	9	14	5	18	24
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49	84	101	53	92	62

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	12/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16	5	6	16	-	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14	11	13	9	9	12
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0	3	4	3	17	-
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10	13	10	9	7	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11	9	7	11	8	7
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12	10	11	9	9	9

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: December 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	11	6	0	11
<i>Moderate</i>	1	6	7	1
<i>Low</i>	0	0	5	0
Total	12	12	12	12


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	2	12	11
<i>Moderate-High</i>	5	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	2	0	1
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	1	-	-
<i>Low</i>	0	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	12	12	12

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	0	-	-
<i>High</i>	1	4	1
<i>Moderate-High</i>	3	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	6	1	8
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	1	-	-
<i>Low</i>	1	0	3
<i>None</i>	0	7	0
Total	12	12	12

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26
August	2	8	0	1	0	2	12	25
September	0	0	1	6	0	3	22	32
October	0	2	0	3	0	5	10	20
November	0	2	0	1	0	2	22	27
December	0	3	6	1	0	2	13	25

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for December 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	135	94.4%	270	95.1%
Female	8	5.6%	14	4.9%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.7		18.0	
16 & Under	39	27.3%	66	23.2%
17 to 20	102	71.3%	209	73.6%
20.5 & Over	2	1.4%	9	3.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	17	11.9%	41	14.4%
Black	105	73.4%	205	72.2%
Hispanic	15	10.5%	18	6.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	6	4.2%	19	6.7%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	33	23.1%	70	24.6%
Collar Counties	10	7.0%	19	6.7%
Metro-East	11	7.7%	14	4.9%
Central	62	43.4%	109	38.4%
Southern	9	6.3%	20	7.0%
Northern	18	12.6%	46	16.2%
Out of State	0	0%	6	2.2%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	11	7.7%	2	0.7%
Class X Felony	39	27.3%	74	26.1%
Class 1 Felony	33	23.1%	71	25.0%
Class 2 Felony	39	27.3%	90	31.7%
Class 3 Felony	13	9.1%	16	5.6%
Class 4 Felony	8	5.6%	25	8.8%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	9	5	3	0
Class X Felony	92	10	9	2
Class 1 Felony	80	12	6	6
Class 2 Felony	84	24	11	10
Class 3 Felony	17	8	2	2
Class 4 Felony	25	1	2	5
Total	307	56	33	25

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	6	4.2%	3	1.1%
Delinquent	79	55.2%	242	85.2%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	2.8%	13	4.6%
Felon	42	29.4%	5	1.8%
First Degree Murderer	7	4.9%	1	0.4%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.7%	4	1.4%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.8%	10	3.5%
From Other State	0	0%	6	2.1%

Aftercare Completion – December 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	20	90.9%
Female	2	9.1%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.5	
16 & Under	4	18.2%
17 to 20	14	63.6%
20.5 & Over	4	18.2%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	2	9.1%
Collar Counties	3	13.6%
Metro-East	2	9.1%
Central	12	54.5%
Southern	0	0%
Northern	2	9.1%
Out of State	1	4.5%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	4	18.2%
Black	16	72.7%
Hispanic	1	4.5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	1	4.5%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	4	18.2%
Class 1 Felony	5	22.7%
Class 2 Felony	5	22.7%
Class 3 Felony	4	18.2%
Class 4 Felony	3	13.6%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	19	86.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	4.5%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	4.5%
From Other State	1	4.5%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	2	9.1%
Aftercare Discharge	13	59.1%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	13.6%
Transfer to Adult Parole	1	4.5%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	4.5%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	4.5%
Statutory Discharge	1	4.5%
Death	0	0%
Vacated Commitment Order	0	0%
Expiration of Sentence	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities





**Monthly Report:
November 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22	27	22	31	29
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52	55	53	57	50
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10	12	13	12	12
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40	43	41	37	46
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23	24	23	23	24
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147	161	152	160	161


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8	24.2	24.4	24.4	28.3
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2	55.6	54.9	58.4	53.9
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6	10.2	12.4	12.3	9.9
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0	39.4	41.7	39.3	44.2
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7	25.4	22.0	22.5	23.5
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4	154.8	155.5	156.8	159.8

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61	60	60		
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114	111	109		
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34	34	35		
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94	93	93		
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57	58	57		
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360	356	354		

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%	62.9%	62.5%	58.3%	60%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%	65.8%	69.9%	82.3%	58.9%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%	61.5%	73.3%	60%	73.3%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%	54.7%	38.7%	45.5%	55.1%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%	58.6%	56.7%	48.3%	50%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%	60.7%	57.4%	61.8%	57.6%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.6%	33.0%	63.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.6%	33.3%	63.8%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	0.0%	32.4%	65.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.0%	31.8%	64.8%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.2%	33.2%	63.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
August	1.73%	1.16%	9.25%	23.69%	64.16%
September	0.56%	2.25%	8.43%	24.16%	64.61%
October	0.00%	4.40%	6.04%	26.37%	63.19%
November	0.00%	1.13%	6.78%	25.99%	66.10%
IDJJ Average	0.21%	2.88%	10.71%	21.83%	64.38%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7	7	11	8	8
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15	13	17	17	18
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8	9	10	10	11
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	2
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5	6	5	7	5
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41	41	49	48	44

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150	-	101	120	-
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78	53	-	90	63
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-	-	-	60	40
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15	-	-	90	-
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84	53	101	90	56

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%	30%	16.7%	40%	58.3%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%	60%	50%	46.7%	33.3%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%	0%	33.3%	13.3%	8.3%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%	75.6%	77.6%	77.1%	77.3%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%	2.4%	4.1%	2.1%	0%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%	22.0%	18.4%	20.8%	22.7%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Of the youth that were reported as using "Other Drugs" for their primary substance abused, 5 reported use of amphetamines, 3 reported hallucinogenics, 1 reported benzodiazepines, and 1 was reported other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18	20	16	16	18
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41	47	47	50	51
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4	4	8	8	7
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22	23	18	19	22
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14	14	12	13	18
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99	108	101	106	116

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	11	11	10
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32	31	31	31	30

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.7
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.8
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7	3.5	3.3	3.7	4.7
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.3
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.6

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	10.3	10.3	11.3	14.5
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	11.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3	7.1	8.0	7.5	8.3

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	11
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2	2	0	4	6	31
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	11
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	3	3	1	1	16
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	8
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9	9	7	5	7	76

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%	40%	50%	50%	44.4%
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%	66%	66%	68%	56.9%
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%	75%	37.5%	37.5%	28.6%
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%	39.1%	44.4%	42.1%	36.4%
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%	42.9%	50%	53.8%	61.1%
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%	52.8%	55.4%	56.6%	50%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	2
IYC Pere Marquette	7	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	7	4

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4	8	1	9	1
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27	21	35	25	55
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13	2	2	5	2
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7	9	17	7	8
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8	13	5	13	13
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59	53	60	59	79

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	33.1	4.1	36.9	3.5	26.3
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	37.8	63.8	42.8	102.0	63.9
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	19.6	16.1	40.7	20.2	41.1
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	22.8	40.8	17.8	18.1	27.2
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	51.2	22.7	57.8	55.3	48.7
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	34.2	38.6	37.6	49.4	44.3

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6	11	16	6	19
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	2	2
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	3	3	2	3
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3	7	2	3	4
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17	23	21	14	29

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	4.1	0	4.1	3.5	2.9
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	19.8	29.1	10.3	35.3	23.0
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	9.8	0	16.3	20.2	12.2
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	7.6	7.2	5.1	6.8	5.2
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	27.6	9.1	13.3	17.0	15.7
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	14.9	13.5	8.9	18.1	13.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1	6	1	6	0
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18	6	13	16	30
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	1	2	0	0
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3	6	13	5	5
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4	5	1	5	5
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30	24	30	32	40

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	24.8	4.1	24.6	0	13.7
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	10.8	23.7	27.4	55.7	33.9
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	9.8	16.1	0	0	14.4
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.2	31.2	12.7	113	16.2
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	19.7	4.5	22.2	21.3	16.1
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	15.5	19.3	20.4	25.0	22.1

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1	1	0	2	0
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3	4	6	3	6
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5	0	0	3	0
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2	0	1	0	0
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1	1	2	5	4
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12	6	9	13	10

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	4.1	0	8.2	0	9.7
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	7.2	10.9	5.1	11.1	7.2
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	0	0	24.4	0	14.5
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	0	2.4	0	0	5.8
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	9.1	22.2	17.0	17.0
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	3.9	5.8	8.3	6.3	9.1

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0	3	0	3	1
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16	14	24	15	21
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	1
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5	2	6	2	2
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5	10	6	8	11
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27	29	36	29	36

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4	17	2	18	2
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71	55	48	33	104
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	1
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45	33	37	44	28
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33	57	30	52	105
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156	162	117	147	240

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16	70	8	74	7
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120	99	87	57	193
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39	0	0	0	10
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110	84	89	112	63
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134	224	136	231	447
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99	105	75	94	150

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107	113	124	109	115
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152	152	127	121	123
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81	-	-	-	22
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78	140	150	88	137
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109	102	115	70	89
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119	128	131	97	109

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	4	-
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	2
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5	6	5	2	1
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6	7	6	6	3

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21	21	11	10	3
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	2
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21	24	11	10	5


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21	0	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	1	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383	385	392	385	382
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156	149	158	157	167
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111	116	114	120	118
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103	103	105	93	86
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13	17	15	15	11

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.2
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.6
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – November 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	42	5.3	26	3.3
Chicago Heights	4	15	3.8	7	1.8
Aurora	2	10	5	6	3
Rockford	4	18	4.5	12	3
Peoria	4	32	8	24	6
Belleville	7	34	4.9	20	2.9
Champaign	4	39	9.8	26	6.5
Springfield	3	28	9.3	14	4.7
Placement	7	42	6	20	2.9
Intensive	3	25	8.3	12	4
IDJJ Total	46	285	6.2	167	3.6

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – November 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	91	2.2	74	2.8
Chicago Heights	51	3.4	33	4.7
Aurora	28	2.8	17	2.8
Rockford	66	3.7	58	4.8
Peoria	96	3	91	3.8
Belleville	77	2.3	60	3
Champaign	108	2.8	95	3.7
Springfield	64	2.3	43	3.1
Placement	112	2.7	81	4.1
IDJJ Total	693	2.4	552	3.3

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
<i>August</i>	5	2	1	2
<i>September</i>	15	10	5	0
<i>October</i>	8	3	5	0
<i>November</i>	4	4	0	0
IDJJ Average	7.8	4.4	2.7	0.7

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<i>August</i>	40%	20%	40%
<i>September</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>October</i>	37.5%	62.5%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	58.4%	32.4%	9.3%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31	35	30	38	41
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%	25.7%	10%	15.8%	12.2%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36	29	37	29	36
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%	93.1%	91.9%	86.2%	88.9%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32	27	35	26	32
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20	16	36	14	24

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	November 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	6	14.6%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	7.3%
Initial Commitment	23	56.1%
New Commitment	4	9.8%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	5	12.2%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	41	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, November 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for November 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	92	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	66	63
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	24	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	130	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	44	18
Total	356	92

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	9	0	9
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	6	11	4	33	16	70
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	4	4	1	3	1	13
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	9	15	3	11	6	44
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	3	3	13	1	20
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	6	4	2	5	2	19
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	67	29	11	56	18	181
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	0	63	6	5	18	92

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2	15	7	4	0
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31	26	72	31	63
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0	7	4	2	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13	27	4	11	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3	9	14	5	18
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49	84	101	53	92

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	11/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16	5	6	16	-
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14	11	13	9	9
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0	3	4	3	17
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10	13	10	9	7
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11	9	7	11	8
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12	10	11	9	9

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: November 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	12	7	1	11
<i>Moderate</i>	1	6	7	2
<i>Low</i>	0	0	5	0
Total	13	13	13	13


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	5	-	-
<i>High</i>	2	13	1
<i>Moderate-High</i>	1	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	5	0	6
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	0	-	-
<i>Low</i>	0	0	6
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	13	13	13

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	0	-	-
<i>High</i>	0	2	1
<i>Moderate-High</i>	4	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	4	0	6
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	4	-	-
<i>Low</i>	1	1	6
<i>None</i>	0	10	0
Total	13	13	13

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26
August	2	8	0	1	0	2	12	25
September	0	0	1	6	0	3	22	32
October	0	2	0	3	0	5	10	20
November	0	2	0	1	0	2	22	27

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic and Aftercare data for the October 2022 report is currently unavailable due to a disruption in data extraction from our systems. When data becomes available, these sections of this report will be updated.



**Monthly Report:
October 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22	27	22	31
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52	55	53	57
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10	12	13	12
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40	43	41	37
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23	24	23	23
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147	161	152	160


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8	24.2	24.4	24.4
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2	55.6	54.9	58.4
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6	10.2	12.4	12.3
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0	39.4	41.7	39.3
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7	25.4	22.0	22.5
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4	154.8	155.5	156.8

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61	60	60	
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114	111	109	
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34	34	35	
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94	93	93	
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57	58	57	
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360	356	354	

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%	62.9%	62.5%	58.3%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%	65.8%	69.9%	82.3%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%	61.5%	73.3%	60%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%	54.7%	38.7%	45.5%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%	58.6%	56.7%	48.3%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%	60.7%	57.4%	61.8%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.6%	33.0%	63.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.6%	33.3%	63.8%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	0.0%	32.4%	65.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.2%	33.4%	63.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
August	1.73%	1.16%	9.25%	23.69%	64.16%
September	0.56%	2.25%	8.43%	24.16%	64.61%
October	0.00%	4.40%	6.04%	26.37%	63.19%
IDJJ Average	0.23%	3.05%	11.10%	21.41%	64.21%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7	7	11	8
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15	13	17	17
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8	9	10	10
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	6	6	6
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5	6	5	7
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41	41	49	48

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150	-	101	120
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78	53	-	90
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-	-	-	60
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15	-	-	90
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84	53	101	90

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%	30%	16.7%	40%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%	10%	0%	0%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%	60%	50%	46.7%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%	0%	33.3%	13.3%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%	75.6%	77.6%	77.1%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%	2.4%	4.1%	2.1%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%	22.0%	18.4%	20.8%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Of the youth that were reported as using "Other Drugs" for their primary substance abused, 4 reported use of amphetamines, 2 reported hallucinogenics, 1 reported benzodiazepines, and 2 was reported other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18	20	16	16
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41	47	47	50
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4	4	8	8
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22	23	18	19
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14	14	12	13
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99	108	101	106

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	11	11
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32	31	31	31

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.3
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.7	1.7
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7	3.5	3.3	3.7
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	10.3	10.3	11.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0	9.0	8.0	8.0
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	6.0	6.0	7.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3	7.1	8.0	7.5

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	0	11
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2	2	0	4	25
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	11
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	3	3	1	15
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	8
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9	9	7	5	69

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%	40%	50%	50%
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%	66%	66%	68%
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%	75%	37.5%	37.5%
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%	39.1%	44.4%	42.1%
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%	42.9%	50%	53.8%
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%	52.8%	55.4%	56.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	8
IYC Pere Marquette	8	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	8	10

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4	8	1	9
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27	21	35	25
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13	2	2	5
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7	9	17	7
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8	13	5	13
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59	53	60	59

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	33.1	4.1	36.9	28.6
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	37.8	63.8	42.8	60.1
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	19.6	16.1	40.7	43.2
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	22.8	40.8	17.8	28.2
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	51.2	22.7	57.8	48.1
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	34.2	38.6	37.6	43.8

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6	11	16	6
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	2
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	3	3	2
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3	7	2	3
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17	23	21	14

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	4.1	0	4.1	2.8
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	19.8	29.1	10.3	21.7
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	9.8	0	16.3	11.4
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	7.6	7.2	5.1	5.0
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	27.6	9.1	13.3	15.6
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	14.9	13.5	8.9	12.7

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1	6	1	6
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18	6	13	16
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	1	2	0
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3	6	13	5
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4	5	1	5
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30	24	30	32

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	24.8	4.1	24.6	15.1
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	10.8	23.7	27.4	31.7
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	9.8	16.1	0	15.9
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.2	31.2	12.7	16.7
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	19.7	4.5	22.2	15.6
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	15.5	19.3	20.4	21.8

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1	1	0	2
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3	4	6	3
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5	0	0	3
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2	0	1	0
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1	1	2	5
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12	6	9	13

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	4.1	0	8.2	10.6
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	7.2	10.9	5.1	6.9
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	0	0	24.4	16.0
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	0	2.4	0	6.4
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	9.1	22.2	17.0
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	3.9	5.8	8.3	9.4

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3	4	5

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0	3	0	3
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16	14	24	15
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5	2	6	2
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5	10	6	8
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27	29	36	29

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4	17	2	18
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71	55	48	33
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3	0	0	0
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45	33	37	44
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33	57	30	52
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156	162	117	147

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16	70	8	74
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120	99	87	57
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39	0	0	0
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110	84	89	112
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134	224	136	231
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99	105	75	94

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107	113	124	109
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152	152	127	121
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81	-	-	-
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78	140	150	88
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109	102	115	70
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119	128	131	97

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	4
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5	6	5	2
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6	7	6	6

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21	21	11	10
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21	24	11	10


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	1
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	1

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383	385	392	385
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156	149	158	157
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111	116	114	120
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103	103	105	93
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13	17	15	15

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.8	5.9	6.0
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.4
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – October 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	42	5.3	26	3.3
Chicago Heights	4	12	3	6	1.5
Aurora	2	9	4.5	4	2
Rockford	4	16	4	10	2.5
Peoria	4	32	8	22	5.5
Belleville	7	35	5	18	2.6
Champaign	4	37	9.3	25	6.3
Springfield	3	24	8	13	4.3
Placement	7	43	6.1	21	3
Intensive	3	27	9	12	4
IDJJ Total	46	277	6.0	157	3.4

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – October 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	95	2.3	76	2.9
Chicago Heights	49	4.1	32	5.3
Aurora	22	2.4	16	4
Rockford	74	4.6	59	5.9
Peoria	108	3.4	95	4.3
Belleville	74	2.1	61	3.4
Champaign	112	3.0	97	3.9
Springfield	61	2.5	42	3.2
Placement	114	2.7	83	4.0
IDJJ Total	709	2.8	561	3.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
<i>August</i>	5	2	1	2
<i>September</i>	15	10	5	0
<i>October</i>	8	3	5	0
IDJJ Average	7.8	4.4	2.7	0.7

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<i>August</i>	40%	20%	40%
<i>September</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>October</i>	37.5%	62.5%	0%
IDJJ Average	54.2%	35.6%	10.2%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31	35	30	38
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%	25.7%	10%	15.8%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36	29	37	29
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%	93.1%	91.9%	86.2%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32	27	35	26
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20	16	36	14

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	October 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	10.5%
Court Evaluation Return	1	2.6%
Discharged and Recommitted	1	2.6%
Initial Commitment	23	60.5%
New Commitment	3	7.9%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	6	15.8%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	38	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, October 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for October 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	103	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	156	31
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	35	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	97	11
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	65	5
Total	456	53

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	1	0	9	0	10
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	8	3	6	30	14	61
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	5	5	1	4	6	21
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	10	15	2	8	8	43
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	12	4	6	7	29
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	5	10	8	2	6	31
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	75	110	14	38	24	261
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	4	31	2	11	5	53

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2	15	7	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31	26	72	31
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0	7	4	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13	27	4	11
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3	9	14	5
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49	84	101	53

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	10/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16	5	6	16
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14	11	13	9
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0	3	4	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10	13	10	9
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11	9	7	11
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12	10	11	9

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: October 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	89	58	6	78
<i>Moderate</i>	17	46	42	28
<i>Low</i>	0	2	58	0
Total	106	106	106	106


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	15	-	-
<i>High</i>	13	87	68
<i>Moderate-High</i>	32	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	23	0	19
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	1	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	87	87	87

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	0	-	-
<i>High</i>	7	10	9
<i>Moderate-High</i>	23	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	34	17	45
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	15	-	-
<i>Low</i>	8	14	33
<i>None</i>	0	46	0
Total	87	87	87

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26
August	2	8	0	1	0	2	12	25
September	0	0	1	6	0	3	22	32
October	0	2	0	3	0	5	10	20

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic and Aftercare data for the October 2022 report is currently unavailable due to a disruption in data extraction from our systems. When data becomes available, these sections of this report will be updated.



**Monthly Report:
September 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22	27	22
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52	55	53
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10	12	13
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40	43	41
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23	24	23
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147	161	152


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8	24.2	24.4
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2	55.6	54.9
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6	10.2	12.4
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0	39.4	41.7
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7	25.4	22.0
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4	154.8	155.5

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61	60	60
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114	111	109
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34	34	35
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94	93	93
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57	58	57
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360	356	354

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%	62.9%	62.5%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%	65.8%	69.9%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%	61.5%	73.3%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%	54.7%	38.7%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%	58.6%	56.7%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%	60.7%	57.4%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.6%	33.0%	63.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.6%	33.3%	63.8%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.3%	33.5%	63.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
August	1.73%	1.16%	9.25%	23.69%	64.16%
September	0.56%	2.25%	8.43%	24.16%	64.61%
IDJJ Average	0.25%	2.90%	11.66%	20.86%	64.32%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7	7	11
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15	13	17
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8	9	10
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	6	6
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5	6	5
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41	41	49

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150	-	101
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78	53	-
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15	-	-
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84	53	101

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%	30%	16.7%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%	10%	0%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%	60%	50%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%	0%	33.3%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%	75.6%	77.6%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%	2.4%	4.1%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%	22.0%	18.4%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 2 reported opioids, 3 reported benzodiazepines, 3 reported amphetamines and 1 reported hallucinogenics.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18	20	16
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41	47	47
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4	4	8
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22	23	18
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14	14	12
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99	108	101

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	11
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32	31	31

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.7
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7	3.5	3.3
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	10.3	10.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.5
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0	9.0	8.0
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	6.0	6.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3	7.1	8.0

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	11
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2	2	0	21
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	0	1	11
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	3	3	14
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	8
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9	9	7	64

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%	40%	50%
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%	66%	66%
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%	75%	37.5%
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%	39.1%	44.4%
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%	42.9%	50%
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%	52.8%	55.4%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	10
IYC Pere Marquette	8	0
IYC St. Charles	0	3
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	8	13

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4	8	1
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27	21	35
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13	2	2
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7	9	17
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8	13	5
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59	53	60

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	33.1	4.1	27.7
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	37.8	63.8	62.0
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	19.6	16.1	43.5
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	22.8	40.8	29.3
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	51.2	22.7	47.0
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	34.2	38.6	44.5

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6	11	16
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	0
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	3	3
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3	7	2
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17	23	21

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	4.1	0	2.7
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	19.8	29.1	23.0
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	9.8	0	10.8
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	7.6	7.2	5.0
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	27.6	9.1	15.8
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	14.9	13.5	13.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1	6	1
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18	6	13
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	1	2
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3	6	13
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4	5	1
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30	24	30

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	24.8	4.1	14.1
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	10.8	23.7	32.2
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	9.8	16.1	17.7
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.2	31.2	17.1
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	19.7	4.5	14.8
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	15.5	19.3	21.9

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1	1	0
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3	4	6
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5	0	0
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2	0	1
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1	1	2
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12	6	9

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	4.1	0	10.9
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	7.2	10.9	7.0
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	0	0	15.1
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	0	2.4	7.1
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	9.1	16.4
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	3.9	5.8	9.5

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3	4

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0	3	0
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16	14	24
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5	2	6
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5	10	6
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27	29	36

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4	17	2
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71	55	48
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3	0	0
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45	33	37
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33	57	30
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156	162	117

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16	70	8
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120	99	87
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39	0	0
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110	84	89
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134	224	136
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99	105	75

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107	113	124
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152	152	127
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81	-	-
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78	140	150
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109	102	115
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119	128	131

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	-
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5	6	5
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6	7	6

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21	21	11
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	-
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21	24	11


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383	385	392
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156	149	158
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111	116	114
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103	103	105
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13	17	15

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.8	6.0
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.4
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – September 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	40	5	23	2.9
Chicago Heights	4	16	4	11	2.8
Aurora	2	8	4	6	3
Rockford	4	18	4.5	11	2.8
Peoria	4	27	6.8	14	3.5
Belleville	7	34	4.9	23	3.3
Champaign	4	41	10.3	21	5.3
Springfield	3	21	7	14	4.7
Placement	7	43	6.1	20	2.9
Intensive	3	29	9.7	15	5
IDJJ Total	46	277	6.0	158	3.4

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – September 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	100	2.5	71	3.1
Chicago Heights	62	3.9	52	4.7
Aurora	35	4.4	31	5.2
Rockford	72	4	52	4.7
Peoria	99	3.7	77	5.5
Belleville	99	2.9	89	3.9
Champaign	127	3.1	100	4.8
Springfield	65	3.1	48	3.4
Placement	115	2.7	76	3.8
IDJJ Total	774	3.1	596	4.2

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
<i>August</i>	5	2	1	2
<i>September</i>	15	10	5	0
IDJJ Average	7.8	4.6	2.4	0.8

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<i>August</i>	40%	20%	40%
<i>September</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
IDJJ Average	56.1%	32.6%	11.3%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31	35	30
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%	25.7%	10%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36	29	37
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%	93.1%	91.9%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32	27	35
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20	16	36

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	September 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	13.3%
Court Evaluation Return	3	10%
Discharged and Recommitted	1	3.3%
Initial Commitment	17	56.7%
New Commitment	2	6.7%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	3	10%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	30	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, September 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for September 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	62	7
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	86	72
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	47	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	132	4
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	63	14
Total	390	101

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	10	0	10
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	3	16	10	33	28	90
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	7	2	4	1	16
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	10	17	2	12	6	47
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	4	2	8	4	18
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	5	4	6	4	5	24
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	42	38	25	61	19	185
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	7	72	4	4	14	101

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2	15	7
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31	26	72
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0	7	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13	27	4
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3	9	14
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49	84	101

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	9/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16	5	6
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14	11	13
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0	3	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10	13	10
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11	9	7
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12	10	11

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: September 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	86	56	6	76
<i>Moderate</i>	17	45	40	27
<i>Low</i>	0	2	57	0
Total	103	103	103	103


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	15	-	-
<i>High</i>	13	83	66
<i>Moderate-High</i>	29	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	22	0	17
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	1	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	83	83	83

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	0	-	-
<i>High</i>	7	10	9
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	31	16	40
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	15	-	-
<i>Low</i>	8	13	34
<i>None</i>	0	44	0
Total	83	83	83

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26
August	2	8	0	1	0	2	12	25
September	0	0	1	6	0	3	22	32

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for September 30th, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	145	96.7%	249	95.0%
Female	5	3.3%	13	5.0%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.2	
16 & Under	48	32.0%	53	20.2%
17 to 20	101	67.3%	196	74.8%
20.5 & Over	1	0.7%	12	4.6%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	28	18.7%	39	14.9%
Black	100	66.7%	189	72.1%
Hispanic	17	11.3%	15	5.7%
Native American	0	0%	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	5	3.3%	18	6.9%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	34	22.7%	66	25.2%
Collar Counties	8	5.3%	21	8.0%
Metro-East	9	6.0%	16	6.1%
Central	63	42.0%	98	37.4%
Southern	11	7.3%	16	6.1%
Northern	25	16.7%	38	14.5%
Out of State	0	0%	7	2.7%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	5.3%	3	1.1%
Class X Felony	55	36.7%	64	24.4%
Class 1 Felony	30	20.0%	66	25.2%
Class 2 Felony	38	25.3%	86	32.8%
Class 3 Felony	10	6.7%	18	6.9%
Class 4 Felony	9	6.0%	18	6.9%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	6	1	4	0
Class X Felony	97	11	9	2
Class 1 Felony	68	14	6	8
Class 2 Felony	76	27	12	9
Class 3 Felony	16	10	1	1
Class 4 Felony	22	2	0	3
Total	285	65	32	23

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	12	8.0%	0	0%
Delinquent	85	56.7%	225	85.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	2.7%	11	4.2%
Felon	39	26.0%	4	1.5%
First Degree Murderer	5	3.3%	2	0.8%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.7%	4	1.5%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.7%	9	3.4%
From Other State	0	0%	7	2.7%

Aftercare Completion – September 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	40	97.6%
Female	1	2.4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.7	
16 & Under	4	9.8%
17 to 20	34	82.9%
20.5 & Over	3	7.3%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	9	22%
Collar Counties	6	14.6%
Metro-East	2	4.9%
Central	14	34.1%
Southern	3	7.3%
Northern	5	12.2%
Out of State	2	4.9%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	11	26.8%
Black	27	65.9%
Hispanic	2	4.9%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	1	2.4%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State


Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	6	14.6%
Class 1 Felony	6	14.6%
Class 2 Felony	15	36.6%
Class 3 Felony	6	14.6%
Class 4 Felony	6	14.6%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	32	78%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	2.4%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	4	9.8%
Violent Juvenile	2	4.9%
From Other State	2	4.9%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	6	14.6%
Aftercare Discharge	15	36.6%
Adult Sentence Discharge	7	17.1%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	8	19.5%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	2	4.9%
Statutory Discharge	1	2.4%
Death	1	2.4%
Vacated Commitment Order	0	0%
Expiration of Sentence	1	2.4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Youth enrolled in Programming on Aftercare





**Monthly Report:
August 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22	27
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52	55
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10	12
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40	43
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23	24
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147	161


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8	24.2
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2	55.6
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6	10.2
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0	39.4
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7	25.4
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4	154.8

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61	60
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114	111
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34	34
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94	93
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57	58
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360	356

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%	62.9%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%	65.8%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%	61.5%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%	54.7%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%	58.6%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%	60.7%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.6%	33.0%	63.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.2%	33.5%	63.2%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
August	1.73%	1.16%	9.25%	23.69%	64.16%
IDJJ Average	0.22%	2.98%	12.07%	20.45%	64.28%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7	7
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15	13
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8	9
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	6
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5	6
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41	41

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150	-
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78	53
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-	-
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15	-
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84	53

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%	30%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%	10%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%	60%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%	0%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%	75.6%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%	2.4%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%	22.0%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported opioids, 1 reported benzodiazepines, 6 reported amphetamines and 1 reported hallucinogenics.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18	
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41	
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4	
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22	
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14	
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99	

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32	

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7	
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7	
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0	
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0	
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0	
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3	

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher. No School District data has been received for August. Reports will be updated as data becomes available.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1		8
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2		19
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2		10
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2		8
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1		4
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9		48

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%	
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%	
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%	
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%	
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%	
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%	


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	11
IYC Pere Marquette	4	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	16

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4	8
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27	21
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13	2
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7	9
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8	13
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59	53

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	33.1	30.6
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	37.8	61.8
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	19.6	47.0
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	22.8	27.9
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	51.2	50.0
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	34.2	45.2

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6	11
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	1
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	3
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3	7
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17	23

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	4.1	3.0
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	19.8	22.2
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	9.8	12.2
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	7.6	4.7
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	27.6	16.6
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	14.9	13.1

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1	6
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18	6
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	1
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3	6
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4	5
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30	24

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	24.8	15.3
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	10.8	33.3
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	9.8	17.9
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.2	15.4
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	19.7	16.1
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	15.5	22.3

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1	1
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3	4
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5	0
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2	0
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1	1
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12	6

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	4.1	12.3
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	7.2	6.6
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	0	16.9
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	0	7.7
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	17.3
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	3.9	10.0

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0	3
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16	14
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5	2
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5	10
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27	29

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4	17
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71	55
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3	0
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45	33
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33	57
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156	162

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16	70
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120	99
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39	0
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110	84
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134	224
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99	105

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107	113
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152	152
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81	-
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78	140
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109	102
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119	128

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5	6
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6	7

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21	21
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	3
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21	24


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383	385
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156	149
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111	116
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103	103
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13	17

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.8
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.2
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – August 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	17	4.8	21	2.6
Chicago Heights	4	5	3.8	10	2.5
Aurora	2	2	3.5	5	2.5
Rockford	4	6	4	10	2.5
Peoria	4	13	6.5	13	3.3
Belleville	7	11	5	24	3.4
Champaign	4	20	10.5	22	5.5
Springfield	3	6	6	12	4
Placement	7	22	5.7	18	2.6
Intensive	3	14	9.	14	4.7
IDJJ Total	46	116	5.8	149	3.2

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – August 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	96	2.5	68	3.2
Chicago Heights	57	3.8	48	4.8
Aurora	27	3.9	25	5
Rockford	68	4.3	49	4.9
Peoria	97	3.7	75	5.8
Belleville	98	2.8	88	3.7
Champaign	118	2.8	92	4.2
Springfield	47	2.6	36	3
Placement	105	2.6	68	3.8
IDJJ Total	713	3.2	549	4.3

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
<i>August</i>	5	2	1	2
IDJJ Average	6.9	3.9	2.1	0.9

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<i>August</i>	40%	20%	40%
IDJJ Average	54.7%	32.6%	12.7%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31	35
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%	25.7%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36	29
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%	93.1%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32	27
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20	16

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	August 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	11.4%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	8.6%
Initial Commitment	17	48.6%
New Commitment	2	5.7%
Aftercare/MSR Violator	9	25.7%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	35	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, August 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for August 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	80	15
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	161	26
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	46	7
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	81	27
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	89	9
Total	457	84

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	4	0	0	0	4
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	4	0	4
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	12	23	7	6	25	73
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	4	9	1	3	5	22
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	8	27	3	8	11	57
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	9	4	8	5	26
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	7	8	5	4	3	27
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	49	81	26	48	40	244
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	15	26	7	27	9	84

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2	15
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31	26
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0	7
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13	27
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3	9
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49	84

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	8/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16	5
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14	11
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10	13
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11	9
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12	10

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: August 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	95	63	3	80
<i>Moderate</i>	13	44	54	31
<i>Low</i>	3	4	54	0
Total	111	111	111	111


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	25	-	-
<i>High</i>	18	102	76
<i>Moderate-High</i>	25	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	26	0	27
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	7	-	-
<i>Low</i>	2	1	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	103	103	103

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	1	-	-
<i>High</i>	9	17	14
<i>Moderate-High</i>	26	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	35	21	43
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	16	-	-
<i>Low</i>	14	8	44
<i>None</i>	2	57	2
Total	103	103	103

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26
August	2	8	0	1	0	2	12	25

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for August 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	153	96.2%	259	95.9%
Female	6	3.8%	11	4.1%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.4		18.3	
16 & Under	56	35.2%	45	16.7%
17 to 20	102	64.2%	211	78.1%
20.5 & Over	1	0.6%	13	4.8%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	21	13.2%	48	17.8%
Black	115	72.3%	190	70.4%
Hispanic	15	9.4%	16	5.9%
Native American	0	0%	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	8	5.0%	15	5.5%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	36	22.6%	68	25.2%
Collar Counties	8	5.0%	25	9.3%
Metro-East	8	5.0%	17	6.3%
Central	70	44.0%	94	34.8%
Southern	13	8.2%	16	5.9%
Northern	24	15.1%	41	15.2%
Out of State	0	0%	9	3.3%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, DeWitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	5.0%	3	1.1%
Class X Felony	52	32.7%	67	24.8%
Class 1 Felony	34	21.4%	67	24.8%
Class 2 Felony	42	26.4%	89	33.0%
Class 3 Felony	13	8.2%	18	6.7%
Class 4 Felony	10	6.3%	17	6.3%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	6	1	4	0
Class X Felony	99	11	7	2
Class 1 Felony	72	15	6	8
Class 2 Felony	83	27	12	9
Class 3 Felony	17	11	2	1
Class 4 Felony	23	1	0	3
Total	300	66	31	23

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	10	6.3%	0	0%
Delinquent	96	60.4%	227	84.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	3.1%	10	3.7%
Felon	38	23.9%	3	1.1%
First Degree Murderer	5	3.1%	2	0.7%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.6%	8	3.0%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.5%	11	4.1%
From Other State	0	0%	9	3.3%

Aftercare Completion – August 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	27	96.4%
Female	1	3.6%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.0	
16 & Under	9	32.1%
17 to 20	16	57.1%
20.5 & Over	3	10.7%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	4	14.3%
Collar Counties	2	7.1%
Metro-East	0	0%
Central	13	46.4%
Southern	5	17.9%
Northern	4	14.3%
Out of State	0	0%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	7	25.0%
Black	20	71.4%
Hispanic	0	0%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	1	3.6%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	17.9%
Class 1 Felony	6	21.4%
Class 2 Felony	11	39.3%
Class 3 Felony	3	10.7%
Class 4 Felony	3	10.7%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	23	82.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	3.6%
Felon	2	7.1%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	1	3.6%
Violent Juvenile	1	3.6%
From Other State	0	0%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	14	50%
Aftercare Discharge	10	35.7%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	10.7%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%
Statutory Discharge	1	3.6%
Death	0	0%
Vacated Commitment Order	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





**Monthly Report:
July 2022**

FY2023

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	12	16	22	26	26	25	22
Harrisburg	39	46	48	54	58	64	52
Pere Marquette	8	10	14	11	10	6	10
St. Charles	30	32	32	44	43	43	40
Warrenville	18	15	20	20	21	24	23
IDJJ Total	107	119	136	155	158	162	147


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6	24.8
Harrisburg	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7	59.2
Pere Marquette	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7	7.6
St. Charles	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6	41.0
Warrenville	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1	24.7
IDJJ Total	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6	157.4

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	65	65	65	66	64	65	61
Harrisburg	118	116	112	112	112	118	114
Pere Marquette	36	36	34	32	33	36	34
St. Charles	110	113	107	100	99	94	94
Warrenville	59	62	61	62	60	58	57
IDJJ Total	388	392	379	372	368	371	360

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%	64.2%
Harrisburg	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%	65.7%
Pere Marquette	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%	68.2%
St. Charles	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%	49.5%
Warrenville	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%	71%
IDJJ Average	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%	61.1%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.0%	33.6%	63.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.2%	33.6%	63.2%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
July	0.00%	2.54%	13.20%	20.90%	63.36%
IDJJ Average	0.00%	3.24%	12.47%	19.99%	64.30%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	8	10	7	6	3	7	7
Harrisburg	8	17	18	12	15	16	15
Pere Marquette	9	10	17	0	0	6	8
St. Charles	4	6	4	6	5	5	6
Warrenville	8	8	3	3	3	2	5
IDJJ Total	37	51	49	27	26	36	41

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	131	126	133	123	97	-	150
Harrisburg	89	-	53	55	86	94	78
Pere Marquette	78	-	-	-	-	145	-
St. Charles	-	93	-	-	65	161	15
Warrenville	-	137	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	90	121	58	75	78	110	84

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Successful Completion	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%	33.3%
Behavioral Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%	0%
Youth Released	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%	44.4%
Other Discharge	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%	22.2%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Marijuana	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%	68%
Alcohol	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%	10.6%
Other Drugs	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%	20.4%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 3 reported opioids, 1 reported benzodiazepines, and 1 reported hallucinogenic.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	8	11	14	19	16	18	18
Harrisburg	26	43	43	45	47	52	41
Pere Marquette	5	5	9	8	7	2	4
St. Charles	19	14	11	23	32	26	22
Warrenville	12	9	20	8	5	15	14
IDJJ Total	70	82	97	103	107	113	99

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	13	13	13	13	13	12	12
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	5	5	5
St. Charles	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
Warrenville	4	3	2	2	2	2	3
IDJJ Total	34	33	32	32	31	30	32

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0
Harrisburg	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3	0.7
St. Charles	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7	2.7
Warrenville	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0	5.0
IDJJ Average	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.0

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0	8.0
Harrisburg	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5	1.0
St. Charles	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0	14.0
Warrenville	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1	6.3

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	8
Harrisburg	0	1	4	4	6	2	2	19
Pere Marquette	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	10
St. Charles	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	8
Warrenville	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	4
IDJJ Total	3	4	10	6	9	7	9	48

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%	44.4%
Harrisburg	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%	70.7%
Pere Marquette	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%	50%
St. Charles	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%	63.6%
Warrenville	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%	28.6%
IDJJ Total	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%	57.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	11
IYC Pere Marquette	4	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	16

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	5	3	6	10	12	4	4
Harrisburg	15	40	32	41	36	36	27
Pere Marquette	1	0	8	5	4	2	13
St. Charles	9	2	7	7	30	15	7
Warrenville	12	4	14	24	6	1	8
IDJJ Total	42	49	67	87	88	58	59

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	Avg.
Chicago	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	16.1	30.3
Harrisburg	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	45.6	65.3
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	171.1	50.9
St. Charles	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	17.1	28.6
Warrenville	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	32.4	49.9
IDJJ Average	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	37.5	46.8

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Harrisburg	3	16	10	18	18	7	6
Pere Marquette	0	0	3	0	1	0	4
St. Charles	3	1	0	0	4	1	2
Warrenville	4	2	4	8	0	0	3
IDJJ Total	11	19	17	27	23	8	17

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	8.1	2.9
Harrisburg	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	10.1	22.6
Pere Marquette	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	52.6	12.5
St. Charles	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	4.9	4.3
Warrenville	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	12.1	15.1
IDJJ Average	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.8	12.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	1	3	4	2	5	4	1
Harrisburg	10	19	21	17	17	26	18
Pere Marquette	0	0	2	4	3	0	4
St. Charles	4	1	4	5	18	7	3
Warrenville	1	0	3	10	4	0	4
IDJJ Total	16	23	34	38	47	39	30

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	Avg.
Chicago	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	4.0	13.9
Harrisburg	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	30.4	36.5
Pere Marquette	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	52.6	19.0
St. Charles	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	7.3	15.4
Warrenville	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	16.2	15.6
IDJJ Average	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	19.1	23.2

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	3	0	2	7	7	0	1
Harrisburg	2	5	1	6	1	3	3
Pere Marquette	1	0	3	1	0	2	5
St. Charles	2	0	3	2	8	7	2
Warrenville	7	2	7	6	2	1	1
IDJJ Total	15	7	16	22	18	13	12

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22	Avg.
Chicago	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	4.0	13.4
Harrisburg	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.1	6.5
Pere Marquette	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	65.8	19.4
St. Charles	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	4.9	8.8
Warrenville	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	4.0	19.2
IDJJ Average	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	7.6	10.9

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	0	1	2	1	2	2
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	3	3	3	4

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	2	1	1	9	8	2	0
Harrisburg	5	4	8	11	3	14	16
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	1	2	1	1
St. Charles	3	0	1	4	17	7	5
Warrenville	8	3	4	9	2	2	5
IDJJ Total	20	8	15	34	32	26	27

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	0	1	1	17	31	16	4
Harrisburg	25	85	76	57	28	64	71
Pere Marquette	2	0	3	3	1	1	3
St. Charles	38	13	12	37	98	56	45
Warrenville	36	20	36	60	36	16	33
IDJJ Total	118	119	128	174	194	153	156

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	0	7	6	69	118	65	16
Harrisburg	71	193	169	118	51	100	120
Pere Marquette	22	0	24	24	11	13	39
St. Charles	140	40	40	95	217	135	110
Warrenville	176	117	203	297	195	69	134
IDJJ Average	113	101	104	120	126	95	99

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	-	135	1680	130	203	108	107
Harrisburg	135	140	106	114	115	130	152
Pere Marquette	58	-	27	59	87	225	81
St. Charles	172	75	98	95	117	147	78
Warrenville	94	69	85	103	94	107	109
IDJJ Average	134	121	110	107	126	132	119

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	3	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	2	1
Warrenville	1	1	-	1	1	-	5
IDJJ Total	5	1	0	4	2	2	6

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	10	15	11	20	15	28	21
Warrenville	5	-	-	-	1	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	15	11	20	17	28	21


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	9	-	21
IDJJ Total	0	0	1	0	9	8	21

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	4

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	386	385	380	393	365	393	383
Youth in Community	181	178	155	157	140	159	156
Youth in Facilities	78	88	105	118	114	120	111
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	119	111	105	105	100	130	103
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	8	8	15	13	11	11	13

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.2
Youth in Community	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – July 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	6	29	4.8	21	3.5
Chicago Heights	3	12	4	8	2.7
Aurora	2	16	8	13	6.5
Rockford	4	22	5.5	17	4.3
Peoria	4	28	7	14	3.5
Belleville	7	46	6.6	30	4.3
Champaign	4	31	7.8	13	3.3
Springfield	4	23	5.8	15	3.8
Placement Intensive	6	34	5.7	13	2.2
IDJJ Total	43	267	6.2	156	3.6

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – July 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	83	2.9	73	3.5
Chicago Heights	32	2.7	31	3.9
Aurora	55	3.4	43	3.3
Rockford	83	3.8	57	3.4
Peoria	76	2.7	62	4.4
Belleville	123	2.7	112	3.7
Champaign	71	2.3	60	4.6
Springfield	80	3.5	71	4.7
Placement Intensive	118	3.5	87	6.7
IDJJ Total	721	3.0	596	4.1

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
<i>July</i>	6	2	4	0
IDJJ Average	7.1	4.1	2.3	0.7

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
<i>July</i>	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	56.8%	34.3%	8.8%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Number of Admissions per Month	27	33	36	36	29	43	31
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%	12.9%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	20	19	23	18	24	35	36
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%	88.9%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	18	19	25	16	23	35	32
Number of Exits from Aftercare	27	23	33	29	24	11	20

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	July 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	6.5%
Court Evaluation Return	1	3.2%
Discharged and Recommitted	1	3.2%
Initial Commitment	21	67.7%
New Commitment	1	3.2%
Technical Parole Violator	4	12.9%
Return Additional Mittimus	1	3.2%
Total	31	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, July 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for July 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	2	76
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	31	106
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	0	22
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	13	135
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	3	41
Total	49	380

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	3	0	0	0	3
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	12	0	12
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	8	12	6	14	17	57
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	3	9	0	6	2	20
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	8	18	0	16	4	46
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	10	1	11	0	22
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	8	7	4	4	2	25
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	49	47	11	72	16	195
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	2	31	0	13	3	49

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	9	13	11	23	11	17	2
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	13	40	60	38	42	72	31
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	3	1	6	4	2	4	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	2	0	12	40	18	13
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	7	2	3	18	5	1	3
Total	40	58	80	95	100	112	49

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	7/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	3	5	4	5	8	9	16
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	5	7	7	8	8	9	14
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	6	3	19	5	10	4	0
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	15	14	-	5	21	18	10
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	5	6	6	6	13	5	11
Average	7	7	7	7	14	11	12

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: July 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	86	63	4	81
<i>Moderate</i>	23	41	49	27
<i>Low</i>	0	5	56	1
Total	109	109	109	109


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	23	-	-
<i>High</i>	16	93	71
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	22	0	21
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	8	-	-
<i>Low</i>	2	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	93	93	93

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	10	12
<i>Moderate-High</i>	24	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	31	20	42
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	19	-	-
<i>Low</i>	11	14	39
<i>None</i>	0	49	0
Total	93	93	93

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32
July	0	1	0	2	0	4	19	26

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for July 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	139	95.9%	264	96.0%
Female	6	4.1%	11	4.0%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.3		18.4	
16 & Under	57	39.3%	41	15.0%
17 to 20	88	60.7%	219	79.9%
20.5 & Over	0	0%	14	5.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	15	10.3%	52	18.9%
Black	109	75.2%	190	69.1%
Hispanic	14	9.7%	17	6.2%
Native American	0	0%	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	7	4.8%	15	5.4%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	26	17.9%	73	26.5%
Collar Counties	7	4.8%	28	10.2%
Metro-East	9	6.2%	16	5.8%
Central	65	44.8%	92	33.5%
Southern	15	10.3%	18	6.5%
Northern	23	15.9%	40	14.5%
Out of State	0	0%	8	2.9%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	5.5%	3	1.1%
Class X Felony	48	33.1%	72	26.2%
Class 1 Felony	32	22.1%	66	24.0%
Class 2 Felony	36	24.8%	90	32.7%
Class 3 Felony	13	9.0%	19	6.9%
Class 4 Felony	8	5.5%	16	5.8%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	6	1	4	0
Class X Felony	100	11	8	2
Class 1 Felony	72	13	5	8
Class 2 Felony	81	25	12	8
Class 3 Felony	16	12	2	1
Class 4 Felony	20	2	0	2
Total	295	64	31	22

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	8	5.5%	0	0%
Delinquent	84	57.9%	232	84.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	3.4%	11	4.0%
Felon	38	26.2%	2	0.7%
First Degree Murderer	5	3.4%	2	0.7%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.7%	9	3.3%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.8%	11	4.0%
From Other State	0	0%	8	2.9%

Aftercare Completion – July 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	21	16%
Female	21	84%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.4	
16 & Under	5	20%
17 to 20	16	64%
20.5 & Over	4	16%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	7	28%
Collar Counties	2	8%
Metro-East	1	4%
Central	6	24%
Southern	1	4%
Northern	4	16%
Out of State	4	16%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	3	12%
Black	19	76%
Hispanic	3	12%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	20%
Class 1 Felony	7	28%
Class 2 Felony	8	32%
Class 3 Felony	0	0%
Class 4 Felony	1	4%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	4%
Delinquent	18	72%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	4%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	4%
From Other State	4	16%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	7	28%
Aftercare Discharge	9	36%
Adult Sentence Discharge	2	8%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	4	16%
Statutory Discharge	2	8%
Death	0	0%
Vacated Commitment Order	1	4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





**Monthly Report:
June 2022**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	22	23	24	30	23	14	12	16	22	26	26	25
Harrisburg	28	34	27	31	29	31	39	46	48	54	58	64
Pere Marquette	8	8	10	12	15	9	8	10	14	11	10	6
St. Charles	30	36	35	27	28	24	30	32	32	44	43	43
Warrenville	17	13	18	22	24	23	18	15	20	20	21	24
IDJJ Total	105	114	114	122	119	101	107	119	136	155	158	162


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8	19.3	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3	24.6
Harrisburg	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5	33.3	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1	63.7
Pere Marquette	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3	11.9	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5	7.7
St. Charles	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2	24.4	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2	41.6
Warrenville	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3	22.6	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5	23.1
IDJJ Total	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1	111.5	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5	160.6

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	78	78	78	74	69	66	65	65	65	66	64	65
Harrisburg	119	126	124	122	123	122	118	116	112	112	112	118
Pere Marquette	43	45	42	42	42	37	36	36	34	32	33	36
St. Charles	124	126	126	123	121	114	110	113	107	100	99	94
Warrenville	61	60	59	56	59	59	59	62	61	62	60	58
IDJJ Total	425	435	429	417	414	399	388	392	379	372	368	371

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%	74.1%	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%	68.4%
Harrisburg	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%	66.0%	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%	69.4%
Pere Marquette	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%	57.9%	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%	84.6%
St. Charles	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%	35.7%	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%	55.9%
Warrenville	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%	68.0%	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%	60.7%
IDJJ Average	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%	59.0%	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%	65.1%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	28.2%	68.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
June	1.2%	34.1%	62.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.4%	34.8%	59.9%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
December	0.00%	2.78%	13.39%	17.86%	66.07%
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
June	0.00%	2.92%	12.28%	23.98%	60.82%
IDJJ Average	0.13%	3.19%	13.74%	19.81%	63.14%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	13	11	12	9	11	9	8	10	7	6	3	7
Harrisburg	8	7	8	8	8	9	8	17	18	12	15	16
Pere Marquette	14	13	12	13	19	19	9	10	17	0	0	6
St. Charles	8	7	6	5	7	5	4	6	4	6	5	5
Warrenville	6	4	7	7	9	7	8	8	3	3	3	2
IDJJ Total	49	42	45	42	54	49	37	51	49	27	26	36

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	38	102	92	126	113	129	131	126	133	123	97	-
Harrisburg	-	55	104	86	95	84	89	-	53	55	86	94
Pere Marquette	62	172	103	65	-	105	78	-	-	-	-	145
St. Charles	70	-	56	-	28	-	-	93	-	-	65	161
Warrenville	23	-	116	105	-	-	-	137	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	68	102	97	106	87	103	90	121	58	75	78	110

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Successful Completion	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%	36.4%	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%	50%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%	0%	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	12.5%
Youth Released	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%	54.5%	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%	25%
Other Discharge	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.1%	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%	12.5%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Marijuana	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%	77.6%	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%	64%
Alcohol	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.1%	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%	12.3%
Other Drugs	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%	16.3%	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%	23.6%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%	0%

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	9	16	16	24	18	11	8	11	14	19	16	18
Harrisburg	27	27	31	24	31	21	26	43	43	45	47	52
Pere Marquette	5	6	8	6	9	9	5	5	9	8	7	2
St. Charles	16	15	12	16	13	11	19	14	11	23	32	26
Warrenville	10	7	10	12	17	17	12	9	20	8	5	15
IDJJ Total	67	71	77	82	88	69	70	82	97	103	107	113

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	15	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	12
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5
St. Charles	7	7	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
Warrenville	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	2
IDJJ Total	39	39	37	36	35	35	34	33	32	32	31	30

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.6
Harrisburg	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.3
St. Charles	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3	2.0	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.7
Warrenville	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	5.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0	10.0
Harrisburg	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	4.8	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5	0.5
St. Charles	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0	12.0
Warrenville	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.4	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8	7.1

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher. No SPED educator was employed at Warrenville at the end of May; 3 youth had IEPs – special education resource coordinator, general education teachers, and mental health staff are providing services.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	9
Harrisburg	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	4	6	2	25
Pere Marquette	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	4	0	1	2	15
St. Charles	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	14
Warrenville	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	6
IDJJ Total	8	1	3	7	8	2	3	4	10	6	9	7	69

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%	54.5%	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%	55.6%
Harrisburg	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%	90.4%	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%	55.8%
Pere Marquette	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.6%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%	50.0%
St. Charles	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%	45.5%	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%	46.2%
Warrenville	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%	47.1%	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%	33.3%
IDJJ Total	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%	62.3%	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%	50.4%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	11
IYC Pere Marquette	4	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	16

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	6	4	8	7	1	5	3	6	10	12	4
Harrisburg	6	7	7	6	11	9	15	40	32	41	36	36
Pere Marquette	1	1	4	0	0	7	1	0	8	5	4	2
St. Charles	12	5	16	19	17	6	9	2	7	7	30	15
Warrenville	7	9	4	11	17	4	12	4	14	24	6	1
IDJJ Total	26	28	35	44	52	27	42	49	67	87	88	58

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	5.2	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	16.3	25.2
Harrisburg	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.0	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	56.5	46.8
Pere Marquette	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	58.8	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.9	25.8
St. Charles	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	24.6	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	36.1	34.1
Warrenville	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	17.7	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	4.3	49.2
IDJJ Average	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	24.2	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	36.1	39.4

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Harrisburg	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	16	10	18	18	7
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
St. Charles	1	2	5	3	5	2	3	1	0	0	4	1
Warrenville	1	4	1	5	4	2	4	2	4	8	0	0
IDJJ Total	5	8	10	12	13	8	11	19	17	27	23	8

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	5.2	8	0	0	4.1	0	0	3.4
Harrisburg	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.0	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	11.0	16.2
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	0	2.9
St. Charles	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	8.2	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	2.4	6.5
Warrenville	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	8.8	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	0	15.2
IDJJ Average	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.2	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	5.0	10.6

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	3	1	4	5	0	1	3	4	2	5	4
Harrisburg	3	6	2	4	6	4	10	19	21	17	17	26
Pere Marquette	1	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	2	4	3	0
St. Charles	4	1	9	10	7	2	4	1	4	5	18	7
Warrenville	3	2	2	1	4	2	1	0	3	10	4	0
IDJJ Total	11	12	18	19	22	12	16	23	34	38	47	39

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	0	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	16.3	12.1
Harrisburg	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	12.0	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	40.8	25.5
Pere Marquette	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	33.6	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	0	14.1
St. Charles	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	8.2	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.8	16.9
Warrenville	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	8.8	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	0	14.1
IDJJ Average	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	10.8	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	24.3	18.8

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	2	2	1	1	0	3	0	2	7	7	0
Harrisburg	0	0	2	1	2	2	2	5	1	6	1	3
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	3	1	0	2
St. Charles	7	2	2	6	5	2	2	0	3	2	8	7
Warrenville	3	3	1	5	9	0	7	2	7	6	2	1
IDJJ Total	10	8	7	13	17	7	15	7	16	22	18	13

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	0	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	0	9.6
Harrisburg	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	6.0	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	4.7	5.3
Pere Marquette	0	10.5	0	0	0	25.2	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	26.0	8.8
St. Charles	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	8.2	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	16.8	10.7
Warrenville	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	0	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	4.3	19.8
IDJJ Average	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	6.3	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	8.1	10.2

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1	2
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
IDJJ Total	3	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	3	3	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	9	8	2
Harrisburg	3	0	1	5	2	4	5	4	8	11	3	14
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2	1
St. Charles	5	2	2	3	5	2	3	0	1	4	17	7
Warrenville	1	0	0	4	6	2	8	3	4	9	2	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	4	14	14	9	20	8	15	34	32	26

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	17	31	16
Harrisburg	20	18	15	11	23	30	25	85	76	57	28	64
Pere Marquette	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	3	1	1
St. Charles	17	5	17	36	39	10	38	13	12	37	98	56
Warrenville	18	35	10	29	46	29	36	20	36	60	36	16
IDJJ Total	56	61	44	76	111	73	118	119	128	174	194	153

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	0	9	0	0	12	10	0	7	6	69	118	65
Harrisburg	60	56	58	38	75	90	71	193	169	118	51	100
Pere Marquette	12	11	22	0	0	17	22	0	24	24	11	13
St. Charles	44	15	44	124	143	41	140	40	40	95	217	135
Warrenville	116	233	68	133	197	128	176	117	203	297	195	69
IDJJ Average	49	54	40	64	92	65	113	101	104	120	126	95

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	-	53	-	-	115	63	-	135	1680	130	203	108
Harrisburg	134	100	92	122	105	110	135	140	106	114	115	130
Pere Marquette	80	208	13	-	-	53	58	-	27	59	87	225
St. Charles	156	635	132	80	73	51	172	75	98	95	117	147
Warrenville	54	65	33	68	94	97	94	69	85	103	94	107
IDJJ Average	114	124	90	82	89	94	134	121	110	107	126	132

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	2
Warrenville	1	1	2	-	0	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
IDJJ Total	4	1	2	0	1	4	5	1	0	4	2	2

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	15	-	-	8	9	23	10	15	11	20	15	28
Warrenville	-	1	-	1	-	5	5	-	-	-	1	-
IDJJ Average	15	1	0	9	9	28	15	15	11	20	17	28


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	9	8

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	6	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	6	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	430	435	419	404	402	398	386	385	380	393	365	393
Youth in Community	210	213	205	184	187	194	181	178	155	157	140	159
Youth in Facilities	84	86	84	94	91	83	78	88	105	118	114	120
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	123	123	122	113	114	111	119	111	105	105	100	130
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	13	13	8	13	12	10	8	8	15	13	11	11

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.2
Youth in Community	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.6
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – June 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	6	30	5	21	3.5
Chicago Heights	3	13	4.3	10	3.3
Aurora	2	17	8.5	15	7.5
Rockford	4	23	5.8	17	4.3
Peoria	4	29	7.3	14	3.5
Belleville	7	48	6.9	28	4
Champaign	4	32	8	15	3.8
Springfield	4	24	6	15	3.8
Placement	6	36	6	13	2.2
Intensive	3	27	9	11	3.7
IDJJ Total	43	279	6.2	159	3.6

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – June 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	103	3.4	101	4.8
Chicago Heights	47	3.6	46	4.6
Aurora	51	3	46	3.1
Rockford	100	4.3	96	5.6
Peoria	76	2.6	74	5.3
Belleville	110	2.3	103	3.7
Champaign	98	3.1	95	6.3
Springfield	78	3.3	78	5.2
Placement	132	3.7	63	4.8
IDJJ Total	795	3.2	702	4.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
<i>June</i>	6	3	2	1
IDJJ Average	6.7	4.4	1.8	0.5

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
<i>June</i>	50%	33.3%	16.7%
IDJJ Average	67.8%	25.7%	6.5%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Number of Admissions per Month	23	32	36	26	18	22	27	33	36	36	29	43
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%	9.1%	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%	9.3%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	35	26	36	18	22	43	20	19	23	18	24	35
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%	79%	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%	97.1%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	34	25	33	16	23	35	18	19	25	16	23	35
Number of Exits from Aftercare	21	30	29	35	21	14	27	23	33	29	24	11

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	June 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	4.7%
Court Evaluation Return	1	2.3%
Discharged and Recommitted	2	4.7%
Initial Commitment	33	76.7%
New Commitment	1	2.3%
Technical Parole Violator	4	9.3%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	43	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, June 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for June 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	67	17
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	68	72
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	35	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	132	18
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	56	1
Total	358	112

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	10	0	10
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	8	31	2	6	15	62
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	1	2	2	4	1	10
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	13	8	2	8	8	39
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	6	2	19	7	35
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	7	2	5	7	2	23
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	37	18	22	78	23	178
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	17	72	4	18	1	112

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	3	14	14	10	4	9	13	11	23	11	17
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	0	8	2	15	1	13	40	60	38	42	72
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	2	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	6	4	2	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	3	5	8	9	16	8	2	0	12	40	18
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	2	2	2	11	4	7	2	3	18	5	1
Total	14	8	32	26	45	26	40	58	80	95	100	112

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	6/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	4	5	8	6	6	3	5	4	5	8	9
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	-	12	10	7	7	5	7	7	8	8	9
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	11	-	5	0	-	5	6	3	19	5	10	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	10	4	7	6	8	9	15	14	-	5	21	18
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	8	5	5	8	6	5	6	6	6	13	5
Average	8	5	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	14	11

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: June 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	91	54	4	76
<i>Moderate</i>	17	48	51	31
<i>Low</i>	0	6	53	1
Total	108	108	108	108


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	17	-	-
<i>High</i>	22	92	67
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	22	0	24
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	4	-	-
<i>Low</i>	5	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	92	92	92

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	4	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	14	15
<i>Moderate-High</i>	27	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	31	17	39
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	13	-	-
<i>Low</i>	11	10	38
<i>None</i>	0	51	0
Total	92	92	92

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17
December	0	3	0	2	4	4	16	25
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26
June	0	10	1	5	0	3	13	32

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for June 30th, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	154	96.3%	254	94.8%
Female	6	3.8%	14	5.2%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.2		18.4	
16 & Under	70	43.8%	42	15.7%
17 to 20	89	55.6%	210	78.7%
20.5 & Over	1	0.6%	15	5.6%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	19	11.9%	50	18.7%
Black	119	74.4%	185	69.0%
Hispanic	13	8.1%	19	7.1%
Native American	0	0%	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	9	5.6%	13	4.9%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	30	18.8%	69	25.7%
Collar Counties	7	4.4%	30	11.2%
Metro-East	10	6.3%	16	6.0%
Central	73	45.6%	84	31.3%
Southern	14	8.8%	19	7.1%
Northern	26	16.3%	38	14.2%
Out of State	0	0%	12	4.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	5.0%	3	1.1%
Class X Felony	52	32.5%	68	25.4%
Class 1 Felony	34	21.3%	68	25.4%
Class 2 Felony	40	25.0%	88	32.8%
Class 3 Felony	18	11.3%	15	5.6%
Class 4 Felony	8	5.0%	14	5.2%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	5 1	0 1	3 1	0 0
Class X Felony	44 58	5 5	3 3	0 2
Class 1 Felony	28 46	3 11	1 6	2 5
Class 2 Felony	27 55	5 20	4 8	4 5
Class 3 Felony	9 6	6 7	2 1	1 1
Class 4 Felony	6 12	0 2	0 0	2 0
Total	119 178	19 46	13 19	9 13

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	7	4.4%	0	0%
Delinquent	97	60.6%	226	84.3%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	3.1%	10	3.7%
Felon	39	24.4%	1	0.4%
First Degree Murderer	5	3.1%	2	0.7%
Habitual Juvenile	2	1.3%	8	3.0%
Violent Juvenile	5	3.1%	9	3.4%
From Other State	0	0%	12	4.5%

Aftercare Completion – June 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	15	100%
Female	0	0%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.5	
16 & Under	4	26.7%
17 to 20	9	60.0%
20.5 & Over	2	13.3%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	2	13.3%
Collar Counties	2	13.3%
Metro-East	1	6.7%
Central	6	40.0%
Southern	2	13.3%
Northern	2	13.3%
Out of State	0	0%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	4	26.7%
Black	10	66.7%
Hispanic	1	6.7%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	3	20%
Class 1 Felony	6	40%
Class 2 Felony	4	26.7%
Class 3 Felony	2	13.3%
Class 4 Felony	0	0%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	14	93.3%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	1	6.7%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	0	0%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	6	40%
Aftercare Discharge	4	26.7%
Adult Sentence Discharge	3	20%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	6.7%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	0	0%
Statutory Discharge	1	6.7%
Death	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





**Monthly Report:
May**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	22	23	24	30	23	14	12	16	22	26	26
Harrisburg	28	34	27	31	29	31	39	46	48	54	58
Pere Marquette	8	8	10	12	15	9	8	10	14	11	10
St. Charles	30	36	35	27	28	24	30	32	32	44	43
Warrenville	17	13	18	22	24	23	18	15	20	20	21
IDJJ Total	105	114	114	122	119	101	107	119	136	155	158


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8	19.3	12.5	14.9	18.1	24.6	26.3
Harrisburg	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5	33.3	35.3	44.0	45.1	48.2	55.1
Pere Marquette	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3	11.9	8.9	8.4	12.3	12.3	9.5
St. Charles	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2	24.4	27.1	32.8	29.9	39.1	45.2
Warrenville	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3	22.6	20.4	17.1	17.7	20.2	18.5
IDJJ Total	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1	111.5	104.1	117.3	123.2	144.5	154.5

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	78	78	78	74							
Harrisburg	119	126	124	122							
Pere Marquette	43	45	42	42							
St. Charles	124	126	126	123							
Warrenville	61	60	59	56							
IDJJ Total	425	435	429	417							

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Eight Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%	74.1%	82.4%	65%	85.7%	70.0%	75.0%
Harrisburg	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%	66.0%	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%	71.2%	62.8%
Pere Marquette	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%	57.9%	77.8%	70%	44.4%	42.9%	66.7%
St. Charles	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%	35.7%	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%	63.5%	43.5%
Warrenville	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%	68.0%	66.7%	72%	72.7%	52.0%	50.0%
IDJJ Average	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%	59.0%	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%	64.4%	57.5%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	28.2%	68.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.0%	31.3%	64.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.0%	30.9%	66.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.3%	34.9%	59.7%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
December	0.00%	2.78%	13.39%	17.86%	66.07%
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
April	0.00%	2.47%	9.26%	16.05%	72.22%
May	0.00%	1.90%	10.13%	24.05%	63.92%
IDJJ Average	0.14%	3.22%	13.88%	19.43%	63.36%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	13	11	12	9	11	9	8	10	7	6	3
Harrisburg	8	7	8	8	8	9	8	17	18	12	15
Pere Marquette	14	13	12	13	19	19	9	10	17	0	0
St. Charles	8	7	6	5	7	5	4	6	4	6	5
Warrenville	6	4	7	7	9	7	8	8	3	3	3
IDJJ Total	49	42	45	42	54	49	37	51	49	27	26

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	38	102	92	126	113	129	131	126	133	123	97
Harrisburg	-	55	104	86	95	84	89	-	53	55	86
Pere Marquette	62	172	103	65	-	105	78	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	70	-	56	-	28	-	-	93	-	-	65
Warrenville	23	-	116	105	-	-	-	137	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	68	102	97	106	87	103	90	121	58	75	78

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Successful Completion	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%	36.4%	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%	50%	75%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%	0%	14.3%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%
Youth Released	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%	54.5%	28.6%	50%	23.1%	25%	12.5%
Other Discharge	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.1%	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%	16.7%	12.5%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Marijuana	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%	77.6%	73%	72.5%	65.3%	59.3%	68%
Alcohol	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.1%	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%	14.8%	16%
Other Drugs	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%	16.3%	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%	25.9%	16%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.1%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 3 reported opioids, 1 reported benzodiazepines, and 1 reported hallucinogenic.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	9	16	16	24	18	11	8	11	14	19	16
Harrisburg	27	27	31	24	31	21	26	43	43	45	47
Pere Marquette	5	6	8	6	9	9	5	5	9	8	7
St. Charles	16	15	12	16	13	11	19	14	11	23	32
Warrenville	10	7	10	12	17	17	12	9	20	8	5
IDJJ Total	67	71	77	82	88	69	70	82	97	103	107

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	15	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
St. Charles	7	7	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
Warrenville	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	2	2
IDJJ Total	39	39	37	36	35	35	34	33	32	32	31

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.4
Harrisburg	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.7
St. Charles	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3	2.0	4.7	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3
Warrenville	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.7	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0	5.0	9.0	11.0	13.0	9.0
Harrisburg	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	4.8	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.5	2.5
St. Charles	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	16.0
Warrenville	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.4	2.8	7.1	8.7	7.6	7.8

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher. No SPED educator was employed at Warrenville at the end of May; 3 youth had IEPs – special education resource coordinator, general education teachers, and mental health staff are providing services.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	8
Harrisburg	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	4	6	23
Pere Marquette	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	4	0	1	13
St. Charles	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	12
Warrenville	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	6
IDJJ Total	8	1	3	7	8	2	3	4	10	6	9	62

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%	54.5%	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%	68.4%	56.3%
Harrisburg	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%	90.4%	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%	64.4%	61.7%
Pere Marquette	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.6%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	62.5%	71.4%
St. Charles	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%	45.5%	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%	39.1%	50%
Warrenville	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%	47.1%	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%	62.5%	60%
IDJJ Total	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%	62.3%	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%	59.2%	57.9%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	12
IYC Pere Marquette	7	0
IYC St. Charles	0	3
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	7	15

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	6	4	8	7	1	5	3	6	10	12
Harrisburg	6	7	7	6	11	9	15	40	32	41	36
Pere Marquette	1	1	4	0	0	7	1	0	8	5	4
St. Charles	12	5	16	19	17	6	9	2	7	7	30
Warrenville	7	9	4	11	17	4	12	4	14	24	6
IDJJ Total	26	28	35	44	52	27	42	49	67	87	88

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	5.2	40	20.1	33.1	40.7	45.6	26.0
Harrisburg	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.0	42.5	90.9	70.9	85.1	65.3	46.0
Pere Marquette	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	58.8	11.2	0	65.0	40.7	42.1	25.8
St. Charles	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	24.6	33.2	6.1	23.4	17.9	66.4	33.9
Warrenville	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	17.7	58.8	23.4	79.1	118.8	32.4	53.3
IDJJ Average	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	24.2	40.3	41.8	54.4	60.2	57.0	39.7

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
Harrisburg	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	16	10	18	18
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
St. Charles	1	2	5	3	5	2	3	1	0	0	4
Warrenville	1	4	1	5	4	2	4	2	4	8	0
IDJJ Total	5	8	10	12	13	8	11	19	17	27	23

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	5.2	8	0	0	4.1	0	3.7
Harrisburg	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.0	8.5	36.4	22.2	37.3	32.7	16.7
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.4	0	10.5	3.2
St. Charles	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	8.2	11.1	3.0	0	0	8.8	6.9
Warrenville	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	8.8	19.6	11.7	22.6	39.6	0	16.6
IDJJ Average	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.2	10.6	16.2	13.8	18.7	14.9	11.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	3	1	4	5	0	1	3	4	2	5
Harrisburg	3	6	2	4	6	4	10	19	21	17	17
Pere Marquette	1	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	2	4	3
St. Charles	4	1	9	10	7	2	4	1	4	5	18
Warrenville	3	2	2	1	4	2	1	0	3	10	4
IDJJ Total	11	12	18	19	22	12	16	23	34	38	47

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	0	8	20.1	22.1	8.1	19.0	11.8
Harrisburg	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	12.0	28.3	43.2	46.6	35.3	30.9	24.1
Pere Marquette	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	33.6	0	0	16.3	32.5	31.6	15.4
St. Charles	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	8.2	14.8	3.0	13.4	12.8	39.8	16.9
Warrenville	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	8.8	4.9	0	16.9	49.5	21.6	15.4
IDJJ Average	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	10.8	15.4	19.6	27.6	26.3	30.4	18.3

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	2	2	1	1	0	3	0	2	7	7
Harrisburg	0	0	2	1	2	2	2	5	1	6	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	3	1	0
St. Charles	7	2	2	6	5	2	2	0	3	2	8
Warrenville	3	3	1	5	9	0	7	2	7	6	2
IDJJ Total	10	8	7	13	17	7	15	7	16	22	18

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	0	24	0	11.0	28.5	26.6	10.5
Harrisburg	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	6.0	5.7	11.4	2.2	14.4	1.8	5.4
Pere Marquette	0	10.5	0	0	0	25.2	11.2	0	24.4	8.1	0	7.2
St. Charles	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	8.2	7.4	0	10.0	5.1	17.7	10.1
Warrenville	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	0	34.3	11.7	39.5	29.7	10.8	21.2
IDJJ Average	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	6.3	14.4	6.0	13.0	15.2	11.7	10.4

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0
IDJJ Total	3	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	3	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	9	8
Harrisburg	3	0	1	5	2	4	5	4	8	11	3
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2
St. Charles	5	2	2	3	5	2	3	0	1	4	17
Warrenville	1	0	0	4	6	2	8	3	4	9	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	4	14	14	9	20	8	15	34	32

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	17	31
Harrisburg	20	18	15	11	23	30	25	85	76	57	28
Pere Marquette	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	3	1
St. Charles	17	5	17	36	39	10	38	13	12	37	98
Warrenville	18	35	10	29	46	29	36	20	36	60	36
IDJJ Total	56	61	44	76	111	73	118	119	128	174	194

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	0	9	0	0	12	10	0	7	6	69	118
Harrisburg	60	56	58	38	75	90	71	193	169	118	51
Pere Marquette	12	11	22	0	0	17	22	0	24	24	11
St. Charles	44	15	44	124	143	41	140	40	40	95	217
Warrenville	116	233	68	133	197	128	176	117	203	297	195
IDJJ Average	49	54	40	64	92	65	113	101	104	120	126

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	-	53	-	-	115	63	-	135	1680	130	203
Harrisburg	134	100	92	122	105	110	135	140	106	114	115
Pere Marquette	80	208	13	-	-	53	58	-	27	59	87
St. Charles	156	635	132	80	73	51	172	75	98	95	117
Warrenville	54	65	33	68	94	97	94	69	85	103	94
IDJJ Average	114	124	90	82	89	94	134	121	110	107	126

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	-
St. Charles	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Warrenville	1	1	2	-	0	-	1	1	-	1	1
IDJJ Total	4	1	2	0	1	4	5	1	0	4	2

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	15	-	-	8	9	23	10	15	11	20	15
Warrenville	-	1	-	1	-	5	5	-	-	-	1
IDJJ Average	15	1	0	9	9	28	15	15	11	20	17


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	9

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
St. Charles	6	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	6	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	1	-

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	430	435	419	404	402	398	386	385	380	393	365
Youth in Community	210	213	205	184	187	194	181	178	155	157	140
Youth in Facilities	84	86	84	94	91	83	78	88	105	118	114
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	123	123	122	113	114	111	119	111	105	105	100
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	13	13	8	13	12	10	8	8	15	13	11

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.6	6.0	6.4	5.9
Youth in Community	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.7	3.3
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – May 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	6	33	5.5	23	3.8
Chicago Heights	3	17	5.7	9	3
Aurora	2	15	7.5	13	6.5
Rockford	4	18	4.5	11	2.8
Peoria	4	28	7	17	4.3
Belleville	7	38	5.4	27	3.9
Champaign	4	28	7	11	2.8
Springfield	4	22	5.5	11	2.8
Placement	6	31	5.2	10	1.7
Intensive	3	24	8	8	2.7
IDJJ Total	43	254	5.9	140	3.3

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – May 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	81	2.5	69	3
Chicago Heights	46	2.7	37	4.1
Aurora	37	2.5	34	2.6
Rockford	88	4.9	66	6
Peoria	61	2.2	50	2.9
Belleville	114	3	101	3.7
Champaign	69	2.5	48	4.4
Springfield	70	3.2	59	5.4
Placement	113	3.6	70	7
IDJJ Total	679	3.0	534	4.0

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
<i>April</i>	11	9	0	2
<i>May</i>	8	3	4	1
IDJJ Average	6.7	4.5	1.7	0.5

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
<i>April</i>	81.8%	0%	18.2%
<i>May</i>	37.5%	50%	12.5%
IDJJ Average	69.4%	25.0%	5.6%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Number of Admissions per Month	23	32	36	26	18	22	27	33	36	36	29
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%	9.1%	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%	8.3%	20.7%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	35	26	36	18	22	43	20	19	23	18	24
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%	79%	90%	89.5%	91.3%	83.3%	91.7%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	34	25	33	16	23	35	18	19	25	16	23
Number of Exits from Aftercare	21	30	29	35	21	14	27	23	33	29	24

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	May 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	10.3%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	0	0%
Initial Commitment	19	65.5%
New Commitment	1	3.4%
Technical Parole Violator	6	20.7%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	29	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, May 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for May 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	95	11
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	123	42
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	62	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	119	40
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	21	54
Total	420	100

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	7	0	7
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	14	16	2	20	5	57
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	3	3	5	6	1	18
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	9	17	7	11	2	46
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	4	4	3	9	2	22
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	4	8	0	5	0	17
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	61	74	45	61	11	252
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	11	42	2	40	54	100

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	3	14	14	10	4	9	13	11	23	11
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	0	8	2	15	1	13	40	60	38	42
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	2	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	6	4	2
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	3	5	8	9	16	8	2	0	12	40
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	2	2	2	11	4	7	2	3	18	5
Total	14	8	32	26	45	26	40	58	80	95	100

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	4/22	5/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	4	5	8	6	6	3	5	4	5	8
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	-	12	10	7	7	5	7	7	8	8
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	11	-	5	0	-	5	6	3	19	5	10
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	10	4	7	6	8	9	15	14	-	5	21
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	8	5	5	8	6	5	6	6	6	13
Average	8	5	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	14

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: May 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	83	56	4	69
<i>Moderate</i>	13	35	51	27
<i>Low</i>	1	6	42	1
Total	97	97	97	97


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	8	-	-
<i>High</i>	26	85	61
<i>Moderate-High</i>	25	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	18	1	24
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	2	-	-
<i>Low</i>	7	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	86	86	86

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	6	-	-
<i>High</i>	4	15	12
<i>Moderate-High</i>	24	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	32	22	45
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	15	-	-
<i>Low</i>	5	7	29
<i>None</i>	0	42	0
Total	86	86	86

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17
December	0	3	0	2	4	4	16	25
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20
April	0	3	0	2	0	5	11	21
May	0	7	0	2	0	4	13	26

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for May 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	4	2.5%	14	5.6%
Female	152	97.5%	236	94.4%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.4		18.4	
16 & Under	60	38.5%	40	16.0%
17 to 20	95	60.9%	197	78.8%
20.5 & Over	1	0.6%	13	5.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	20	12.8%	50	20.0%
Black	114	73.1%	169	67.6%
Hispanic	12	7.7%	18	7.2%
Native American	0	0%	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	10	6.4%	12	4.8%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	30	19.2%	62	24.8%
Collar Counties	7	4.5%	29	11.6%
Metro-East	11	7.1%	16	6.4%
Central	66	42.3%	79	31.6%
Southern	17	10.9%	16	6.4%
Northern	25	16.0%	37	14.8%
Out of State	0	0%	11	4.4%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	8	5.1%	3	1.2%
Class X Felony	50	32.1%	62	24.8%
Class 1 Felony	35	22.4%	64	25.6%
Class 2 Felony	33	21.2%	86	34.4%
Class 3 Felony	18	11.5%	14	5.6%
Class 4 Felony	12	7.7%	10	4.0%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	6	1	4	0
Class X Felony	95	10	5	2
Class 1 Felony	69	16	7	7
Class 2 Felony	75	25	10	9
Class 3 Felony	14	12	4	2
Class 4 Felony	18	2	0	2
Total	277	66	30	22

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	5	3.2%	0	0%
Delinquent	98	62.8%	212	84.8%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	2.6%	9	3.6%
Felon	36	23.1%	1	0.4%
First Degree Murderer	5	3.2%	2	0.8%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.6%	9	3.6%
Violent Juvenile	7	4.5%	6	2.4%
From Other State	0	0%	11	4.4%

Aftercare Completion – May 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	29	90.6%
Female	3	9.4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.6	
16 & Under	6	18.8%
17 to 20	19	59.4%
20.5 & Over	7	21.9%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	4	12.5%
Collar Counties	5	15.6%
Metro-East	3	9.4%
Central	11	34.4%
Southern	3	9.4%
Northern	4	12.5%
Out of State	2	6.3%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	6	18.8%
Black	21	65.6%
Hispanic	5	15.6%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	3	9.4%
Class 1 Felony	8	25.0%
Class 2 Felony	9	28.1%
Class 3 Felony	6	18.8%
Class 4 Felony	4	12.5%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	3.1%
Delinquent	27	84.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	1	3.1%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	3.1%
From Other State	2	6.3%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	8	25.0%
Aftercare Discharge	6	18.8%
Adult Sentence Discharge	9	28.1%
Transfer to Adult Parole	1	3.1%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	3.1%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	2	6.3%
Statutory Discharge	4	12.5%
Death	1	3.1%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





Quarterly Report

April 2022

JB Pritzker
Governor

Heidi Mueller
Director

Table of Contents

Topic	Page
Narrative	2
Population Data	3
Demographic Data	4
Capacity by Facility	5
Educational and Vocational Enrollment	6
Security Staffing Ratios	7

The Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice has two goals:

- Make Illinois communities safer for all residents.
- Foster better life outcomes for youth in the Department's custody by providing appropriate and effective rehabilitation.

In March 2015, the Department unveiled its comprehensive strategic plan focusing on five core principles:

- Right-Size: Reduce the use of secure custody for low-risk youth
- Rehabilitate: Improve programs to meet the needs of high-risk youth
- Reintegrate: Improve programs to ensure successful reentry
- Respect: Create a safe and respectful environment for youth and staff
- Report: Increase transparency and accountability

This Quarterly Report is part of the Department's commitment to enhance reporting and transparency. This allows the Department to more effectively inform stakeholders and the public about operations and outcomes.

Population Data

Facility	Population	
	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	16	13.4%
IYC Harrisburg	46	38.7%
IYC Pere Marquette	10	8.4%
IYC St. Charles	32	26.9%
IYC Warrenville	15	12.6%
Total	119	

Aftercare Site	Population	
	Number	Percent
District 1	79	26.5%
District 2	81	27.2%
District 3	52	17.4%
District 4	39	13.1%
Interstate Compact	14	4.7%
Placement Unit	22	7.4%
Apprehension	11	3.7%
Total	298	

Populations for Aftercare are organized based on the district the monitoring Aftercare Specialist reports to. "Interstate Compact" indicates youth from Illinois monitored in other states and youth from other states monitored in Illinois under Interstate Compact agreements. "Placement Unit" indicates youth that are actively in residential placement in the community. "Apprehension" indicates youth that are absent without leave and currently assigned to one of the Department of Corrections' apprehension units.

Data on populations were obtained from Youth 360 for February 28th, 2022.

Demographic Data

Sex	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	112	95.7%	283	95.0%
Female	5	4.3%	15	5.0%

Age	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.4		18.6	
16 & Under	45	38.5%	35	11.8%
17 to 20	71	60.7%	238	80.4%
20.5 to 21	1	0.9%	23	7.8%

Race/Ethnicity	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	23	19.7%	52	17.4%
Black	76	65.0%	209	70.1%
Hispanic	9	7.7%	23	7.7%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	9	7.7%	12	4.0%

Committing Counties[i]	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	21	17.9%	73	24.5%
Collar Counties	7	6.0%	292	9.7%
Metro-East	7	6.0%	18	6.0%
Central	52	44.4%	100	33.6%
Southern	14	12.0%	20	6.7%
Northern	16	13.7%	47	15.8%
Out of State	0	0.0%	11	3.7%

Offense Class	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	5	4.3%	4	1.3%
Class X Felony	40	34.2%	69	23.2%
Class 1 Felony	24	20.5%	92	30.9%
Class 2 Felony	30	25.6%	96	32.2%
Class 3 Felony	11	9.4%	13	4.4%
Class 4 Felony	7	6.0%	13	4.4%

Jurisdiction	Facilities		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Juvenile Court Commitments	86	73.5%	297	99.7%
Criminal Court Sentences	31	26.5%	1	0.3%

[i] Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion, Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago

Data on demographics was obtained from Youth 360 for February 28th, 2022.

Capacity by Facility

Facility	Agency Capacity		
	Population	Capacity	Percent Used
IYC Chicago	16	130	12.31%
IYC Harrisburg	46	319	14.42%
IYC Pere Marquette	10	40	25.00%
IYC St. Charles	32	348	9.20%
IYC Warrenville	15	78	19.23%
Total	119	915	13.01%

Capacity is measured as the total number of beds in a facility not located within a secure confinement location. Currently, the Department utilizes approximately an eighth of all beds available.

Facility	Single Bunking		
	Population	Capacity	Percent Used
IYC Chicago	16	73	21.92%
IYC Harrisburg	46	276	16.67%
IYC Pere Marquette	10	24	41.67%
IYC St. Charles	32	240	13.33%
IYC Warrenville	15	70	21.43%
Total	119	683	17.42%

To align with national best practices, the Department focuses on single bunking youth. Single bunk capacity is the number of single beds in a facility not located within a secure confinement location. Currently, the Department uses approximately a fifth of these beds.

Population data was obtained from Youth 360 for February 28th, 2022.

Educational and Vocational Enrollment

School Enrollment	General Education	Special Education	Vocational Education
IYC Chicago	11	9	0
IYC Harrisburg	43	29	2
IYC Pere Marquette	5	3	5
IYC St. Charles	14	4	2
IYC Warrenville	9	5	0
Total	82	50	9

Special education and vocational education students are duplicated in the general education counts.

Vocational Enrollment	Collegiate Culinary Arts	Collegiate Custodial Maintenance	Drafting & Design Technology
IYC Chicago	0	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	2	0	0
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	5
IYC St. Charles	0	2	0
IYC Warrenville	0	0	0
Total	2	2	5

As a result of the *RJ vs. Mueller* consent decree, the Department must achieve 10 to 1 ratios of students to teachers for general education and 6 to 1 ratios for special education.

Data was obtained from the School District for February 28th, 2022.

Youth to Security Staff Ratios

Security Staffing Ratios	First Shift (6am to 2pm)	Second Shift (2pm to 10pm)	Third Shift (10pm to 6am)
IYC Chicago	1.9	1.8	2.9
IYC Harrisburg	1.3	1.8	2.8
IYC Pere Marquette	0.8	1.8	2.2
IYC St. Charles	1.4	2.1	3.4
IYC Warrenville	2.1	2.2	2.8
Total	1.4	2	2.9

Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards dictate direct care staffing ratios of 8 to 1 staff to youth during waking hours and 16 to 1 staff to youth during non-waking hours. In October 2017, the Federal government provided clarification on the staffing standards, rendering calculation of a staffing ratio no longer meaningful. Instead, the standard is set as the ratio of constant interactions between youth and staff that must be met. Nevertheless, calculated ratios are still provided to give an idea of facility staffing.

Staffing ratios are calculated by taking the average number of security staff reporting for each shift for the month and dividing by the average daily population of youth for the month.

Data for staffing ratios is sourced from the facilities and Youth 360. Data is current for February 2022.

Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice
2715 West Monroe
Springfield, IL 62704
Tel: (217) 557-4080
<http://www.illinois.gov/idjj>



DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
March**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	22	23	24	30	23	14	12	16	22
39H8arrisburg	28	34	27	31	29	31	39	46	48
Pere Marquette	8	8	10	12	15	9	8	10	14
St. Charles	30	36	35	27	28	24	30	32	32
Warrenville	17	13	18	22	24	23	18	15	20
IDJJ Total	105	114	114	122	119	101	107	119	136


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8	19.3	12.5	14.9	18.1
Harrisburg	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5	33.3	35.3	44.0	45.1
Pere Marquette	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3	11.9	8.9	8.4	12.3
St. Charles	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2	24.4	27.1	32.8	29.9
Warrenville	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3	22.6	20.4	17.1	17.7
IDJJ Total	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1	111.5	104.1	117.3	123.2

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	78	78	78	74					
Harrisburg	119	126	124	122					
Pere Marquette	43	45	42	42					
St. Charles	124	126	126	123					
Warrenville	61	60	59	56					
IDJJ Total	425	435	429	417					

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%	74.1%	82.4%	65%	85.7%
Harrisburg	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%	66.0%	53.6%	66.7%	40.0%
Pere Marquette	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%	57.9%	77.8%	70%	44.4%
St. Charles	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%	35.7%	42.9%	48.1%	40.9%
Warrenville	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%	68.0%	66.7%	72%	72.7%
IDJJ Average	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%	59.0%	57.4%	62.6%	52.2%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	28.2%	68.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
February	0.0%	35.2%	62.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.0%	35.2%	61.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.4%	35.7%	58.4%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
December	0.00%	2.78%	13.39%	17.86%	66.07%
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
February	0.00%	2.07%	13.10%	17.24%	67.59%
March	0.00%	4.20%	16.08%	15.38%	64.34%
IDJJ Average	0.17%	3.44%	14.80%	19.29%	62.31%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	13	11	12	9	11	9	8	10	7
Harrisburg	8	7	8	8	8	9	8	17	18
Pere Marquette	14	13	12	13	19	19	9	10	17
St. Charles	8	7	6	5	7	5	4	6	4
Warrenville	6	4	7	7	9	7	8	8	3
IDJJ Total	49	42	45	42	54	49	37	51	49

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	38	102	92	126	113	129	131	126	133
Harrisburg	-	55	104	86	95	84	89	-	53
Pere Marquette	62	172	103	65	-	105	78	-	-
St. Charles	70	-	56	-	28	-	-	93	-
Warrenville	23	-	116	105	-	-	-	137	-
IDJJ Average	68	102	97	106	87	103	90	121	58

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Successful Completion	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%	36.4%	42.9%	33.3%	61.5%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%	0%	14.3%	8.3%	0%
Youth Released	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%	54.5%	28.6%	50%	23.1%
Other Discharge	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.1%	14.3%	8.3%	15.4%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Marijuana	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%	77.6%	73%	72.5%	65.3%
Alcohol	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.1%	10.8%	11.8%	14.3%
Other Drugs	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%	16.3%	16.2%	15.7%	16.3%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.1%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 3 reported amphetamines, 1 reported benzodiazepine, and 2 reported ecstasy.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	9	16	16	24	18	11	8	11	14
Harrisburg	27	27	31	24	31	21	26	43	43
Pere Marquette	5	6	8	6	9	9	5	5	9
St. Charles	16	15	12	16	13	11	19	14	11
Warrenville	10	7	10	12	17	17	12	9	20
IDJJ Total	67	71	77	82	88	69	70	82	97

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	15	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	6	5	5	4	4	4
Warrenville	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2
IDJJ Total	39	39	37	36	35	35	34	33	32

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.6
Harrisburg	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.4
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.0
St. Charles	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3	2.0	4.7	3.3	4.0
Warrenville	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.7	1.3	2.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.6

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0	5.0	9.0	11.0
Harrisburg	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	4.8	5.3	7.3	7.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	6.0
St. Charles	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	8.0
Warrenville	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	-	-
IDJJ Average	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.4	2.8	7.1	8.7

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher. No SPED educator was employed at Warrenville at the end of March, however 8 youth had IEPs.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Harrisburg	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	13
Pere Marquette	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	4	12
St. Charles	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	12
Warrenville	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	6
IDJJ Total	8	1	3	7	8	2	3	4	10	46

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%	54.5%	62.5%	81.8%	78.6%
Harrisburg	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%	90.4%	80.7%	67.4%	67.4%
Pere Marquette	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.6%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%
St. Charles	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%	45.5%	26.3%	28.6%	40.0%
Warrenville	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%	47.1%	66.7%	55.6%	63.6%
IDJJ Total	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%	62.3%	31.4%	60.9%	62.9%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	6
IYC Pere Marquette	9	0
IYC St. Charles	0	2
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	9	8

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	6	4	8	7	1	5	3	6
Harrisburg	6	7	7	6	11	9	15	40	32
Pere Marquette	1	1	4	0	0	7	1	0	8
St. Charles	12	5	16	19	17	6	9	2	7
Warrenville	7	9	4	11	17	4	12	4	14
IDJJ Total	26	28	35	44	52	27	42	49	67

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	5.2	40	20.1	33.1	22.2
Harrisburg	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.0	42.5	90.9	70.9	39.5
Pere Marquette	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	58.8	11.2	0	65.0	22.3
St. Charles	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	24.6	33.2	6.1	23.4	32.1
Warrenville	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	17.7	58.8	23.4	79.1	48.3
IDJJ Average	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	24.2	40.3	41.8	54.4	35.6

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	0	0
Harrisburg	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	16	10
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
St. Charles	1	2	5	3	5	2	3	1	0
Warrenville	1	4	1	5	4	2	4	2	4
IDJJ Total	5	8	10	12	13	8	11	19	17

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	5.2	8	0	0	4.1
Harrisburg	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.0	8.5	36.4	22.2	12.6
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.4	2.7
St. Charles	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	8.2	11.1	3.0	0	7.5
Warrenville	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	8.8	19.6	11.7	22.6	15.9
IDJJ Average	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.2	10.6	16.2	13.8	9.9

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	3	1	4	5	0	1	3	4
Harrisburg	3	6	2	4	6	4	10	19	21
Pere Marquette	1	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	2
St. Charles	4	1	9	10	7	2	4	1	4
Warrenville	3	2	2	1	4	2	1	0	3
IDJJ Total	11	12	18	19	22	12	16	23	34

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	0	8	20.1	22.1	11.4
Harrisburg	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	12.0	28.3	43.2	46.6	22.1
Pere Marquette	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	33.6	0	0	16.3	11.7
St. Charles	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	8.2	14.8	3.0	13.4	14.8
Warrenville	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	8.8	4.9	0	16.9	11.0
IDJJ Average	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	10.8	15.4	19.6	27.6	16.0

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	2	2	1	1	0	3	0	2
Harrisburg	0	0	2	1	2	2	2	5	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	3
St. Charles	7	2	2	6	5	2	2	0	3
Warrenville	3	3	1	5	9	0	7	2	7
IDJJ Total	10	8	7	13	17	7	15	7	16

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	0	24	0	11.0	6.7
Harrisburg	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	6.0	5.7	11.4	2.2	4.8
Pere Marquette	0	10.5	0	0	0	25.2	11.2	0	24.4	7.9
St. Charles	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	8.2	7.4	0	10.0	9.8
Warrenville	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	0	34.3	11.7	39.5	21.5
IDJJ Average	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	6.3	14.4	6.0	13.0	9.7

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	3	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	1

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1
Harrisburg	3	0	1	5	2	4	5	4	8
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
St. Charles	5	2	2	3	5	2	3	0	1
Warrenville	1	0	0	4	6	2	8	3	4
IDJJ Total	9	3	4	14	14	9	20	8	15

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	1
Harrisburg	20	18	15	11	23	30	25	85	76
Pere Marquette	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	3
St. Charles	17	5	17	36	39	10	38	13	12
Warrenville	18	35	10	29	46	29	36	20	36
IDJJ Total	56	61	44	76	111	73	118	119	128

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	0	9	0	0	12	10	0	7	6
Harrisburg	60	56	58	38	75	90	71	193	169
Pere Marquette	12	11	22	0	0	17	22	0	24
St. Charles	44	15	44	124	143	41	140	40	40
Warrenville	116	233	68	133	197	128	176	117	203
IDJJ Average	49	54	40	64	92	65	113	101	104

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	-	53	-	-	115	63	-	135	1680
Harrisburg	134	100	92	122	105	110	135	140	106
Pere Marquette	80	208	13	-	-	53	58	-	27
St. Charles	156	635	132	80	73	51	172	75	98
Warrenville	54	65	33	68	94	97	94	69	85
IDJJ Average	114	124	90	82	89	94	134	121	110

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-
St. Charles	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	1	1	2	-	0	-	1	1	-
IDJJ Total	4	1	2	0	1	4	5	1	0

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	15	-	-	8	9	23	10	15	11
Warrenville	-	1	-	1	-	5	5	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	1	0	9	9	28	15	15	11


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	6	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	6	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	430	435	419	404	402	398	386	385	380
Youth in Community	210	213	205	184	187	194	181	178	155
Youth in Facilities	84	86	84	94	91	83	78	88	105
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	123	123	122	113	114	111	119	111	105
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	13	13	8	13	12	10	8	8	15

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.6	6.0
Youth in Community	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.6
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.4

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – March 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	6	27	4.5	20	3.3
Chicago Heights	3	11	3.7	8	2.7
Aurora	2	20	10	14	7
Rockford	4	22	5.5	17	4.3
Peoria	4	27	6.8	14	3.5
Belleville	7	44	6.3	30	4.3
Champaign	4	27	6.8	12	3
Springfield	4	23	5.8	15	3.8
Placement	6	33	5.5	13	2.2
Intensive	3	26	8.7	12	4
IDJJ Total	43	260	6.0	155	3.6

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – March 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	83	3.1	73	3.7
Chicago Heights	32	2.9	31	3.9
Aurora	55	2.8	43	3.1
Rockford	83	3.8	57	3.4
Peoria	76	2.8	62	4.4
Belleville	123	2.8	112	3.7
Champaign	71	2.6	60	5
Springfield	80	3.5	71	4.7
Placement	118	3.6	87	6.7
IDJJ Total	721	3.1	596	4.2

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
<i>February</i>	6	6	0	0
<i>March</i>	6	4	2	0
IDJJ Average	6.1	4.2	1.7	0.2

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
<i>February</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>March</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0%
IDJJ Average	71.6%	25.0%	3.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Number of Admissions per Month	23	32	36	26	18	22	27	33	36
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%	9.1%	11.1%	9.1%	15.6%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	35	26	36	18	22	43	20	19	23
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%	79%	90%	89.5%	91.3%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	34	25	33	16	23	35	18	19	25
Number of Exits from Aftercare	21	30	29	35	21	14	27	23	33

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	March 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	5.6%
Court Evaluation Return	3	8.3%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	8.3%
Initial Commitment	17	53.1%
New Commitment	6	18.8%
Technical Parole Violator	5	15.6%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	36	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, March 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for March 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	69	11
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	40	60
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	30	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	55	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	39	3
Total	233	80

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	3	0	0	3	0	6
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	9	10	4	20	12	55
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	3	1	1	3	3	11
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	10	5	6	6	4	31
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	0	2	2	2	7
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	6	7	7	3	1	24
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	37	17	10	18	17	99
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	11	60	6	0	3	80

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	3	14	14	10	4	9	13	11
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	0	8	2	15	1	13	40	60
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	2	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	3	5	8	9	16	8	2	0
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	2	2	2	11	4	7	2	3
Total	14	8	32	26	45	26	40	58	80

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	2/22	3/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	4	5	8	6	6	3	5	4
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	-	12	10	7	7	5	7	7
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	11	-	5	0	-	5	6	3	19
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	10	4	7	6	8	9	15	14	-
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	8	5	5	8	6	5	6	6
Average	8	5	7	7	7	8	7	7	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: March 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	88	65	7	83
<i>Moderate</i>	17	40	43	22
<i>Low</i>	0	0	55	0
Total	105	105	105	105


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	20	-	-
<i>High</i>	21	97	76
<i>Moderate-High</i>	27	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	22	0	21
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	4	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	97	97	97

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	18	15
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	40	20	43
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	13	-	-
<i>Low</i>	13	9	38
<i>None</i>	1	50	1
Total	97	97	97

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17
December	0	3	0	2	4	4	16	25
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15
February	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	20
March	0	2	0	1	0	3	14	20

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for March 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	129	96.3%	260	94.5%
Female	5	3.7%	15	5.5%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.3		18.5	
16 & Under	55	41.4%	38	13.8%
17 to 20	77	57.9%	218	79.3%
20.5 & Over	1	0.8%	17	6.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	24	17.9%	52	18.9%
Black	91	67.9%	185	67.3%
Hispanic	10	7.5%	23	8.4%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0.4%
Multi/Biracial	9	6.7%	13	4.8%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	21	15.7%	66	24%
Collar Counties	7	5.2%	29	10.5%
Metro-East	10	7.5%	17	6.2%
Central	57	42.5%	89	32.4%
Southern	14	10.4%	19	6.9%
Northern	25	18.7%	40	14.5%
Out of State	0	0%	15	5.5%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	6	4.5%	4	1.5%
Class X Felony	43	32.1%	65	23.6%
Class 1 Felony	27	20.1%	78	28.4%
Class 2 Felony	33	24.6%	86	31.3%
Class 3 Felony	15	11.2%	14	5.1%
Class 4 Felony	10	7.5%	13	4.7%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	4	1	5	0
Class X Felony	89	10	7	2
Class 1 Felony	71	19	7	8
Class 2 Felony	76	27	9	7
Class 3 Felony	11	11	4	3
Class 4 Felony	17	3	1	2
Total	268	71	33	22

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	6	4.5%	1	0.4%
Delinquent	80	59.7%	229	83.3%
Extended Jurisdiction	4	3.0%	10	3.6%
Felon	34	25.4%	2	0.7%
First Degree Murderer	3	2.2%	2	0.7%
Habitual Juvenile	1	0.7%	9	3.3%
Violent Juvenile	6	4.5%	7	2.5%
From Other State	0	0%	15	5.5%

Aftercare Completion – March 2022

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	43	95.6%
Female	2	4.4%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.5	
16 & Under	11	24.4%
17 to 20	26	57.8%
20.5 & Over	8	17.8%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	8	17.8%
Collar Counties	3	6.7%
Metro-East	1	2.2%
Central	20	44.4%
Southern	4	8.9%
Northern	8	17.8%
Out of State	1	2.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	8	17.8%
Black	34	75.6%
Hispanic	2	4.4%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	1	2.2%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	8	17.8%
Class 1 Felony	13	28.9%
Class 2 Felony	16	35.6%
Class 3 Felony	3	6.7%
Class 4 Felony	4	8.9%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	2.2%
Delinquent	34	75.6%
Extended Jurisdiction	1	2.2%
Felon	2	4.4%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	3	6.7%
Violent Juvenile	3	6.7%
From Other State	1	2.2%


Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	15	33.3%
Aftercare Discharge	15	33.3%
Adult Sentence Discharge	7	15.6%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	4	8.9%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	2.2%
Statutory Discharge	3	6.7%
Death	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions





DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
January**

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	22	23	24	30	23	14	12
39H8arrisburg	28	34	27	31	29	31	39
Pere Marquette	8	8	10	12	15	9	8
St. Charles	30	36	35	27	28	24	30
Warrenville	17	13	18	22	24	23	18
IDJJ Total	105	114	114	122	119	101	107

Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	17.9	22.6	22.6	26.9	25.8	19.3	12.5
Harrisburg	33.3	32.4	25.7	28.7	30.5	33.3	35.3
Pere Marquette	8.2	9.5	9.3	11.9	13.3	11.9	8.9
St. Charles	38.9	34.5	38.7	29.1	27.2	24.4	27.1
Warrenville	15.5	15.0	14.8	21.8	23.3	22.6	20.4
IDJJ Total	113.7	114.0	111.2	118.5	120.1	111.5	104.1

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	78	78	78	74			
Harrisburg	119	126	124	122			
Pere Marquette	43	45	42	42			
St. Charles	124	126	126	123			
Warrenville	61	60	59	56			
IDJJ Total	425	435	429	417			

Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	73.9%	95.7%	91.7%	80.6%	72.7%	74.1%	82.4%
Harrisburg	72.7%	69.2%	47.8%	60.9%	65.9%	66.0%	53.6%
Pere Marquette	57.1%	69.2%	66.7%	61.5%	52.6%	57.9%	77.8%
St. Charles	33.8%	47.3%	56.3%	41.1%	43.6%	35.7%	42.9%
Warrenville	58.3%	57.9%	78.3%	69.2%	63.0%	68.0%	66.7%
IDJJ Average	54.3%	64.8%	63.3%	59.3%	58.1%	59.0%	57.4%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
August	0.0%	40.3%	51.3%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
September	0.0%	36.0%	57.7%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
October	1.6%	31.0%	60.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
November	0.8%	31.5%	60.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
December	0.0%	28.2%	68.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
January	0.0%	34.7%	62.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	0.5%	35.9%	57.5%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
August	0.00%	1.52%	12.88%	22.73%	62.88%
September	0.00%	2.08%	9.72%	20.83%	67.36%
October	0.72%	2.90%	20.29%	19.57%	56.52%
November	0.00%	4.96%	19.83%	19.83%	55.37%
December	0.00%	2.78%	13.39%	17.86%	66.07%
January	0.00%	6.61%	13.22%	22.31%	57.85%
IDJJ Average	0.21%	3.53%	14.87%	20.14%	61.26%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	13	11	12	9	11	9	8
Harrisburg	8	7	8	8	8	9	8
Pere Marquette	14	13	12	13	19	19	9
St. Charles	8	7	6	5	7	5	4
Warrenville	6	4	7	7	9	7	8
IDJJ Total	49	42	45	42	54	49	37

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	38	102	92	126	113	129	131
Harrisburg	-	55	104	86	95	84	89
Pere Marquette	62	172	103	65	-	105	78
St. Charles	70	-	56	-	28	-	-
Warrenville	23	-	116	105	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	68	102	97	106	87	103	90

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Successful Completion	50%	35.7%	53.8%	37.5%	25%	36.4%	42.9%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	0%	0%	25%	6.3%	0%	14.3%
Youth Released	40%	42.9%	30.8%	25%	31.3%	54.5%	28.6%
Other Discharge	10%	21.4%	15.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.1%	14.3%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Marijuana	93.9%	85.7%	75.6%	73.8%	74%	77.6%	73%
Alcohol	0%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.1%	10.8%
Other Drugs	6.1%	11.9%	22.2%	23.8%	22.2%	16.3%	16.2%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 3 reported amphetamines, 1 reported benzodiazepine, and 2 reported ecstasy.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	9	16	16	24	18	11	8
Harrisburg	27	27	31	24	31	21	26
Pere Marquette	5	6	8	6	9	9	5
St. Charles	16	15	12	16	13	11	19
Warrenville	10	7	10	12	17	17	12
IDJJ Total	67	71	77	82	88	69	70

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	15	13	13	13	13	13
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	6	5	5	4
Warrenville	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
IDJJ Total	39	39	37	36	35	35	34

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.5
Harrisburg	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.0	1.6	0.9	1.1
Pere Marquette	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6
St. Charles	2.3	2.5	1.8	3.3	1.3	2.0	4.7
Warrenville	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.7
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0	5.0
Harrisburg	0.4	3.8	2.8	3.8	2.0	4.8	5.3
Pere Marquette	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0
St. Charles	3.5	2.5	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0	5.0
Warrenville	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	8.0
IDJJ Average	1.6	3.4	3.2	4.6	4.0	5.4	2.8

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	Total
Chicago	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Harrisburg	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	9
Pere Marquette	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	7
St. Charles	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	9
Warrenville	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	4
IDJJ Total	8	1	3	7	8	2	3	35

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	55.5%	37.5%	43.8%	50%	55.6%	54.5%	62.5%
Harrisburg	7.4%	70.4%	35.5%	62.5%	32.3%	90.4%	80.7%
Pere Marquette	40%	66.7%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.6%	40.0%
St. Charles	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	69.2%	45.5%	26.3%
Warrenville	20%	42.9%	40.0%	33.3%	47.1%	47.1%	66.7%
IDJJ Total	26.9%	52.1%	41.6%	50%	45.5%	62.3%	31.4%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	3
IYC Pere Marquette	5	0
IYC St. Charles	0	3
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	5	6

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	6	4	8	7	1	5
Harrisburg	6	7	7	6	11	9	15
Pere Marquette	1	1	4	0	0	7	1
St. Charles	12	5	16	19	17	6	9
Warrenville	7	9	4	11	17	4	12
IDJJ Total	26	28	35	44	52	27	42

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	26.5	17.7	29.7	27.1	5.2	40	20.9
Harrisburg	18.0	21.6	27.2	20.9	36.1	27.0	42.5	27.6
Pere Marquette	12.2	10.5	43.0	0	0	58.8	11.2	19.4
St. Charles	30.8	1.4	41.3	65.3	62.5	24.6	33.2	37.0
Warrenville	45.2	60	27.0	50.5	73.0	17.7	58.8	47.4
IDJJ Average	22.9	24.6	31.4	37.1	43.3	24.2	40.3	32.0

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	1	1	3	1	1	1
Harrisburg	3	1	3	1	3	3	3
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	1	2	5	3	5	2	3
Warrenville	1	4	1	5	4	2	4
IDJJ Total	5	8	10	12	13	8	11

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	4.4	4.4	11.2	3.9	5.2	8	5.3
Harrisburg	9.0	3.1	11.7	3.5	9.8	9.0	8.5	7.8
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	2.6	0.6	12.9	10.3	18.4	8.2	11.1	9.2
Warrenville	6.5	26.7	6.8	22.9	17.2	8.8	19.6	15.5
IDJJ Average	4.4	7.0	9.0	10.1	10.8	7.2	10.6	8.4

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	3	1	4	5	0	1
Harrisburg	3	6	2	4	6	4	10
Pere Marquette	1	0	4	0	0	4	0
St. Charles	4	1	9	10	7	2	4
Warrenville	3	2	2	1	4	2	1
IDJJ Total	11	12	18	19	22	12	16

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	13.3	4.4	14.9	19.4	0	8	8.6
Harrisburg	9.0	18.5	7.8	13.9	19.7	12.0	28.3	15.6
Pere Marquette	12.2	0	43.0	0	0	33.6	0	12.7
St. Charles	10.3	0.3	23.3	34.4	25.7	8.2	14.8	16.7
Warrenville	19.4	13.3	13.5	4.6	17.2	8.8	4.9	11.7
IDJJ Average	9.7	10.5	16.2	16.0	18.3	10.8	15.4	13.8

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	2	2	1	1	0	3
Harrisburg	0	0	2	1	2	2	2
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	1
St. Charles	7	2	2	6	5	2	2
Warrenville	3	3	1	5	9	0	7
IDJJ Total	10	8	7	13	17	7	15

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22	Avg.
Chicago	0	8.8	8.8	3.7	3.9	0	24	7.0
Harrisburg	0	0	7.8	3.5	6.6	6.0	5.7	4.2
Pere Marquette	0	10.5	0	0	0	25.2	11.2	6.7
St. Charles	18.0	0.6	5.2	20.6	18.4	8.2	7.4	11.2
Warrenville	19.4	20	6.8	22.9	38.6	0	34.3	20.3
IDJJ Average	8.8	7.0	6.3	11.0	14.2	6.3	14.4	9.7

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
IDJJ Total	3	0	1	0	5	1	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	1	1	2	1	1	2
Harrisburg	3	0	1	5	2	4	5
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
St. Charles	5	2	2	3	5	2	3
Warrenville	1	0	0	4	6	2	8
IDJJ Total	9	3	4	14	14	9	20

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	2	0	0	3	2	0
Harrisburg	20	18	15	11	23	30	25
Pere Marquette	1	1	2	0	0	2	2
St. Charles	17	5	17	36	39	10	38
Warrenville	18	35	10	29	46	29	36
IDJJ Total	56	61	44	76	111	73	118

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	0	9	0	0	12	10	0
Harrisburg	60	56	58	38	75	90	71
Pere Marquette	12	11	22	0	0	17	22
St. Charles	44	15	44	124	143	41	140
Warrenville	116	233	68	133	197	128	176
IDJJ Average	49	54	40	64	92	65	113

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	-	53	-	-	115	63	-
Harrisburg	134	100	92	122	105	110	135
Pere Marquette	80	208	13	-	-	53	58
St. Charles	156	635	132	80	73	51	172
Warrenville	54	65	33	68	94	97	94
IDJJ Average	114	124	90	82	89	94	134

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	2	1
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	1	3
St. Charles	3	-	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	1	1	2	-	0	-	1
IDJJ Total	4	1	2	0	1	4	5

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	15	-	-	8	9	23	10
Warrenville	-	1	-	1	-	5	5
IDJJ Average	15	1	0	9	9	28	15


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	6	-	-	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	4	5	-	-
IDJJ Total	6	0	0	5	5	0	0

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth Monitored by Aftercare

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	430	435	419	404	402	398	386
Youth in Community	210	213	205	184	187	194	181
Youth in Facilities	84	86	84	94	91	83	78
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	123	123	122	113	114	111	119
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	13	13	8	13	12	10	8

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (Average per Specialist)

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Youth Assigned to Specialist	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2
Youth in Community	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3
Youth in Facilities	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – January 2022

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	6	36	6	23	3.8
Chicago Heights	3	8	2.7	7	2.3
Aurora	2	18	9	16	8
Rockford	4	30	7.5	27	6.8
Peoria	4	26	6.5	18	4.5
Belleville	7	40	5.7	29	4.1
Champaign	4	29	7.3	19	4.8
Springfield	3	19	6.3	13	4.3
Placement	6	30	5	15	2.5
Intensive	3	23	7.7	14	4.7
IDJJ Total	42	259	6.2	181	4.3

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – January 2022

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	95	2.6	87	3.8
Chicago Heights	33	4.1	31	4.4
Aurora	54	3	54	3.4
Rockford	97	3.2	91	3.4
Peoria	71	2.7	58	3.2
Belleville	157	3.9	147	5.1
Champaign	100	3.4	91	4.8
Springfield	32	1.7	24	1.8
Placement	91	3.0	71	4.7
IDJJ Total	730	3.1	653	3.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
<i>August</i>	7	5	2	0
<i>September</i>	5	4	1	0
<i>October</i>	9	5	4	0
<i>November</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>December</i>	4	3	1	0
<i>January</i>	7	2	4	1
IDJJ Average	6.1	4	1.9	0.3

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<i>August</i>	71.4%	28.6%	0%
<i>September</i>	80%	20%	0%
<i>October</i>	55.6%	44.4%	0%
<i>November</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>December</i>	75%	25%	0%
<i>January</i>	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
IDJJ Average	68.2%	27.4%	4.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Number of Admissions per Month	23	32	36	26	18	22	27
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	8.7%	12.5%	13.9%	11.5%	22.2%	9.1%	11.1%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	35	26	36	18	22	43	20
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%	88.9%	86.4%	79%	90%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
Number of New Supervised Youth	34	25	33	16	23	35	18
Number of Exits from Aftercare	21	30	29	35	21	14	27

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	January 2022	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	7.4%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	11.1%
Initial Commitment	18	66.7%
New Commitment	1	3.7%
Technical Parole Violator	3	11.1%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	27	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, January 2022



Section 10: TRD Data for January 2022

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	73	9
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	36	13
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	13	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	51	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	84	7
Total	257	40

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	7	0	0	11	17	35
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	4	3	2	1	5	15
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	11	8	2	5	10	36
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	7	0	3	15	25
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	10	2	4	3	4	23
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	41	16	5	28	33	123
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	9	13	3	8	7	40

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency





Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	0	3	14	14	10	4	9
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	0	8	2	15	1	13
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	2	0	3	0	0	1	3
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	8	3	5	8	9	16	8
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	0	2	2	2	11	4	7
Total	14	8	32	26	45	26	40

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/21	8/21	9/21	10/21	11/21	12/21	1/22
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	-	4	5	8	6	6	3
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	4	-	12	10	7	7	5
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	11	-	5	0	-	5	6
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	10	4	7	6	8	9	15
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	-	8	5	5	8	6	5
Average	8	5	7	7	7	8	7

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: January 2022

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	68	41	5	61
<i>Moderate</i>	12	37	36	19
<i>Low</i>	0	2	39	0
Total	80	80	80	80


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	18	-	-
<i>High</i>	14	75	58
<i>Moderate-High</i>	17	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	20	0	17
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	3	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	75	75	75

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	15	10
<i>Moderate-High</i>	20	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	28	10	35
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	11	-	-
<i>Low</i>	8	12	30
<i>None</i>	0	38	0
Total	75	75	75

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30
August	0	6	0	8	0	2	14	30
September	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	21
October	0	1	0	3	0	3	12	19
November	0	1	0	4	0	2	10	17
December	0	3	0	2	4	4	16	25
January	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	15

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for January 31st, 2022

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	100	95.2%	293	95.1%
Female	5	4.8%	15	4.9%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.6	
16 & Under	37	35.2%	38	12.4%
17 to 20	66	62.9%	244	79.7%
20.5 & Over	2	1.9%	24	7.8%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	21	20.0%	51	16.6%
Black	70	66.7%	215	69.8%
Hispanic	7	6.7%	25	8.1%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Multi/Biracial	7	6.7%	15	4.8%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	22	21.0%	72	23.4%
Collar Counties	5	4.8%	29	9.4%
Metro-East	7	6.7%	21	6.8%
Central	46	43.8%	104	33.8%
Southern	12	11.4%	21	6.8%
Northern	13	12.4%	51	16.6%
Out of State	0	0%	10	3.2%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	7	6.7%	3	1.0%
Class X Felony	38	36.2%	66	21.4%
Class 1 Felony	22	21.0%	95	30.8%
Class 2 Felony	25	23.8%	108	35.1%
Class 3 Felony	6	5.7%	14	4.5%
Class 4 Felony	7	6.7%	12	3.9%

Offense Class by Race	Black	White	Hispanic	Bi/Multiracial
Murder	5	1	4	0
Class X Felony	85	8	9	2
Class 1 Felony	82	20	7	8
Class 2 Felony	86	30	8	7
Class 3 Felony	6	9	3	3
Class 4 Felony	15	1	1	2
Total	285	72	32	22

This table provides a summary of all youth in DJJ (both facilities and Aftercare) relating to their race and holding offense crime class. The numbers in the table and the raw number of youth in a category and the percentage are the percent of a particular racial group in a category.

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	6	5.7%	1	0.3%
Delinquent	57	54.3%	263	85.4%
Extended Jurisdiction	6	5.7%	9	2.9%
Felon	29	27.6%	2	0.6%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.8%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	1	1.0%	12	3.9%
Violent Juvenile	2	1.9%	10	3.2%
From Other State	0	0%	10	3.2%

Aftercare Completion – January 2022

C3 Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	25	100%
Female	0	0%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	18.7	
16 & Under	2	8%
17 to 20	18	72%
20.5 & Over	5	20%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	5	20%
Collar Counties	1	4%
Metro-East	4	16%
Central	8	32%
Southern	1	4%
Northern	5	20%
Out of State	1	4%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	4	16%
Black	16	64%
Hispanic	1	4%
Native American	0	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	4	16%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State



Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	6	24%
Class 1 Felony	6	24%
Class 2 Felony	5	20%
Class 3 Felony	5	20%
Class 4 Felony	2	8%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	23	92%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	1	4%
Violent Juvenile	0	0%
From Other State	1	4%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	4	16%
Aftercare Discharge	11	44%
Adult Sentence Discharge	6	24%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	0	0%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	4%
Statutory Discharge	3	12%
Death	0	0%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – 2022

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities



Percent of Youth Completing Conditions

